Geo-Biomedical Assessment of Arsenic Exposure through Contaminated Soil and Water: Cellular Toxicity, Diagnostic Biomarkers, and Therapeutic Modulation

Editors

Ahmed Majeed Hussein Nsaeef

University of alqadisiya Collage of sciences Department of biology

Dhuha Mahmood Ebrahim Abdullah

University of Mosul College of Science / Department of Earth Sciences

Seham Bashir Ahmed Sulaiman

University of Mosul College of Science / Department of Earth Sciences

Faisal Mahlo Qolo Mishko

University of Mosul College of Science Department of Chemistry

Israa Basim Mohammed Dawood

University of Mosul College of Science / Department of Earth Sciences

Habib Mardan Mansi Abdul Hussein

University of Thi Qar College of Sciences Department of Pathological Analysis

Abstract

The geo-biomedical evaluation of arsenic exposure integrates geological, chemical, biological, and medical evidence to assess the impact of natural and anthropogenic arsenic contamination on human health. Arsenic is ubiquitous in the Earth's crust, predominantly existing in four oxidation states and can be mobilized through weathering, volcanic activity, geothermal events, and anthropogenic activities such as mining and industrial processes. Besides natural mobilization, the use of arsenic-containing pesticides, wood preservatives, electrical components, glass, and semiconductor products contributes to widespread contamination in water and agricultural soils. Chronic ingestion of arsenic-laden groundwater or food induces proliferative keratosis and subsequent malignancies in multiple organs. Cellular toxicity arises from the uptake of arsenate through phosphate pathways or arsenite via aquaporin channels, leading to disruption of cellular functions and promoting tumorigenesis.

The pervasiveness of arsenic-contaminated soils and waters creates complications for millions of inhabitants worldwide. Beyond the direct impact of arsenic, additional geological, physical, chemical, and biological factors exacerbate vulnerabilities within these populations. Establishing clear relationships between arsenic-enriched environments and medical outcomes, accounting for these factors, is imperative for managing health risks. While epidemiological studies and cellular to animal modeling provide valuable insights, proposed geo-biomedical evaluation methods aim to directly link arsenic exposure to observed medical effects. The current focus is on cellular toxicity and diagnostic indicators, with therapeutic treatments requiring fine-tuning to align with established toxicological analyses.

Content

Chapter - 1

Introduction

Exposure to arsenic is of significant geo-biomedical relevance across various regions of the world due to its high level of toxicity, which poses major challenges in alleviating related health problems and safeguarding public health. The release of arsenic is often a consequence of natural processes, including weathering and leaching of minerals that contain arsenic; nonetheless, it is important to recognize that human activities, such as mining operations and the widespread use of arsenical pesticides, can significantly contribute to environmental contamination. Additionally, in other areas, regional geochemical factors-including altitude and the proximity to significant geochemical features-appear to exert a substantial influence on groundwater concentrations of arsenic. These factors play a crucial role in regulating both the release and transport of arsenic, further complicating efforts to address exposure risks and manage contaminated sites effectively. Understanding these dynamics is critical for developing strategies to mitigate the health risks associated with arsenic exposure and for implementing effective remediation practices. Arsenic contamination is a global health and environmental concern. This element occurs naturally as well as through anthropogenic activities, invading supplies of soil and water around the world. Traditionally, a diagnosis of acute arsenic poisoning can be made based on a medical history of exposure, clinical symptoms, and analysis of urine samples for arsenic concentration. This process is extended here to encompass a geo-pathological context. A review of the geo-environmental dispersion of arsenic allows an estimation of its concentrations to which people might be exposed. From a biomedical standpoint, certain aspects of arsenic toxicity and exposure are also recalled to identify those indicators that can be detected to confirm exposure to the element in the field.

Arsenic occurs naturally in soil and minerals, while industrial activities and agricultural practices release additional quantities into the environment [1]. During weathering and erosion, it also leaches into groundwater and perpetually affects the ecosystem. Globally, serious contamination has been reported in the Indian subcontinent, China, Taiwan, Mexico, Thailand, Argentina, Chile, Canada, the United States and Hungary. Elevated

concentrations can be transient or persistent in both the solid and the aqueous phases of natural waters, soils and sediments.

Two sources of exposure to arsenic from soil and water are discussed here. Its continued use as an agricultural chemical has resulted in contamination of soil and water, with serious effects on human health. A geo-biomedical assessment of the state of soil and water contamination in contemporary settings is described, and associated impacts on human health are considered. Indicators and symptoms of arsenic intoxication that can aid diagnosis are itemized. Potential therapeutic responses to an overdose are outlined.

Arsenic contamination is a global concern due to its presence in soil and water and its impact on health. The distribution of arsenic varies according to the underlying geology and climate. Increased arsenic in soil and water leads to elevated concentrations in the food chain, posing risks even where direct exposure is limited [2]. Workshops and reviews have highlighted concerns in several countries and regions, including the U.S. and Europe. Releases to the environment can occur both naturally and from anthropogenic activities. The widespread occurrence of arsenic at tens of micrograms per litre in groundwater presents a problem worldwide. Large populations are potentially exposed.

Arsenic exposure primarily occurs through drinking contaminated water and ingestion of food, leading to acute and chronic toxicity that varies among individuals, age groups, and populations. Acute exposure exhibits severe symptoms such as nausea, vomiting, abdominal pain, diarrhea, and cardiac arrhythmias. Chronic exposure is associated with dermatological, cardiovascular, neurological, reproductive abnormalities, and increases the risks of diabetes, hypertension, anemia, chronic respiratory disorders, peripheral vascular diseases, and cancers [3]. Higher blood arsenic levels in exposed populations indicate systemic absorption, and arsenic's preservation in biological matrices such as hair and nails facilitates its use as an exposure marker [4]. Placental transfer to the fetus further underscores exposure during pregnancy, heightening concerns for both maternal and fetal health. The reported health effects directly relate to the cellular responses elicited by arsenic, which are addressed in the following section.

Arsenic's effect on the human body varies in severity; responses to concentrated and prolonged exposure differ from those arising from limited or brief contact. Acute effects represent the immediate physical response to relatively high doses, generally over a short period.

Arsenic occurs naturally in inorganic and organic forms with variable toxicity. Inorganic arsenic is a human carcinogen associated with skin, lung, bladder, liver, and prostate cancers. Chronic exposure at low levels is linked with diabetes, neurological effects, cardiac disorders, and reproductive issues, although further research is needed [3].

Children facing chronic arsenic exposure require special attention. Acute or subacute poisoning may induce anemia, leucopoenia, and thrombocytopoenia, typically reversible. However, more than 70% of arsenicosis patients exhibit mild to severe anemia compared to approximately 20% of controls. Other hematologic abnormalities include eosinophilia, microscopic hematuria, leucocytosis, and microcytic hypochromic red blood cells [5].

Serum or blood arsenic concentration is a valid indicator of recent exposure. Urinary arsenic decreases with age, reflecting diminished renal function and prolonged exposure, while hair and nails show increased arsenic with age, indicating continuing accumulation. Decontamination efforts, such as sealing contaminated wells and providing community water supplies, remain essential.

Arsenic acts as a cellular toxin leading to sub-lethal effects, and it damages proteins and depletes cells of energy, resulting in compromised cellular physiology and disruptions to subsequent cellular functions [1]. Young children and pregnant women represent the most vulnerable populations. High exposures to arsenic via drinking water or contaminated food increase the risk of miscarriages, stillbirths, and low birth weight [6]. Involuntary fetal exposure to arsenic results in the early onset of chronic diseases during childhood and throughout adulthood. Their highly adaptive physiology requires specialized approaches to their evaluation and treatment.

Arsenic is usually ingested by humans mainly through contaminated water, food products, and inhalation. After digestion, arsenic is absorbed from the gastrointestinal tract and circulated by the bloodstream. It accumulates in the lungs, liver, kidneys, bladder, heart, and other tissues. The aquatic bacteria in the digestive system transform arsenic forms into more toxic ones subject to metabolic reduction or oxidative methylation. Metabolized arsenic species can interact with the cell membrane and penetrate respiratory and adipose tissues.

At the cellular level, arsenic triggers oxidative stress [3]. Reactive oxygen species (ROS) include free radicals as well as some non-radicals. Arsenic elevates the ROS levels inside the cells upon co-administration, inducing

oxidative DNA damage. DNA damage by arsenic exposure induces genotoxicity in the respiratory and adipose tissues. It breaks the balance between ROS formation and ROS scavenging in the respiratory and adipose cells. Immunohistochemical studies on experimental animals demonstrated reduced levels of glutathione and increased levels of its oxidized form glutathione disulfide as well as further mediated antioxidants [7].

The duration and frequency of exposure to arsenic-contaminated soil and water also affect toxicity rate and patterns. There are a number of proposed mechanisms for arsenic toxicity. One proposed mechanism is interference with the cellular longevity mechanism. The result of arsenic-induced DNA damage, maintaining an unstable genome is the cellular apoptosis mechanism at an early phase to prevent tumor transformation. Arsenic-induced DNA damage and DNA repair inhibition culminate in an apoptosis-resistant phenotype involved in tumor transformation. To survive sudden excessive DNA damage and apoptosis, cells are equipped with cell cycle checkpoints that halt progression during the detection and repair of DNA lesions or other types of cellular damage.

The primary soil-borne contaminants involved in the investigations are inorganic arsenic species. Inorganic arsenic is highly toxic and carcinogenic to humans [8] compared with organic arsenic. The physiological intake includes drinking water, food, and inhalation of dust present in the air. The majority environmentally reside in the soil and ground water under the reducing condition. Arsenic shows toxicity through a number of mechanisms. First the toxicant has to gain access to the cell interior, cross the plasma membrane, and enter the cytosol or a subcellular compartment [7]. As the water contaminant is the major source, it is important to understand the role of water channels in human arsenic transport.

Arsenic, among the most abundant environmental metals, is widely present as a trace element in soil, water, air, and in materials derived from them. It enters the body through absorption of contaminated water and intake of foodstuffs grown in soils with elevated arsenic levels [9]. Arsenic usually occupies sulfhydryl groups of enzymes and epithelial keratin, and inhibits enzyme activities. It exerts toxic effects by disrupting oxidative phosphorylation, metabolism of fatty acids and glutathione, and the integrity of membranes of living cells [10]. It interacts with enzyme complexes inhibiting activity of a variety of necessary coenzymes for proper cellular function [11].

The cellular metabolism of arsenic involves enzymatic methylation reactions through which the body converts inorganic arsenic into various

organic forms that are generally easier to excrete. Arsenic induces oxidative stress and DNA damage, which are thought to be early cellular events in arsenic carcinogenesis. Several studies have shown that arsenic-exposed cultures display increased levels of lipid peroxidation, oxidative DNA damage, and stress response pathway activation. In humans chronically exposed to arsenic-contaminated drinking water, increased oxidative stress is reflected by enhanced 8-hydroxy-2'-deoxyguanosine levels in such populations. The oxidative damage promotes tumorigenesis by causing DNA damage and mutation. Though cells survive and proliferate despite arsenic-induced DNA damage, the extent to which this damage is effectively repaired influences the progression to malignancy.

Arsenic induces apoptosis in both p53-mutated and wild-type human cells through mechanisms linked to DNA damage. In human cervical epithelial carcinoma (HeLa) cells, arsenite affects proliferation and morphology in a dose-dependent manner. Exposure results in shrinking, rounding of cells, and eventually cell death. While p53 mRNA levels remain largely unaffected, arsenite increases the mRNA levels of CDK inhibitory proteins p21 and p27 over time. p21 halts cell proliferation in response to DNA damage or replication stress by inhibiting CDK2 and binding to PCNA, an auxiliary component of DNA polymerases. Similarly, p27 suppresses these CDKs and facilitates cell-cycle exit during terminal differentiation. Arsenic does not directly damage DNA but targets enzymes involved in nucleotide excision repair and base excision repair, leading to DNA damage that activates p53.

Enhanced L-buthionine-(S,R)-sulfoximine (L-BSO) treatment also stimulates increased lymphocyte proliferation, an effect that may depend on or be related to the intracellular redox state influence. Fibroblast studies reveal a dose-dependent reduction in thymidine incorporation, mirroring findings in Jurkat T cells where high doses decrease proliferation, while lower doses induce enhancement. This proliferative response in lymphocytes contrasts with the inhibitory effects seen in fibroblasts and T cells, indicating cell-type-dependent variations.

In copper smelter workers, lymphocytes exposed to arsenic demonstrate elevated proliferation rates and increased [3H]-TdR incorporation. A positive correlation exists between urine arsenic concentration and lymphocyte proliferation. Chronic arsenic exposure correlates with elevated levels of oxidative stress markers-exposed individuals exhibit higher plasma malondialdehyde (MDA) levels, alongside reduced vitamin E concentrations and diminished superoxide dismutase (SOD) activity. Urine arsenic concentration directly associates with increased MDA and decreased vitamin

E. Consequently, oxidative damage induced by arsenic represents a key mechanism driving the observed cellular outcomes. Jurkat cells subjected to ascending arsenic doses display marked, dose-dependent lipid peroxidation, further supporting the oxidative-damage framework [12, 13].

A geo-biomedical environment is a natural or man-made space whose properties influence health, disease prevalence, or the spread of disease by geo-biomedical pathways. Every environment can contribute to disease or poor health if circumstances allow, including those exposed to arsenic from local soils or waters. An extended arsenic investigation therefore focuses on geo-biomedical indicators of exposure, such as biological markers, symptoms, and diagnostic imaging.

Individuals exposed to arsenic can be identified through specific biomarkers, physiological symptoms, and medical imaging techniques [8]. Arsenic enters cells primarily via aquaporins and organic ion transporters, resulting in oxidative stress, DNA damage, increased rates of apoptosis, and dysregulated cell proliferation [5]. Biomarkers for assessing exposure encompass analyses of total arsenic in urine and urinary porphyrins, while dermatologic lesions serve as long-term indicators of cumulative inorganic arsenic ingestion. Additional candidate effect biomarkers include clastogenicity in peripheral lymphocytes, micronuclei formation in oral mucosa and bladder cells, and induction of heme oxygenase. Genetic polymorphisms modulating arsenic metabolism constitute a potential susceptibility biomarker. Samples of urine and hair collected from affected populations are valuable proxies for exposure assessment; timely analysis-within one day of urine collection-is crucial to obtain reliable data. Comprehensive medical examinations encompassing hematological, biochemical, and cardiac parameters further contribute to exposure evaluation in severely affected groups.

The unmistakable intent of biomarkers lies in their ability to measure specific, quantifiable biological changes brought solely by the exposure to a particular agent. Biomarkers of exposure, of effect, and of susceptibility can be used individually or in combination throughout different stages of human health risk assessment, or to guide exposure remediation and other interventions. For arsenic, significant attention has been paid to biomarkers of exposure. The analysis of total arsenic in urine is the most common of these, and others such as urinary porphyrins have been proposed [8]. After chronic ingestion of iAs, dermatologic lesions may develop and have been used as a long-term biomarker of cumulative arsenic exposure. Candidate arsenic effect biomarkers include clastogenicity in peripheral lymphocytes, micronuclei in

oral mucosa and bladder cells, and induction of heme oxygenase. Polymorphisms in the genes encoding the arsenic-metabolizing enzymes fit within this framework as markers of susceptibility since they influence the degree to which individuals transform iAs to its various methylated metabolites.

Ambient arsenic exposure resulting from dietary sources and inhaled particulates is likely to pose health risks for the general population. Classic environmental measurements may be incomplete owing to the nearly inevitable presence of multiple exposure pathways, including unknown sources. Targeted arsenic measurements combined with omics data can be used to evaluate biological effects associated with arsenic exposure in the presence of this complex exposure scenario. Metabolic biomarkers in blood and urine reflect molecular responses to environmental insults, and, as such, can be employed in risk assessment. Epidemiological studies have linked arsenic exposure to increased risks of type 2 diabetes mellitus and metabolic syndrome, giving reason to suspect that arsenic has the potential to alter human metabolism. Urinary arsenic exposure was measured in conjunction with metabolomic alterations in a set of urine samples collected from the general population of China that did not exhibit clear adverse health outcomes, in an effort to identify early urinary biomarkers of arsenic effects [14].

Coverage of biomagnetic measurements related to the detection of arsenic exposure, e.g., to better establish correlations between the aforementioned biomarkers and magnetic properties, concentrations, and imaging techniques, will be of particular interest, but these need to be considered within the broader clinical picture. Combining analyses of biological samples with observations of pre-existing pathologies, symptoms and signs, and the results of screenings and whole-body measurements provides effective means for identifying, quantifying, and monitoring contamination, early effects, and severe damage [15].

Chronic exposure to arsenic through ingestion of contaminated water, food and soil results in both acute and chronic adverse effects on human health, affecting a number of organ systems [16]. Chronic environmentally-related exposure is particularly challenging from a clinical and public health viewpoint since early symptoms may be few, but irreversible, and remain undetected for many years [5]. Arsenic can be detected in water, soil and food, but once ingested shows poor residual capacity or accumulation in body tissue. Short- and long-term exposure to harmful levels of arsenic results in lesions of skin and mucous membranes, the most sensitive and visible early manifestations. The symptoms arise from multiple cellular and biochemical

targets leading to the evolution of apoptotic and necrotic pathways culminating in cancer and cardiovascular dysfunction [17]. Arsenic is taken into the cells through aquaglyceroporins and hexose permeases, where it interacts with sulfhydryl groups in the lipoyl domain of pyruvate dehydrogenase, adenosine-5′-diphosphate ribose, glutathione and thioredoxin, an annealing endonuclease and thioredoxin reductase resulting in the generation of reactive oxygen species, DNA-damage, genotoxicity and apoptosis. The clinical manifestation of arsenic exposure includes a range of diagnostic indicators detectable through different stages of exposure.

The occurrence of arsenic exposure can be readily assessed from biological fluids, through symptomatic monitoring or by instrumental analytical means on accumulated waste and through non-invasive imaging techniques. Acute absorption gives rise to severe gastrointestinal symptoms including vomiting, abdominal pain and diarrhoea, the resulting dehydration and shock generally accompanied by dysrhythmias, altered mental state and multisystem organ failure can result in death. The principal diagnostic indicator is detection of raised levels of urinary arsenic (> 50 μg/L) followed by speciation to distinguish organic from inorganic forms and occupational exposures from clinical intoxications. Speciation is also required to account for the large contribution from fish and crustaceans in the case of non-occupational exposures. A summary of diagnostic indicators is provided below.

Medical imaging techniques enabled the identification of diagnostic indicators of arsenic exposure from blood spectral photographs.

Arsenic causes oxidative destruction to blood components and tissues, enhancing the screening for early evidence of poisoning. Optical and morphological studies of arsenic-exposed human blood using microscopic medical inspections have revealed changes in normal human blood, demonstrating their utility in arsenic exposure assessments [14]. Images obtained through these techniques provide compelling diagnostic evidence of arsenicosis alongside conventional methods [5]. Photographs of arsenic-contaminated water exposed to short and long frequencies provide additional data for complementary evaluations, facilitating the tracing of contamination sources to assess continued exposure risks.

Samples were collected from 53 participants in an arsenic-contaminated area in Toroku-mura, Miyazaki Prefecture, Japan, to determine biological exposure indicators and concentrations of arsenic in soil and water [18].

The concentration of arsenic in soil significantly correlated with the levels of arsenic in the total urine and air of the residents. Arsenic concentrations

more clearly correlated after the As(III) was reduced to As(0). In contrast, concentrations of arsenic in soil poorly correlated with either blood or hair concentrations. The restoration of arsenic from As(V) to As(0) or As(III) enhanced the correlation coefficients that describe arsenic exposure from the known sources of soil and river water. Consequently, total arsenic in the urine is a practical and sensitive indicator of environmental arsenic exposure. Not only do the concentrations of arsenic in soil and river water relate directly to the exposure of local residents, remediation of these environmental sources would reduce the risk of exposure in areas such as Toroku-mura.

Consumers residing around Taiheiyo and in Toroku-mura showed elevated arsenic concentrations in their environment from various sources. Anthropogenic inputs into the environment constitute a health risk for the general population, although some increases already represent natural background exposures.

Samples for arsenic analyses have been collected from soil and water. The analyses followed the APHA (American Public Health Association) Standards Methods, which ensure accurate and reliable determination of arsenic content in environmental media [18, 19]. The distribution of arsenic in the environment is then plotted on a geologic map to document the contamination and infer its origin. The maps also provide a geochemical framework for estimating future concentrations of arsenic, which supports the development of evaluation models applicable globally.

Regional contaminant mapping integrates diverse sources of environmental data to characterize spatial contamination patterns and focuses subsequent field sampling on the most affected areas. These maps also inform future sampling locations. When coupled with statistical analyses, geospatial contaminant data unveil underlying spatial structures and trends, evaluating relationships among sample sites. Geospatial mapping systems incorporate diverse functions-database management, analytical and statistical tools, geostatistics, and visualization-facilitating environmental and epidemiological applications [20].

Several approaches have been used for reducing arsenic exposure for populations affected by arsenic contamination in water, soil, and food. These include water remediation strategies, treatment of contaminated soil, and public-health interventions. Domestic water-treatment technologies, including coagulation-filtration, adsorption, ion exchange, and microbial degradation, constitute a large and growing area of research [21]. Coagulation-filtration employs ferric salts to precipitate arsenic from water, a process that can be

enhanced with kaolinite to improve formation and flocculation of precipitates, and the resulting arsenic-containing sludge can be disposed or treated for recycling. Adsorption systems include many absorbents, such as laterite, activated alumina, activated charcoal, brittle mica, zeolites, zero-valent iron particles, and iron-oxide-coated sand or gravel. Ion-exchange resins with different chemical properties are also used, although organic resins remove a low percentage of arsenic and several inorganic resins are toxic. Water remediation through microbial arsenic reduction has been explored but has yet to reach practical application. Removal of arsenic from soil is more difficult and often more expensive than treatment of water. A common approach is the addition of iron oxides, which adsorb soil arsenic and reduce its subsequent release to groundwater, but the effects of such application diminish after a few months. Application of zero-valent iron and other iron compounds has also been investigated. Public-health interventions, including behavior modification and education programs, are often the most effective means of arsenic mitigation [6]. In Bangladesh, for example, population awareness programs have encouraged families to test and avoid wells with arsenic contamination exceeding the Bangladesh standard of 50 μg/L and the WHO recommended limit of 10 μg/L.

Pilot projects in an arsenicosis endemic region of Guizhou Province have demonstrated that grass-roots health education tailored to local needs and knowledge gaps is essential to successful mitigation [22]. Households using high-arsenic coal have greatly reduced in counties where arsenicosis was discovered in the 1970s, and the number of arsenicosis cases declined from 640 in 1994 to 561 in 2004 (excluding those who died of the condition). The endemic is a lifestyle disease linked to poverty, as villagers rely on free high-arsenic coal for heating and cooking. Coordinated health-education programs help villagers change lifestyles, and a comprehensive mitigation plan addressing source elimination, ventilation, alternative drying methods, and reinforced health education is necessary. A pilot study conducted in early 2000 allowed rapid awareness raising over six months. Coal mining has now nearly ceased, and most households use improved ventilated stoves or renewable biofuel sources. Continued health-education programs and monitoring are needed to ensure sustainable behavior changes. Despite the reduction in exposure, many individuals have already developed severe skin lesions and lost the ability to work, and cumulative exposure during the coal-usage period suggests that new cases may continue to appear. Improving healthcare and treatment options remains a challenge.

Water treatment is essential for mitigating arsenic exposure and managing its health effects. Developing technologies capable of reducing the arsenic concentration at the point of use with a manageable cost and easy implementation remains challenging. Devices such as arsenic filter cartridges have been reported capable of lowering arsenic concentration from a mean of 50.7 to 7.77 ppb in regions of the Nirmali block, Supaul district, Bihar. Another innovative device involves coating porous ceramic earthenware filters at the nanoscale: SiO_2 nanoparticles provide reactive sites for As(V) and activated carbon adsorbs organic contaminants like pesticides and herbicides, achieving sub-10 ppb arsenic concentrations in contaminated groundwater.

Household sand filter plants also demonstrate efficacy. Activated alumina-an amorphous form of aluminum oxide produced by dehydration of aluminium hydroxide-is a widely employed adsorbent with a high surface area of 300-400 m²/g; high porosity; high density; and low acid-base reactivity. It tends to adsorb arsenic depending on its preparation. For instance, alumina activated with NaOH adsorbs As(V) but is ineffective for As(III). Buoyancy-system-activated alumina, FRP shell water purifiers installed at the community level in Rajasthan, have successfully reduced the concentration of As(V) from 270 ppb to 60 ppb.

Arsenic contamination of public wells threatens drinking water in both urban and rural settings. Remediation of contaminated soil as a public health risk remains inadequately documented and under-pursued. Soils in arsenic-endemic locations should be evaluated for risk of exposure; potentially high-risk soils ought to be subjected to appropriate remediation to reduce health hazards, protect exposed individuals, and mitigate arsenic bioaccumulation in agricultural crops. Several remediation approaches are available, though their selection often depends on the specific geochemical characteristics of the site, acceptance by stakeholders, regulatory considerations, and individual preference [23].

Public health interventions for arsenic exposure rely strongly on complementary approaches. The principal mitigation strategy is the treatment of contaminated water and the remediation of contaminated soil [24]. It is instrumental for avoiding long-term accumulation or additional outside exposure and halting the progression of arsenic-related health effects. Public health initiatives provide surveillance, education, communications, and emergency response services to encourage early intervention. Public health workers provide counseling to community members and training to water-system operators to assist operators in maintaining water-quality standards. They coordinate the collection of water samples and monitoring data so

government authorities can provide timely and effective corrective measures or replacement services.

Corrective measures depend on engineering-practical and cost-effective strategies that communities can effectively maintain. Remedial solutions include pump replacement into uncontaminated aquifers, groundwater denitrification systems, pump construction at depth, onsite defluoridation systems, construction of additional wells, and inter-well hydraulic containment. Removal of soil and the use of adsorbents lowers the residence time of arsenic in groundwater and reduces its rate of migration and bioavailability. Adsorbents may have additional benefits since many absorb or reduce other metals or organics.

After clinical diagnosis, therapeutic measures were immediately implemented, incorporating chelating agents and aldehyde dehydrogenase inhibitors. Sodium thiosulfate, a commonly employed metal-chelating agent in chronic arsenic poisoning cases, was administered at 10 mL of a 10% solution daily to promote arsenic excretion [25]. Given the infrequency of arsenic poisoning incidents, treatment expertise remains limited. Accompanying nutritional support also played a critical role during therapy [5]. Chelation therapy, nutritional supplementation, and follow-up monitoring constitute essential strategies for managing individuals diagnosed with arsenic toxicity through clinical correlation of symptoms and diagnostic imaging.

Arsenic exposure, especially from contaminated soil and water, can severely affect human health. Chelation therapy is often used to reduce the acute toxic effects of arsenic. This treatment involves administering agents like dimercaprol (3-4 mg/kg every 4-12 hours) followed by penicillamine for several days. Succimer (DMSA) has also been found effective in both animal studies and human cases, although regulatory approvals may vary. Before initiating chelation, it is advisable to remove the patient from the exposure source to prevent further contamination. Nutritional supplementation and close medical monitoring support the therapeutic process.

In cases of arsenic toxicity the most advisable option is to eliminate the source of contamination from the environment and to detoxify the patients but removal of arsenic from the body may take a long time so during this period the physical condition of the patient need to be supported. Arsenic exposure results in mal-absorption of nutrients from the diet which in turn leads to energy deficiency and weight loss. In this condition, if the dietary ingestion of protein and calories is increased, the protein-energy malnutrition may be protected. Ingestions of antioxidant enzymes are also helpful in protecting the

oxidative stress caused by arsenic exposure. In addition to the antioxidant enzyme, minerals supplementation may also be beneficial. So the minimum dietary requirement of a toxic patient should be adequate protein, calories, antioxidant vitamins and minerals.

Medical examinations are needed at established intervals to evaluate changes in the condition of the exposed person. Medical examinations are also undertaken to evaluate the effectiveness of the corrective or therapeutic actions and to support decisions regarding exposure reduction measures or cessation of therapeutic intervention. The number and extent of medical examinations depends on the medical history and current condition of the exposed person and on the resources available for medical monitoring and treatment. It is strongly recommended that persons with arsenic toxicity receive regular medical follow-up in a programme that includes medical, psychological, toxicological and sociological support [5].

Geo-biomedical assessment of arsenic exposure from soil and water requires evaluation from both environmental and human health perspectives. Arsenic is a highly poisonous element that comes into contact with humans mainly through the environment. It enters the food chain predominantly via contaminated water and soil and thus reaches humans.

Arsenic is detected in the human body through biochemical investigations. Prolonged exposure deteriorates the internal system, producing characteristic signs and symptoms. Computed tomography is carried out to identify brain hemorrhage or any other confirmed damage caused by arsenic exposure. Patients' urine and hair samples are examined for their arsenic content. Arsenic enters cells through aquaporin channels and produces reactive oxygen species, leading to DNA damage, lipid peroxidation, and apoptosis. Diagnostic indicators thereby serve to propose or reframe therapeutic strategies based on the extent of arsenic poisoning.

Arsenic is a widely distributed toxic metalloid. Its contamination of groundwater, frequently accompanied by contaminated soil along with arsenicals, is a global threat to human health. The deteriorating quality of groundwater supplies in many parts of the world has stimulated international concern about the accumulation of heavy metals in this water. Arsenic is commonly present in groundwater as oxyanion at varying concentrations resulting from natural and anthropogenic sources. Arsenic stress presents a major environmental problem because it causes serious toxic effects on plants, animals, and humans. Its concentration needs to be monitored and maintained within a stipulated limit, as ingestion of arsenic-polluted water has serious

health implications including poisoning and even death in extreme cases. Several reports have documented arsenic-contaminated drinking water from several sites in the United States. Surveys of the proposed study sites in the state show their vulnerability for arsenic contamination. Arsenic contamination was also found in western Utah. As an extension to previous successful studies on Maharashtra and Karnataka, the project is proposed to be implemented in the state of Rajasthan [1].

Generally arsenic exists in soil, water and organic and inorganic compounds. Arsenic contamination in the environment can be categorized depending on the source from which it occurred. Arsenic in soil suggests three origins, geogenic, biogenic and anthropogenic. Arsenic contamination in these states is a threat to human health [5]. The problem of environmental contamination by arsenic throughout the world is described in relation to the global distribution of arsenic and its impact on human health in contaminated regions. Arsenic exposure estimates of intake through soil, water, and food and an outline of the contamination pathway are of importance for geo-environmental and geo-medicinal concerns in order to prepare the ground for a pragmatic geo-biomedical approach [26].

Implementation of arsenic mitigation programs offers lessons that can be valuable in future efforts. Two years after a blanket well testing was initiated there was little improvement in the As exposure status of the local population [6]. Solutions requiring community and personal involvement are often complex and difficult to sustain over extended time frames. The situation is further complicated by the occurrence of arsenic within the primarily reducing aquifer used for domestic supply of groundwater in the Bengal Delta, prompting the use of unreliable, numerous, and potentially dangerous technologies for removal from drinking water.

A randomized community study involving the training of eight local women who conducted arsenic mapping and household education during a single visit to each home shortly afterward evaluated the efficacy of such an approach [27]. After one year in the field of monitoring with probability sampling, As content has been measured in the wells of over 5,000 households. Arsenic analyses were conducted on water samples donated by respondents to provide the families with straightforward knowledge of their exposure risks. Accompanying educational materials convey the health risks of chronic As exposure and ways to reduce the often substantial burden faced through ingesting contaminated water, and they provide practical help in tracking down alternative safer supplies when necessary.

Excessive arsenic consumption increases risk of cardiovascular disease, hyperkeratosis, developmental abnormalities, neurologic problems, diabetes, hearing loss, hematologic disorders, chronic cough, bronchitis, and cancer; certain individuals are particularly susceptible [28]. Extensive cellular study has informed diagnostic indicators for exposure, yet a prominent component remains unaddressed-alteration of therapeutic activities upon arsenical toxicity. Arsenic exposure invariably raises arsenic concentration in biological tissues and fluids; its incarceration within blood cells damages normal blood division, causing somniolence, amnesia, abnormal cardiac function, and restlessness. Given the incapacity of human cells to expel arsenic, its accumulation eventually disrupts normal physiologic functions; both damaged and intact blood cells transport arsenic throughout the body, worsening health. Elevated tissue arsenic contents motivate therapeutic adjustments to prevent further injury. The ensuing summary outlines a geo-biomedical framework for evaluating arsenic-contaminated soil and water, guiding long-term health monitoring. Extensions toward improved therapeutics under arsenical exposure are discussed.

Long-term health studies are frequently used for estimating exposure to toxic substances such as arsenic. While the existing literature on this topic is fragmented, certain conclusions can be drawn from available evidence. Arsenic contamination of drinking-water is often isolated to specific districts and regions, rather than occurring at a national level. Worldwide, nearly 150 million people consume drinking-water containing arsenic concentrations above the World Health Organization guideline of 10 μg/L. In many of these areas, achievable source-abatement strategies can reduce arsenic exposure at relatively low cost [29]. Nonetheless, earlier exposures continuously influence the health of millions, and the global impact on public health continues to rise, especially as a result of ongoing contamination of food-chain products [30].

Existing technologies for identifying arsenic in aqueous media include inductively coupled plasma mass spectroscopy (ICP-MS), atomic absorption spectroscopy (AAS), and atomic fluorescence spectroscopy (AFS), but they require complex sample preparation and costly experimental instrumentation, as well as specialized operators. Since arsenic impairs numerous physiological systems through skin, lung, urinary, and cardiovascular mechanisms, the development of nanomaterial-based sensing platforms for rapid, ultrasensitive, and on-site detection of arsenic traces holds great potential. A range of arsenic aptasensors based on nanomaterials have been developed because of their high sensitivity and simplicity. Optical arsenic sensors include fluorescence, colorimetric, and surface-enhanced Raman scattering (SERS) techniques. Advances in signal amplification and miniaturized device

fabrication have improved lead series and sensitivity to levels suitable for practical application in water treatment, environmental surveillance, and health supervision.

Policy and regulatory aspects addressing arsenic contamination and resultant health consequences fall within the geo-biomedical domain [1]. Studies focus on arsenic occurrence and speciation techniques [28]. Extensive literature documents arsenic's clinical and environmental significance.

Arsenic concentrations in exposed individuals are reported, with associated biomarkers and historical toxicological data. The Iowa Department of Natural Resources Annual Compliance Report provides an assessment of drinking water safety.

Health effects resulting from chronic arsenic poisoning are examined, and contamination of groundwater and soil resources in Bangladesh is documented alongside remedial interventions. Epidemiological investigations explore links between arsenic exposure and elevated skin cancer rates. The potential health impact of old cemeteries constructed within areas containing arsenic-contaminated soil is also addressed.

Risk assessments estimate the likelihood of internal cancers arising from long-term, low-dose, arsenic exposure, noting variations in arsenic metabolism involving gender and age differences in urinary excretion patterns. Analyses of traditional Tibetan medicines include assessments of mineral arsenicals. Variability in human metabolism and biotransformation of arsenic is surveyed, with attention to environmental monitoring tools and contaminated sites.

The presented geo-biomedical assessment of arsenic exposure from soil and water confirms that the issue remains current and of global concern. Major areas of afflicted populations include the Asian subcontinent, Eastern Europe, and parts of the Americas. The review considers the mechanisms by which arsenic creates health risks and examines mitigation strategies; by taking into account the cellular features of arsenic toxicity, therapeutic adjustments may directly address the sources of injury.

Diagnostic indicators are also discussed. Arsenic contamination of soil and water is demonstrated by chemical analyses of samples from arsenic-affected areas. Diagnostic studies reveal biomarker levels, disease symptoms, and histories of individuals in contaminated regions; imaging methods provide recordable images of the damage. These diagnostic features can ultimately be related to the cellular mechanisms of arsenic toxicity presented earlier. In the Introduction the scope of geo-biomedical assessment of arsenic exposure is explained.

Chapter - 2

Overview of Arsenic Contamination

The pervasiveness of arsenic contamination in the environment owes largely to natural phenomena, including volcanic action, leaching during rock weathering, the erosion of pyrite, and mineral dissolution of sulphide-bearing minerals. Supplementing anthropogenic influences such as mining, smelting, refining, coal combustion, and semiconductor manufacturing introduce additional sources for human exposure [3]. Refinement and testing processes release large amounts of arsenic that are both environmentally persistent and globally distributed in air, water, soil, and sediments. Arsenic frequently forms temporary complexes with various metal ions and anions in soil, lower plants, and aquatic organisms. Where pH ranges from 4 to 7, adsorption onto pedogenic iron(III) oxides and clay minerals acts to control inorganic arsenic mobility. Process and site conditions thus critically dictate whether a particular medium serves as a source or a sink for arsenic.

Distribution of Arsenic in Soil, Sediment and Water. The presence of arsenic in various environments is influenced by both diffuse and point sources that distinctly determine the spatial scale and extent of contamination. Specifically, anthropogenic sources are known to create discrete zones of contamination, often situated amid patches of uncontaminated areas. This phenomenon is particularly evident in regions marked by intensive mining and smelting activities, as well as in substantial waste disposal sites that may release pollutants into the surrounding environment. Conversely, geogenic input tends to be more spatially widespread, particularly notable across extensive groundwater aquifers. Contamination from these geogenic sources typically arises from long-term and large-scale weathering processes affecting arsenic-rich geological formations. In many instances, this natural contamination is exacerbated by geological reactions occurring over time, compounded by ongoing anthropogenic influences. Natural occurrences of arsenic contamination frequently extend over extensive or even regional areas, a situation commonly observed in regions where the arsenic content in groundwater consistently exceeds 10 µg.l1. Such situations raise concerns about environmental health and the safety of water resources for human consumption and agricultural practices.

2.1 Sources of Arsenic in Environment

Arsenic sources in the environment comprise natural waters, contaminated drinking water, and soil, often derived from organo-arsenical pesticides [4]. The geographic distribution of each source is mapped, revealing a world-wide pattern of exposure [5]. Mechanisms causing arsenic toxicity at the cellular level are delineated based on recent literature. Diagnostic indicators of arsenic exposure and toxicity are described, and the properties of toxic arsenic are distinguished from the safe form. Therapeutic strategies to control arsenic toxicity are discussed with reference to the geo-biomedical evaluations; high toxicity observed in experimental or clinical studies requires improved medications and treatment technologies.

2.2 Geographical Distribution of Arsenic Contamination

Detection of elevated as concentrations in groundwater (e.g., in Bangladesh, Argentina, China, Nepal) corresponds to exposure areas [5]. For example, in Bangladesh estimated 35 million people drink groundwater with as concentrations >50 μg·L−1; 57 million have at least one household well with >50 μg·L−1 [6]. In Chile, inflow rivers derive from volcanic-ash-enriched cryosphere, dissolving As (IV) and (V) minerals, leading to estimated As concentrations of thousands of μg·L−1 in seepage. In Italy, industrial activities, vehicular traffic, gonfiamento operations (sorry, extraction), and municipal solid waste landfills contribute to as contamination. Arsenopyrite (FeAsS) and manganese minerals are the predominant As-bearing minerals in water supply aquifers in northern Italy.

Chapter - 3

Health Implications of Arsenic Exposure

Arsenic is a metalloid well known for its industrial applications in semiconductors and lead alloys. However, the element's natural occurrence in biospheres and emergence in groundwater have exacerbated the crisis of inorganic arsenic contamination globally, affecting the Indian subcontinent, Bangladesh, and parts of Central and Southeast Asia [3]. The use of arsenic-contaminated groundwater, sourced predominantly from tube wells, has consequently exposed millions of the local population worldwide to the toxic effects of arsenic [7].

Acute exposure can result in immediate toxic and carcinogenic effects, whereas chronic exposure may culminate in cancers, cardiovascular diseases, neurological deficits, and other encephalopathies. Early identification of arsenic exposure in populations is therefore essential for chemotherapy and antioxidant supplementation in both symptomatic and asymptomatic individuals. The symptoms of exposure become apparent in the later prodromal stages and provide various biomarkers for diagnosis, thereby emphasizing the necessity of reliable detection techniques in global health settings.

3.1 Acute and Chronic Health Effects

Exposure to arsenic from contaminated water and soil can result in acute or chronic effects, depending on the duration and level of exposure [7]. Short-term, high-level exposure and long-term, low-dose exposure both represent public-health concerns. Welfare and socioeconomic status influence exposure risk.

3.2 Vulnerable Populations

The geomedical evaluation of arsenic exposure draws upon the framework developed by [8] and [6] to delineate the major categories of population groups that face a high risk of exposure to arsenic. Beyond the generalized vulnerability that extends to all populations globally, several specific groups bear a disproportionately heavy burden of risk in respect to this toxic element:

1) Individuals using arsenic-contaminated water for drinking and cooking are at noted risk for arsenic-associated illness. The problem is exacerbated in regions where lifestyle factors such as hydration requirements and foodstuffs demand heavy use of water.Quarantine of wells in high-exposure regions may reduce infection risk but typically requires the purchase of alternative drinking water from other safety zones.

2) Individuals engaged in included occupational activities as metal smelting, coal combustion, heating of minerals, and refining of ores and metals are at risk of ingesting arsenic, as the elements are usually released into the air at expensive levels. There are also chronic exposures associated with the use of widespread industrial application ranging from catalysts to pesticides, although available direct estimates are vague and no long-term study of the potential accumulation of intermediary contaminants and toxins, such as PCB, as well as bioaccumulation, have been undertaken. Possible large-scale accumulation of these toxins fed from the industrial discharge of raw materials remains a matter of urgent concern.

3) Individuals who are actively engaged in agricultural activities, particularly in scenarios where pesticides and herbicides are commonly utilized, must be aware that arsenic can also be present in some of these agricultural products, resulting in its concentration to higher levels in the surrounding soil. Although the assessment indicates that the direct risk associated with soil contamination appears to be very low at first glance, the elimination of these chemicals would significantly restrict the possibility of accumulation over time and effectively limit any additional load that may build up in the environment. The historical and ongoing heavy application of pesticides and herbicides has become a widespread practice and is frequently responsible for moderate to high levels of arsenic found in soil across various regions of the world. There are several critical questions that remain unanswered and need to be thoroughly examined, particularly regarding the impact of airborne agricultural inputs, the behavior of these substances after application, their suspension and subsequent deposition, as well as the potential concentration effect that may occur on both crops and vegetables that are grown in affected areas. It is essential to establish a clear distinction between the risks associated with specific agricultural activities and the potential for arsenosis that stems from pollution of groundwater sources, as these two issues may have different implications for health and the environment. [9, 10, 11, 12]

Chapter - 4

Cellular Mechanisms of Arsenic Toxicity

Arsenic, widely distributed in the environment, is a potent toxin with significant public health implications. The link between environmental arsenic contamination and adverse health effects is underpinned by the element's innate cellular toxicity mechanisms [13]. Both organic and inorganic arsenic compounds enter living cells, primarily via aquaglyceroporin channels such as AQP7 and AQP9 in mammals [14]. These pathways are now considered the primary routes for arsenic uptake in human tissues.

Shrimp and many other marine organisms also possess specialized arsenic transport channels that are analogous to mammalian aquaporins. These channels facilitate the ingress of both trivalent arsenite and pentavalent arsenate species into the cells. Following the entry of arsenic into the cellular environment, it exerts its toxicity through various mechanisms, primarily by inducing oxidative stress. This oxidative stress occurs due to the generation of reactive oxygen species, which can lead to significant cellular damage. Additionally, arsenic can cause protein structural impairment, disrupting normal cellular functions and pathways. Furthermore, it can lead to microtubular disruption, which is critical for maintaining the integrity of the cellular architecture. On a molecular level, arsenic triggers DNA damage through the emission of harmful hydroxyl radicals, thereby contributing to its known carcinogenic potential. [15, 16, 17]

4.1 Cellular Uptake of Arsenic

Cellular uptake of arsenic is a critical step in its geomedical relevance, toxicity, and diagnostic evaluation. In humans, inorganic arsenic from drinking water is taken up by aquaglyceroporin channels in the cell membrane due to its similarity to glycerol. Arsenic then traverses the membrane and enters the cytosol, where it is converted into various toxic species. Phosphate transporters also accept arsenic as a substrate, allowing uptake of phosphate analogs such as arsenate [14]. Once inside the cell, arsenic predominantly targets the respiratory system, digestive system, and skin [3]. The toxic mechanism involves perturbation of survival pathways, which normally activate DNA repair and regulate oxidative stress, leading to genomic instability and oxidative damage that causes injury to the cell.

4.2. Mechanisms of Cellular Damage

Arsenic enters human cells through several mechanisms, including aquaglyceroporin water channels, the hexose permease pathway, and the process of pinocytosis. Once it has been internalized, arsenic targets multiple critical systems, specifically the endocrine, nervous, reproductive, circulatory, and immune systems. The various lung pathologies that arise from arsenic exposure, which include both cancer and non-malignant pulmonary diseases, represent significant and detrimental health impacts due to this toxic element. It has been observed that high concentrations of arsenic can result in an arrest of cell cycle progression. In addition, these high levels may lead to extensive alterations in signal transduction pathways that serve to enhance cellular toxicity and compromise overall cell health. Furthermore, the presence of arsenic induces the formation of DNA-protein cross-links-genotoxic lesions recognized as particularly persistent and potentially harmful. These lesions can result in a loss of essential genetic material or the inactivation of important tumor suppressor genes that play a crucial role in regulating cell growth. The extent of DNA-protein cross-linking that occurs correlates positively with the level of arsenic exposure experienced by individuals, making it a noteworthy biomarker of genetic damage. These cross-links may also contribute to the mutations that are involved in the development of cancers related to arsenicosis. Based on these profiles of cytotoxicity and the associated risks, pharmacological treatments for individuals exposed to arsenic must be administered with a great deal of caution, necessitating careful adjustments in order to mitigate any adverse effects that may arise. [18, 14]

Chapter - 5

Diagnostic Indicators of Arsenic Exposure

This section elaborates on tools and techniques for the detection of arsenic in tissues and describes the clinical diagnosis of arsenicosis. Assessment of arsenic-contaminated soil and water is discussed in the following section.

Biomarkers of exposure to inorganic arsenic include the analysis of total arsenic in urine and urinary porphyrins. Dermatologic lesions that develop after chronic ingestion of inorganic arsenic serve as long-term biomarkers of cumulative exposure. Candidate effect biomarkers include clastogenicity in peripheral lymphocytes, micronuclei in oral mucosa and bladder cells, and induction of heme oxygenase. Variability in arsenic metabolism, reflecting genetic polymorphisms, constitutes a potential susceptibility biomarker [19].

Major biomarkers that indicate arsenic exposure are primarily the levels of arsenic found in urine, hair, and nails. In the case of urine samples, these samples are typically analyzed and evaluated within a strict timeframe of one day to ensure accurate results. Medical examinations related to arsenic exposure include a thorough assessment of hemoglobin levels alongside total leukocyte count and differential white cell counts, which encompass counts for polymorphs, lymphocytes, monocytes, and eosinophils. Additionally, tests are conducted for urine albumin and sugar levels, along with meticulous microscopic examinations of urine samples. Blood work is also an essential component, where serum creatinine and serum glutamic-pyruvic transaminase levels are tested. Furthermore, electrocardiograms are performed, and QT intervals are closely monitored to support the diagnostic process. Blood and urine samples collected from not only the subjects but also their family members undergo comprehensive analysis to evaluate any potential exposure to arsenic. Clinical symptoms associated with arsenicosis serve as the primary criteria for the careful selection of subjects in studies related to this toxic exposure. [3]

5.1 Biomarkers of Exposure

Analyses of inorganic arsenic (iAs) levels in urine and urinary porphyrins are common biomarkers of exposure [19]. The presence of dermatologic lesions in conjunction with a documented history of chronic iAs ingestion also

constitutes a long-term biomarker that represents the magnitude of cumulative exposure. Several candidate biomarkers of effects have been investigated, including clastogenicity in lymphocytes, micronuclei in oral mucosa and bladder cells, and induction of heme oxygenase. Variation in the pattern of iAs metabolism, which may reflect inherited polymorphisms in key genes, has been proposed as a susceptibility factor. [20, 21, 22, 23]

5.2 Clinical Diagnosis of Arsenic Poisoning

Arsenic poisoning is associated with changes in the pigmentation pattern of the skin and keratosis of palms and soles, which are considered the clinical signs of the disease. Chronic arsenic exposure may result in Bowen's disease or squamous cell carcinoma [4]. The diagnosis of arsenicosis therefore primarily depends on the observation of the symptoms. The source of exposure can be identified if a patient shows these clinical symptoms without arsenic use in drugs or occupation. After excluding other causes of poisoning, the diagnosis can be confirmed through the demonstration of a high level of arsenic either in urine, blood or hair. Because the urinary arsenic metabolites are a reliable marker for assessing arsenic exposure, determination of arsenic in a urine sample is useful for early detection and diagnosis of arsenicosis in the exposed people.* Laboratory investigations, such as measurement through atomic absorption spectroscopy, can be implemented to detect arsenic poisoning.

The levels of arsenic in environmental medium such as water and soil are also an important consideration for the diagnosis. The absence of arsenic contamination in the area of residence indicates that the patient must have been exposed elsewhere, or that a cause other than arsenicosis may be responsible for the manifestations of the disease. Hence, the assessment of contamination provides useful information for the further consideration of the diagnosis.

A high level of arsenic in blood is a reliable indicator of people who have been exposed to the toxic for a long period through drinking water or food consumption [3]. Blood arsenic was found to be 5, 41, 57 and 98 ppb in control, less affected, moderately affected and severely affected members, respectively. Arsenic concentration in urine was 8, 120, 152 and 697 ppb, respectively in the categories identified above. [24, 25, 26, 27]

Chapter - 6

Assessment of Soil and Water Contamination

The comprehensive assessment of arsenic contamination that affects both soil and water integrates a range of methodologies for effective sampling, thorough analysis, and meticulous risk evaluation. Within the realm of techniques for sampling and analysis (6.1), a variety of approaches provide crucial data that help characterize environmental concentrations of arsenic and also determine the potential levels of exposure that individuals might encounter. Different sampling methods and analytical strategies enable a detailed characterization of arsenic contamination specifically within residential environments; the selection of the appropriate techniques hinges on the specific requirements and applications for which the information is being gathered. Meanwhile, risk assessment models (6.2) leverage that environmental data to project potential health risks while simultaneously facilitating the development of appropriate exposure controls aimed at protecting public health. These prediction models utilize critical variables such as the concentration of arsenic found in the soil, the duration of exposure over time, and the specific geographical site location to assess the likelihood of adverse health effects. Various models are equipped to evaluate scenarios that consider conditions both with and without the presence of potential contamination sources, offering a comprehensive understanding of the risks involved. While the information gathered on arsenic contamination is undeniably essential for understanding and evaluating potential health effects, it is imperative to recognize that the overlap in exposure profiles for individuals who are either exposed or unexposed to elevated levels of environmental arsenic necessitates the use of supplementary diagnostic indicators, which are thoroughly discussed in the section titled "Diagnostic Indicators of Arsenic Exposure." The conclusions drawn from this assessment framework are notably consistent with findings from studies that involve larger populations and that feature higher soil arsenic concentrations. Although these findings may at first appear inconsistent with those generated by traditional risk assessment methods, it is important to acknowledge that biomonitoring and risk assessments differ fundamentally in their areas of focus. For instance, speciated arsenic detected in urine encompasses all

sources of inorganic arsenic, including dietary intake and water sources. Therefore, the measurement of increased soil exposure is constrained by its magnitude in comparison to other prevailing sources of inorganic arsenic. In contrast, risk assessments are constructed to integrate health-protective policies aimed at circumventing any underestimation of soil exposure, irrespective of whether background exposures from alternative sources are present and potentially higher. Furthermore, effectively communicating these crucial differences to the community is of utmost importance in the ongoing effort to convey and clarify the associated risks. [28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 11]

6.1 Sampling and Analysis Techniques

Methods for collection and analysis of soil and water samples are required in the geo-biomedical evaluation of the nature, scope and duration of arsenic contamination. Representative collection of residential soil and drinking water samples and subsequent analyses of arsenic concentration in these media are essential for evaluating the extent of contamination and for delineating the areas of elevated concentrations [28]. Soil and water samples are also key parameters in human health risk assessment, which is one of the important aspects of the geo-biomedical evaluation.

Arsenic determinations in water, soil, and various soil-related matrices were meticulously performed by utilizing inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry (ICP-MS). This advanced analytical technique followed the digestion of soil samples using concentrated nitric acid within a microwave-assisted reaction system, which enhances the efficiency and effectiveness of the extraction process. The arsenic concentrations found in the drinking-water samples were reported as the arithmetic average derived from multiple distinct collection events. In contrast, soil concentrations were reported as the weighted average based on data obtained from multiple subsamples collected from different locations. [29, 33, 34, 35]

6.2 Risk Assessment Models

Risk assessment methods link concentrations of arsenic in soil or water with indicators of geomedical risk and, where possible, with health risks to exposed populations [5]. These methods work by taking an input arsenic concentration and applying a set of mathematical equations from a published dose-response model to arrive at estimates of a geomedical or health indicator. Effective models are source and region dependent.

Models applicable to anthropogenic sources, including but not limited to the Arabian Gulf pollution site, the Jhinjhana industrial belt, the various industries in Ghaziabad, and the Gafr industrial area, produce critical

estimates of the geomedical potential in relation to a specifically defined benchmark concentration. These models thus enable the ranking or relative prioritization of various sites, which may prove useful in the comprehensive evaluation of other anthropogenic sediment and soil deposits that arise as a direct consequence of industrial activity. In instances involving alluvial soil, such as that found in the Indus alluvial plain, the assessment of geomedical risk can be estimated for localized source-to-sink models in the wake of flooding events, which can drastically alter environmental conditions. Furthermore, the assessment of incremental health risks that arise from prolonged exposure to contaminated groundwater is an essential and significant component of any thorough environmental risk analysis. The release of harmful pollutants into the environment may lead to the direct contamination of groundwater sources or could cause indirect groundwater contamination through an intermediary medium, which might include soil, sediments, or even atmospheric deposition, depending on the specific conditions of the industrial activities taking place in the area. [36]

Chapter - 7

Therapeutic Approaches to Arsenic Toxicity

Arsenic detoxification treatments are complex and generally involve various agents that effectively reduce arsenic concentrations within the body or accelerate its metabolic excretion processes. One such agent, sodium thiosulfate, has been commonly utilized to promote daily arsenic excretion particularly in instances of chronic toxicity where individuals suffer from prolonged exposure. Moreover, the inorganic forms of arsenic-specifically, arsenite [As(III)] and arsenate [As(V)]-exhibit distinct toxicological profiles and differences in their accumulation tendencies within biological systems. This indicates a critical necessity for detoxification strategies that are specifically tailored to address the chemical species involved in arsenic exposure and toxicity. Understanding these differences is essential for developing effective treatment protocols. [37, 38, 3]

7.1 Detoxification Strategies

Detoxification procedures that are promptly initiated right after exposure to arsenic represent the primary and most effective treatment strategy for addressing arsenic toxicity. It is crucial to ensure that any contaminated garments, clothing, and other personal items are swiftly removed from the individuals who have been exposed. Additionally, the skin of the exposed subjects should be flushed thoroughly and meticulously with soap and an ample amount of water to eliminate any potential traces of arsenic that might remain. In situations where patients have ingested water or food that is contaminated with arsenic, these should be promptly eliminated through forced emesis or gastric lavage, which can clear the harmful substances from the stomach. The administration of activated charcoal and other kinds of adsorbents can significantly assist in binding any residual arsenic that might still be present in the gastrointestinal tract. For cases involving very recent exposures, certain laboratory procedures that are specifically aimed at binding arsenic in the gastrointestinal tract may be utilized, but only at the discretion and guidance of a qualified toxicology expert who can assess the situation accurately [37].

7.2 Pharmacological Interventions

Pharmacological interventions emerge as a vital and direct response to the numerous arsenic-induced pathologies that can develop, depending on the severity of the clinical manifestations that are observed and the specific arsenic compounds that have been ingested by the affected individual. A carefully proposed adjustment of the pharmaceutical armamentarium aims to align precisely with the broad spectrum of arsenic cellular toxicity that has been elucidated in prior research, ensuring a coherent and effective therapeutic strategy. This well-designed strategy directly addresses the molecular and cellular disturbances that have been identified through an extensive GEO-BIOMEDICAL assessment, thereby facilitating a highly tailored approach to treatment. This approach not only considers the individual patient's specific arsenic-related condition but also takes into account the diversity of biological responses to different arsenic species, making it even more effective. By recognizing and addressing these factors, pharmacological interventions can be fine-tuned, optimizing their impact on patient health outcomes and improving the efficiency of the overall treatment process. [37]

Chapter - 8

Preventive Measures and Public Health Policies

Preventive measures are most effective in reducing exposure. At the community level, educational campaigns that address arsenic exposure, health risks, and mitigation strategies based on well water monitoring results are crucial. Carefully targeted programs increase awareness and protective behaviors. Measures at the regulatory level include land use planning to prevent the development of housing and agriculture in hazardous areas, land zoning to encourage remediation, monitoring of arsenic concentrations in private wells, and restrictions on the drilling of new wells where risks are high. Awareness of the extent of an arsenic problem in contaminated areas can enable the development of appropriate remediation actions and influence policies and regulations regarding the use of arsenic-contaminated materials in public spaces. [39]

8.1 Community Awareness Programs

Arsenic contamination affects over 137 million people across 70 countries worldwide [6]. Chronic arsenic exposure results in arsenicosis-multisystem disorders and various cancers-that markedly decrease life quality and increase mortality. Efficient exposure assessment is crucial for timely treatment and arsenicosis elimination. Studies have thus focused on reliable exposure indicators or biomarkers, with severity of skin lesions being the most useful parameter. Awareness of arsenic-related health problems forms a critical prerequisite for effective community participation in mitigation strategies [40].

Arsenicosis, in addition to Chronic Kidney Disease of unknown etiology (CKDu) and Hypertension, stands as a profoundly serious public health concern that demands urgent attention. Comprehensive awareness programs specifically designed to delve into these pressing issues seek to thoroughly educate local communities about the significant and potentially life-threatening health risks associated with the consumption of contaminated groundwater sources. Moreover, these programs also focus on effective methods for arsenic prevention and management that can be strategically utilized by individuals within these communities. Detailed field research that

was conducted over the years from 2010 to 2013 in various affected regions of Sri Lanka highlights not only the critical importance but also the necessity of implementing community-based initiatives that are focused on exposure reduction. These concerted efforts are essential and play a vital role in significantly mitigating the health risks posed by arsenic present in drinking water. [8]

8.2 Regulatory Frameworks

Regulatory frameworks in place aim to restrict arsenic concentrations in water, food, air, or consumer products. Contaminant dispersion paths play an undeveloped research role in guiding policy decisions on ecosystem protection. In areas of concern, applying soil guidelines conservatively, considering other contamination sources, and integrating human and ecological risk assessments constitute prudent interim approaches. Incorporating bioavailability modifies risk characterization, but adjustments to toxicological criteria already incorporating relative bioavailability may be unnecessary; thus, changes to contamination limits should be supported by explicit risk-based reasoning.

Routine environmental observations combined with toxicokinetic modeling permit regional evaluation of human exposure. Accurate modeling benefits from bioavailability data and exposure limits that differentiate among chemical species. Local variability in arsenic bioavailability remains poorly understood; shifting toxicological benchmarks to lower bioavailability is advisable only when site-specific data are unavailable [41].

Chapter - 10

Case Studies of Arsenic Exposure

Global Case Studies Evidence of Widespread Contamination The omnipresent and troubling presence of arsenic in the groundwater across numerous regions of the globe, including but not limited to Vietnam, Myanmar, and Thailand, indicates that arsenic contamination is not merely an issue isolated to a handful of countries or specific locales. Instead, it represents a significant and widespread environmental challenge that poses serious threats to the health, well-being, and livelihood of millions upon millions of individuals who rely on this vital resource.

CHATTISGARH Within the borders of India, the most critically impacted region in Chhattisgarh is situated in a designated coal mining belt, where levels of arsenic concentrations found in groundwater can soar alarmingly as high as 1,557 µg L-1. In this distressed area, it has been reported that entire villages experience contamination issues, with as much as 80% of the tubewells displaying concerning levels of pollutants. Maximum concentrations exceeding 250 µg L-1 have been documented in three of the districts severely affected by this contamination crisis. The demographics of this region reveal that it is largely rural and impoverished, characterized by a relatively small population struggling with these environmental challenges [8, 3].

9.1 Global Case Studies

Long-term, low-dose arsenic exposure poses significant health risks for populations residing in contaminated regions, a concern magnified where such exposure is compounded with other chemical toxins. This evaluation reviews the geo-biomedical implications of arsenic contamination across diverse case studies from Bangladesh, India, China, Taiwan, Mexico, Chile, and the United States. The investigation develops cellular mechanisms of arsenic toxicity and identifies enhanced diagnostic indicators that address the limitations of conventional techniques. In conjunction with these insights, the study evaluates soil and water contamination in the Midwestern United States. The compiled evidence underscores the emergence of optimized therapeutic interventions essential for safeguarding public health in affected communities.

Manifold sources of inorganic arsenic ranging from natural minerals to industrial chemicals and pesticides foster widespread environmental dissemination [8]. Depending on the chemical and geographical context, natural contamination arises through various mechanisms such as mine drainage, geothermal discharge, and volcanic activity. Although the magnitude of these responses has exhibited only minor variations over geologic times, the impact of anthropogenic contamination has intensified. Natural depletion from hydrologic processes combined with limited soil and downstream flushing accentuates the concentration and availability of arsenic in soil, water, and air. Induced contamination further accumulates in sediments by enhanced chemical mobilization and ion exchange. The coupling of arsenic with other chemical toxins facilitates synergistic and cumulative toxic effects after prolonged exposure. Approaches for the evaluation of arsenic exposure and the development of risk assessments addressing combined exposure to multiple toxicants therefore remain critical.

Cross-disciplinary investigations on the geochemical enhancement of arsenic bio-availability and its associated toxicity facilitate the integration of exposures and risks at multiple transitional interfaces. Designed multi-scale triage extraction procedures enable the sequential extraction and separation of arsenic from each transition within geo-biochemical systems. The resulting fraction maps identify favorable conditions for mobilization from solid deposits into the water phase, such as pH, redox potential, salinity, and competing ions. Both chemical and cellular kinetic studies contribute to the understanding of biotransformation and intracellular transitions in the sequestration, redistribution, and transfer of arsenic.

The examination of geochemical and environmental controls furnishes a diagnostic protocol for the indication of cumulative arsenic exposure. The interpretation of enhanced in-vivo analyses of cellular and tissue samples extracted from exposed subjects contributes a standard for the severity of arsenic toxicity. Complementary field studies unlock geo-environmental and bio-medical mechanisms leading to refinement of the corresponding computational models. Guided by a global dataset, these capabilities facilitate the characterization of uncertainties and scale-up to realistic exposure scenarios at the regional level. [42, 43, 44, 45]

9.2 Local Case Studies

In parts of India, Bangladesh, and Bangladesh a natural source of groundwater contamination with ubiquitously occurring arsenic in terrestrial environments under oxidizing conditions contaminates drinking water,

causing an unusual combination of acute and chronic geomedical problems. Their locality, based on the abundance of naturally occurring groundwater arsenic, and the major geomedical effects were highlighted [8]. By the mid-1940s, arsenic had been used clinically in the treatment of a variety of diseases and as an industrial medicine [3]. The broad nature of the usefulness of arsenicals at the cellular level is probably related to the widespread availability in cells of a number of potential molecular carriers of arsenic.

Individuals residing in arsenic-affected regions of central and eastern India were meticulously surveyed to thoroughly estimate the risks associated with arsenic exposure and poisoning, as well as to identify potential biomarkers indicative of exposure and its subsequent effects. This comprehensive survey encompassed a complete medical examination of an entire family living in the impacted area, alongside an intricate clinical analysis of arsenical skin lesions observed in the affected family members. Additionally, blood and urine samples were collected and analyzed from select individuals within these families. The elevated blood arsenic levels noted in the family showing symptoms substantiate a significant correlation between high concentrations of arsenic in both blood and urine and the manifestation of symptoms characteristic of chronic arsenicosis. Furthermore, this study also illuminated the clear relationship between arsenic ingestion through contaminated drinking water and the subsequent emergence of arsenical skin lesions, as well as other associated symptoms of chronic arsenicosis, among the residents living in these arsenic-affected locales. [46, 47, 48, 49]

Chapter - 10

Future Directions in Arsenic Research

Arsenic's ubiquity across the planet leaves it the only major pollutant not continuously detectable in the atmosphere. Nevertheless, arsenic contamination constitutes a major threat to the environmental safety and health of millions of inhabitants worldwide. Enhancement of our knowledge and understanding of arsenic emissions, transport and the associated effects to plants, animals and humans is crucial to developing measures capable of mitigating environmental and human impact. In response to the future challenges associated with arsenic contamination, this work not only focuses on the on-going geo-biomedical aspects of arsenic research, but also highlights future research avenues on the environmental mapping of arsenic and the geo-biomedical evaluation of arsenic contamination. Novel strategies that have recently been developed towards the improved evaluation and management of arsenic contamination are also presented.

Emphasis is placed on the importance and necessity of exploring alternative technologies to potentiometry for selective and sensitive arsenic detection in a variety of environmental samples. This approach aims to address the shortcomings and limitations that are currently associated with traditional techniques. The diversity and variety of chemodosimeters available today, along with the rapid transition towards practical devices specifically designed for trace aqueous analysis, are discussed extensively as a strategic solution to the limitations of current speciation techniques. This transition is crucial as it represents a significant advancement in analytical chemistry. In relation to therapeutic approaches, both current and emerging therapies exhibit varying efficacy when it comes to combating different types of arsenical compounds present in the environment. For instance, dimercaptosuccinic acid, known for its effectiveness, is commonly considered a safe option compared to other widely used metal chelators that are typically employed for the treatment of acute arsenic intoxication. The reported efficacy of these different therapeutic approaches is analyzed in detail, and this analysis is conducted alongside the cellular toxicity exhibited by the diverse arsenical species. This comprehensive examination aims to suggest possible adjustments to current treatment procedures or to inspire and guide the development of innovative

new treatment strategies that could improve patient outcomes in cases of arsenic exposure. [39, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54]

10.1 Emerging Technologies in Detection

Apart from the development of new treatments to counteract arsenic poisoning, much research is being conducted on substantial detection technologies, as quick and efficient detection methods are complementary to important diagnostic and therapeutic decisions. The employment of nanomaterials-based aptasensors integrated with portable devices provides the opportunity to achieve miniaturized, rapid-response, and on-site arsenic detection; these sensors possess distinct advantages such as outstanding selectivity, sensitivity, and reproducibility [55]. Detection is the method of discovering any changes or events, either changes of the physical objects or changes of the instruments. The main purpose of detection is to identify the changes, mainly the abnormal states or behaviours of the physical objects or systems. A number of detection technologies have emerged in last few decades for identifying the abnormal states or behaviours of system. In recent times, detecting event/requests of interest (e.g., an emergency, healthcare requests) as they occur and reporting them in a timely manner is an imperative feature of society that relies on dependable and efficient sensor networks.

The continuing trend in computing and daily entertainment devices toward convergence is inexorably connecting smart devices such as smart phones to the Internet. With the growth of mobile traffic, the feasibility of deploying smart sensors that surround the user and that monitor the physical space and living environment of the user to provide ubiquitous and intelligent services is becoming an emerging paradigm in wireless networks. With the rapid development of the smart-sensor system, detection technology based on the Internet of Things has received more and more attention. Because detection technology can be used in diversified scenes, such as transportation, health, environmental protection, and personnel management, some detection tasks require only small-scale sensing data, and the collected small-scale sensing data can satisfy the service quality requirements of users and the detection task itself.

Detection technologies have evolved continuously from their earliest implementations. Traditional techniques, basic yet broadly applicable, remain commercial standards and serve as vital metrics for assessing recently introduced approaches. Radioisotopes, for instance, generate gamma-ray emissions essential to various emerging detection systems. Vapour detection, acoustic sensing, and X-ray imaging of concealed objects, also common in

current methods, continue to provide reliable detection of hidden elements. Similarly, geophysical sensing, acoustic profiling, optical image processing, and electrical signature assessment offer established practices against which new developments benchmark. Encryption and steganography for information security, distributed sensor networks, systems design, and network routing likewise represent foundational methods still in active use [1].

The generic scientific detection problem exemplifies a scenario where traditional methods retain validity and utility. An emerging source, whose location or identity may be unknown, becomes observable solely through a system of sensors. The critical task lies in determining the attributes of the detected target from a finite sequence of sensor observations and in providing measures of confidence regarding this determination. Despite the apparent simplicity of the statement, the problem is fundamentally challenging and spans multiple disciplines. Formulating solutions to particular cases of the detection problem thereby benefits from an understanding of existing methods and their capabilities [2].

While an extensive range of new and developing methods now exist, the fundamental challenges outlined by traditional requirements ensure that the pursuit of innovative techniques remains essential. The capabilities and limitations of conventional approaches provide a context for appreciating the significance and potential of emerging technologies in detection.

Emerging Artificial Intelligence (AI) technologies can analyze large sensor data volumes within distributed networks, autonomously derive event detection criteria that achieve high detection accuracy while suppressing nuisance triggers, and perform signal discrimination with a temporal resolution as short as 10 ms [3]. Algorithms are optimized for the Considerable computational and transmission overheads associated with centralized running of existing AI methods. These capabilities can significantly address operational problems encountered in sensor-system technologies and can facilitate widespread adoption of sensor-network technologies. AI for next-generation sensor networks can in turn stimulate development of emerging AI research areas such as deep transfer learning and active learning.

Machine-learning algorithms-a traditional AI approach-can enhance network-performance accuracy by analyzing data from distributed and centralized smart sensors [4]. The optimal approach involves one of fixed, centralized, or distributed algorithms, with a distributed-architecture implementation-running on smaller, resource-constrained nodes at the onset of data collection that transmit data to the centralized system as needed-

providing optimal reliability and security. The permutations of AI, ML, and protocol algorithms in fixed, centralized, and distributed nodes total nearly one hundred options for network-management strategies; selection among them depends on security and reliability priorities, power-consumption goals, and sensor-network architectures. An ML algorithm for joint security-reliability optimization of sensor networks automatically selects an optimal network-management strategy from this extensive set based on performance analysis.

Machine Learning Algorithms (MLA) are emerging as crucial components of intrusion detection systems (IDS) due to their capacity to process and learn from data in various formats. MLA convert input data into high-dimensional feature vectors and subsequently extract patterns indicative of intrusions. They have been applied in both misuse-detection methods, which rely on prior knowledge of known attacks, and anomaly-detection methods, which identify deviations from normal behavior to detect previously unknown threats. Machine learning, statistical-based, and knowledge-based methods are commonly deployed in anomaly-based IDS, which detect malicious activities primarily through the Internet. Machine learning encompasses Supervised, Semi-Supervised, and Unsupervised Learning algorithms. Supervised machine learning employs a trained, labeled dataset.

Deep learning techniques are widely applied in detection to reduce false positives and false negatives. By creating an additional network level, which increases the accuracy of detection, they also reduce the total number of operations performed per image. The use of screening makes the detection of suspicious characteristics stand out. Optical character recognition makes the image readable and interpretable. The OCR output is then analyzed by another classification deep network, either fully-connected or interview multilayer stereo.

The Internet of Things (IoT) is a network of interconnected smart devices-tagged with identifiers, equipped with embedded technologies, such as sensors, monitors, and software-that enables these "things" to collect and exchange data. The widespread availability of smart devices, combined with ever more powerful Big Data processing capabilities, is creating new opportunities to collect, analyze, and disseminate real-time information, transforming how computer-vision systems interpret the world. Recent advances in IoT are transforming many domains, e.g., smart cities, disaster management, manpower, health services, military, etc. In the IoT sector, surveillance plays an important role in cognition, contact, and control. It keeps our living environment and workspace in view and under control. By coupling

the detection system with electronic technologies, IoT architectures can be designed. The artifact within the sensing range is detected, tracked, and classified with a distributed sensor network. Sensor data forming a multimedia flow of collective sensing analysis of musical movement can be used to gather information from the real world [5]. Smart sensors of the Internet-of-Things (IoT) surveillance network enable the detection of multiple targets of interest. Multi-target detection relies heavily on the effective collection and thorough analysis of relevant data. A large amount of data must be collected and analyzed. Artificial Intelligence technologies, such as Machine Learning Algorithms (MLA) and Deep Learning Techniques (DLT), are utilized to define the optimized models for the analysis and modeling of big data. MLA and DLT, when integrated with the smart sensing architecture, greatly improve the detection rate and safety of the IoT surveillance network. The analysis provided may encourage data scientists and technologists to apply MLA and DLT together with IoT analysis or prediction techniques for developing surveillance-based applications.

Recent advances in sensor technology have led to the development of smart sensors that integrate transduction, processing electronics, and digital bus interfaces in a single package. These sensors leverage solid-state technologies, silicon micromachining, and standard integrated circuit fabrication to offer lower cost, higher performance, and enhanced reliability compared with discrete counterparts. Widespread adoption of smart sensors can increase system versatility and reduce overheads by providing amplified, processed, multiplexed, and buffered signals compatible with microprocessor interfaces. Applications in transportation and healthcare illustrate their potential, but early implementations often replace conventional sensors without realizing the benefits afforded by system redesign. Obstacles such as high R&D costs, the need for retraining, and the effort required to upgrade control systems continue to limit broader deployment [6].

The Internet of Things (IoT) offers a promising solution for local and remote real-time detection, deploying smart networks composed of smart sensors dispersed in the environment. These sensors continuously gather information and deliver precise, up-to-date observations that support local and remote intelligent data analysis [7].

Most IoT detection systems adopt a hierarchical three-layer architecture comprising a perception layer, a network layer, and an application layer. The perception layer captures phenomena and collects physical, chemical, and biological signals. The network layer manages data transmission between the perception and application layers. The application layer performs intelligent

data analyses to recognize, describe, and track target features, enabling new applications, driving decisions, and generating alarms. Monitoring systems for the Internet of Things consist of a detection box outfitted with associated software.

Blockchain adoption has emerged as a critical enabler to mitigate cybersecurity and data validation bottlenecks that impede the functioning of emerging technology use cases. Contemporary blockchain solutions provide a secure and decentralized infrastructure that enables root-of-trust and unalterable data provenance for authorized writers. Blockchain is a collaborative distributed ledger that can record and share all transaction events between mutually untrusted entities. The transaction provenance is assured using cryptographic hash functions and digital signature mechanisms on fault-tolerant consensus protocols, which eliminates the need for a trusted third party in mutually untrusted environments. However, these systems do have drawbacks, principally concerning latency and throughput enforcement-though solutions such as Hyperledger Fabric enable short-term transaction rates of 20,000 per second [8].

Blockchain holds promise for improving data security, integrity, and transparency in detection and decision-making systems. Distributed ledger technology (DLT) establishes secure, immutable repositories for the reliable and verifiable storage of operational-technology data, crucial for the industry's digital transformation [9]. During data gathering and processing, the potential for integrity compromise or malicious data replacement remains, yet distributed ledger technologies can safeguard the integrity and provenance of collected data. By time-stamping detection and alert data on a blockchain, their authenticity can be verified throughout their lifecycle. Industrial data and analytics typically accumulate in various centralized databases or cloud platforms, failing to provide a unified view. Distributed ledger technology facilitates the creation and management of a trusted record that documents the entire history of industrial data operations, enabling the detection of unauthorized alterations through the recorded processes. The increased ease of data sharing among multiple entities also introduces security challenges, particularly concerning the ownership and confidentiality of shared data [8]. Malicious entities could compromise critical data or misuse datasets, thereby affecting the performance of detection systems.

An effective collaborative intrusion detection system based on a blockchain approach has been proposed for detecting insider attacks within blockchain networks. The two-stage model employs the Hierarchical Weighted Fuzzy Logic (HWFL) algorithm and the Self-Organizing Stacked

Network (SOSN) to analyze data and classify the network state as either normal or under attack. Keywords encrypted in the network traffic of each node are shared via a secure smart contract deployed on the Ethereum blockchain.

Detection technologies are employed in a broad range of use cases that require secure, tamperproof, and transparent data collection and distribution. One primary use of blockchain in detection is the creation of tamperproof audit logs. Audit logs are required for meeting compliance with business and industry regulations, including the Payment Card Industry Data Security Standard, Sarbanes-Oxley Act, and the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act. Simultaneously, AI technology is changing the nature of cyber-attacks, allowing insider threats and resident viruses to go unnoticed for extended periods of time. The rapid detection of these threats and the instant determination of their impact is an area well suited for blockchain technology.

Other blockchain detection use cases include security orchestration automation and response tools and threat intelligence platforms. These systems require extensive communication between disparate groups and other software systems. By acting as the underlying protocol for the coordination and communication between communities, a multi-tenant security type software platform can be developed with unprecedented scale and flexibility.

Biometrics refers to the automated or semi-automated recognition of individuals based on their physical, behavioral or psychophysiological traits, such as face, fingerprints, iris, gait, keyboard typing pattern, signature, ECG, EEG, and saccadic eye movement [10]. The classical biometric system serves as a pattern-recognition engine that extracts discriminative features from input data and compares these to stored templates. Biometric systems are multisensorial, multifactorial, and multimodal, thereby providing the capacity to combine more than one biometric trait in a single system, which enhances reliability and accuracy. Security requirements are fulfilled more efficiently, and flexibility in functionality and application is increased. Integration and acceptance of biometric systems are anticipated in areas where traditional techniques impose heavy costs or inconvenience [11]. Also, biometric technology's new features such as thermal monitoring can be useful in applications where fear of a contagious disease or high-risk areas demands a noncontact measurement technique.

Face recognition technology has gained substantial attention within the security and law enforcement communities, albeit without a universally satisfactory definition and delineation of disciplinary boundaries. Since the

early 1970s, face detection has aimed to locate one or more faces in single images or video frames. It is foundational to the face recognition process, with applications in surveillance, digital photography, and human-computer interaction. While fingerprint recognition remains the most widely used biometric technique-due to its historical association with forensic and criminal applications-face recognition is emerging as a competitive alternative by extracting facial features for individual identification [12]. Both national governments and commercial manufacturers of security equipment have supported the development of face detection technologies. The past few years have witnessed an increase in face-detection applications within safety and surveillance systems.

Fingerprint scanning is a widespread biometric method employed for personal identification and access control. Compared to traditional PIN-based systems, it offers greater security and convenience since the identification method is inherently tied to the individual. Biometric identification has become popular in automated teller machine (ATM), electronic banking, and computer authorization applications [13].

Fingerprint technology is expected to become more prevalent due to the availability of small, inexpensive capture devices, fast computing hardware, improved recognition rates, and the expansion of network transactions [14]. The underlying fingerprint pattern consists of a series of ridges and valleys, which are captured graphically. Matching is achieved by comparing key points such as ridge endings and bifurcations, known as minutiae, which are stored as templates. Identification can be either one-to-one verification-comparing a person's fingerprint against a single stored record for validation, as in ATM authentication-or one-to-many identification, involving comparison against a larger database.

Remote sensing is one of the emerging platforms for detection. Its importance in environmental monitoring and resource management has increased the curiosity of researchers and the need to answer questions such as: What are the growth rates of urban areas and how does infrastructure development match up with the increasing localized demand for transportation, energy, water, and food? How can rainfall rates be accurately retrieved from satellite observations for flood prediction, landslides, mosquito-borne epidemics and other natural hazards? How does deforestation affect biodiversity loss and the global carbon balance? What is the effect of the rise in sea surface temperatures on global warming? How do subtle changes in sea surface salinity affect ocean circulation? Is subsidence threatening buildings in urban areas that had previously been mined? These

questions can only be answered by combining remote sensing and geophysical modeling. The scientific challenge is to develop retrieval algorithms that describe the physical measurement process in sufficient detail and are simple enough to allow robust inversion of signals. Considering the exponential growth of data volumes, future remote sensing should focus on automated data processing and the development of robust algorithms and processing chains requiring little human intervention. Remote sensing uses sensors operating in various electromagnetic spectrum regions, mounted on spaceborne, airborne, and terrestrial platforms to acquire geophysical data from global to local scales [15].

Traditional remote sensing systems sampled lands and oceans in neat rectangular or square blocks at visual and photographic resolution [16]. Remotely sensed images have been widely used for centuries to remotely monitor deforestation, estimate crop health, and map urbanization [17]. Over the past decades, the rapid development of satellite imaging capability across NASA, NOAA, and ESA opened new opportunities to monitor Earth, the oceans, and the atmosphere-thus enabling studies of climate patterns, hazards, and natural resources. Because channels are scanned and images are reconstructed, the acquired images differ greatly from others acquired by traditional optical imaging systems. Also, resolution-enhancement methods that are typically applied on images captured by traditional cameras are known to fail on satellite images.

Detecting satellite-image manipulation (which may be intentionally inserted to create a misleading message) is an important topic. A promising approach to detect manipulation within satellite images is based on visual transformers. Vision transformer extracts high-resolution local and global features for satellite images, enabling effective splicing detection. Although large-scale satellite-image databases have recently been released, datasets that contain manipulated satellite images are rare, and no benchmarks exist. Citation placeholders of type should be integrated into the prose.

Advances in Remote Sensing Technologies have extended the detection and observation capabilities to rapidly evolving air and space environments [18]. Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs) represent the convergence of modern aerospace and computer science. The rapid development of affordable drones has led to widespread use in nonmilitary contexts by hobbyists, law enforcement, and others. Drones now fulfill missions such as delivery, communication, and search and rescue, but also pose risks including illicit activities, breaches of security, and privacy invasion. Real-time detection and tracking of drone activities is a critical capability given the global increase in

consumer drone popularity, an expanding range of applicable commercial use cases, platforms capable of extended flight ranges, and operations across diverse environments [19]. Techniques for drone detection include active and passive radars, acoustic sensors, radio-frequency (RF) signal detection, and visual sensors. Radars offer accurate detection aligning with the target's motion dynamics but are costly and challenging to deploy in complex settings. Acoustic sensors provide a lightweight, affordable alternative but deliver lower accuracy and shorter detection ranges. RF-based detection and visual methods complement these approaches, and multisensor strategies have been developed to leverage the strengths of individual technologies for enhanced performance. The selection of an appropriate method depends on multiple factors including cost, power consumption, environmental conditions, and specific application requirements.

Nanotechnology offers promising avenues for enhancing detection capabilities, particularly in biomedicine [20]. Nanosensors (8.1) benefit from the incorporation of nanomaterials, which improve sensitivity and enable new detection possibilities [21]. The broad spectrum of nanotechnology-based sensing approaches includes Surface Plasmon Resonance (SPR) Sensors, Surface-Enhanced Raman Scattering (SERS) Sensors, Optoelectronic-based Sensors, Bacteriophage-Based Sensors, and aptasensors. Medical applications (8.2) of these technologies contribute to rapid and precise bacterial detection, facilitating targeted treatments and mitigating the spread of infectious agents in healthcare environments [2].

Detection technologies, which measure physical quantities and convert them into detectable signals [22], play a vital role in modern society. Existing detection methods have been deployed to identify harmful elements such as weapons and chemical substances. However, novel detection technologies have also emerged in recent years, such as Artificial Intelligence (AI), the Internet of Things (IoT), Blockchain, biometrics, remote sensing, nanotechnology, environmental detection, and cybersecurity. Nanotechnology development began in 1959 with the concept of manufacturing items at the atomic scale, leading to sensors with improved sensitivity, selectivity, and portability for detecting chemicals and biological substances. Nanosensors can detect objects below 100 nm, the lower limit for optical sensors, enabling ultrasensitive measurements. Nanomaterials like quantum dots and nanorods serve as transducers in various applications, including the enzymatic detection of glucose, fluorescence detection, and biomolecular sensing [23]. Nanosensors are employed in diverse fields such as air quality monitoring, explosives detection, and biochemical sensing. While challenges persist in optimal design

and expense, the potential benefits of nanotechnology in rapid and precise detection are considerable.

Detection technologies are rapidly emerging as effective and frequently implemented tools in medical and biological research. Particularly in the developing world, low-cost diagnostic devices that are simple, portable, and based on locally available components are urgently required. Mobile point-of-care testing (POCT) devices that use commercially available smartphones and tablet computers can be integrated with transducers such as stethoscope attachments, blood pressure monitors, ultrasound devices, and digital microscopy platforms.

Several new assay technologies have been identified that complement POCT: lateral flow and paper-based microfluidics; phone-based colorimetric readers; and lab-on-a-chip systems designed to perform biological assays, DNA amplification, toxin analysis, and immunological tests with high sensitivity at low cost.

Many biological diagnostic techniques rely on optical methods such as light absorbance, fluorescence, spectrometry, and luminescence. Charge-coupled devices (CCD) and complementary metal-oxide semiconductor (CMOS) sensors enable the creation of images that allow for rapid analysis over large areas. Microfluidic and paper-based devices are optimized for the high-throughput, rapid analysis of a large number of samples. Such devices can improve the understanding of cell responses, thereby revealing mutations that alter signalling networks, which facilitates diagnosis and treatment. Devices that quickly test the efficacy of drug candidates accelerate drug development and help select compounds suited for treating particular diseases. Genetic sequencing of individual cells enhances knowledge of cellular behaviour and drug discovery, providing new tools to improve diagnosis and treatment. Opportunities also exist for isolating and studying circulating tumour cells (CTCs), which play central roles in metastatic cancer; these cells serve as markers of cancer progression and as novel targets for anticancer drugs. Early detection of communicable and non-communicable diseases (HIV/AIDS, malaria, tuberculosis, cancer, respiratory diseases) dramatically reduces morbidity and mortality.

The global biothreat environment continues to evolve; biological warfare (BW) and bioterrorism (BT) pose serious hazards. For a wider range of uses, detection methods that offer greater flexibility, sensitivity, and ease of operation allow qualitative or semi-quantitative detection of multiple analytes simultaneously. Although the culture of a microbial agent has long been considered the gold standard of diagnosis, the technique is time-consuming,

expensive, requires expert handling and containment facilities, and is not applicable to many low-titre bioagents. Culture-independent assay methods have accordingly been developed that provide significantly better sensitivity and are capable of discovering novel pathogens. Immunological assays such as enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) are widely employed for the diagnosis of single microbial infections. The MagPix assay system is based on the principle of ELISA and uses color-coded microspheres as the solid support: the combination of multiplexing and suitably designed antibodies leads to significant enhancement of sensitivity by detecting multiple analytes simultaneously. Immunochromatographic tests operate on a lateral flow principle in which colloidal gold is used as an indicator. Rapid results at the bedside within 5-10 min have revolutionized immunodiagnostic techniques. The lateral flow rapid strip test is a common immunochromatographic test that allows qualitative or semi-quantitative detection of analytes of interest, such as antigens, antibodies, and nucleic acid products. Multiple analytes can be tested simultaneously from various samples; however, the test is less sensitive than other immunological assays and is prone to false positives. Positive results thus require confirmation by other methods such as PCR. Flow-through spot tests allow fluid to flow through a porous membrane and into an absorbent pad with immobilized analyte spots that enable detection of antigens and antibodies. They are useful for rapid screening of biological agents [24, 25, 2].

Environmental detection technologies monitor and quantify changes in environmental parameters to provide data supporting resource management and threat prevention. These technologies have evolved to allow remote data collection, enabling monitoring where in-person presence is impractical [26].

Wireless sensor networks have become particularly suited for environmental monitoring applications. Equipped with various sensors, including weather, biodiversity, and potential pollutant detectors, these networks gather real-time information to improve response to environmental changes and events. Different network architectures1hierarchical, flat, and location-based1can be implemented depending on the specific requirements of the implementation site. Recent satellite imaging advancements provide additional platforms for environmental detection, contributing valuable broad-area observations relevant to environmental management.

The enlarged demand for pollution monitoring traces new technologies in sensing, identification as well as tracking of several hazardous substances and pollutants. Increasing concerns about pollution owing to the factors of industrial pollution, global warming and environmental degradation impose a heightened need for the monitoring of pollution [26]. Contaminants present in

the atmosphere are the main sort of pollution. Formerly, pollution sensing and monitoring technologies were employed on large-scale for ascertaining the environmental impact of refinery processes and industrial activities and the safety as well as health of workers. However, the focus of pollution sensing technologies currently shifts more towards home safety and guaranteeing that the quality of air in the residential environment fulfills the safety and health conditions. The monitoring of all priority pollutants is necessary in certain cases. Numerous hazardous air pollutants are regulated under the Clean Air Act, and organizations such as the American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists have set exposure limits. The Threshold Limit Values (TLV) actually represent conditions under which nearly all workers may be exposed daily without experiencing any adverse health effects under the inclusion of an adequate margin of safety. Analyses are conducted to determine whether the emissions exceed such levels. If uncontrolled emissions exceed the permitted levels, both air pollution controls and permits are required. Enforceable standards specify the maximum permissible pollutant concentration, for example, the particulate matter standards apply to all areas with the exception of national parks for 24-hr and annual averaging. A sensor-to-environment approach facilitates the distinction between either a serious health hazard or normal activity levels, whereas a sensor-to-meter approach determines the location at which such pollutants exist. Environmental monitoring and sensing systems represent applications where sensors assist in determining the level as well as kinds of environmental pollutants associated with soil, water or air. Many services like regulation of natural hazards and flood warnings request a real-time monitoring system in order to acquire immediate information on the environmental situation. Sensing technologies include a broad range of sensors intended to sense and monitor several environmental attributes. Operational chemical sensor technologies currently available in the market incorporate several kinds of thermo-gravimetric analysers, electrochemical and optical sensors, appropriate breakthrough detectors, chromatographs and dosimeters.

Wildlife conservation benefits from technologies to both monitor and manage stocks and restrict illegal hunting. Applications include tracking fish migration, monitoring biodiversity and endangered species, and species classification when animals appear in public sightings. Automated alternatives for wildlife tracking include camera traps and acoustic tags fixed or implanted on marine species that transmit a unique identifier, enabling the monitoring of individuals and their movement patterns. Arrays of receivers are used to detect these acoustic tags. Passive integrated transponder (PIT) tags can be used with fixed or mobile passive radio frequency identification (RFID) stations to study

movement. Virtual fencing deploys nonphysical barriers or virtual boundaries to control animal movement, reducing conflict and avoiding blockage of non-target species; when animals cross the boundary, recordings of electric shocks or sounds trigger discouragement. Large-scale surveillance systems support the data collected by animal-borne sensors that monitor GPS-enabled wildlife in near real-time. For example, this system tracked elephant movements within fenced enclosures to enable rapid intervention in cases of injury, death, or threats [27].

This section concerns technologies designed to detect security threats and protect computer networks and large infrastructures. Cybersecurity detection systems involve methods to safeguard networks, computer systems, and infrastructures against malicious actions such as unauthorized access and exploitation. Intrusions pose significant risks to network services, necessitating mechanisms capable of filtering potentially harmful network traffic. A fundamental component of these systems is the ability to distinguish intrusive activities from legitimate ones. Various methods are employed to address this challenge, grouped broadly into anomaly, signature, and specification-based techniques [4].

Intrusion detection technologies monitor network traffic and hosts in real time, analyzing communications to identify abnormal activities or unauthorized access attempts. When combined with defense tools, these technologies are referred to as intrusion prevention systems. The growing connectivity and digitalization of infrastructures have elevated the risks and the importance of cybersecurity [28]. Scientific research and industrial development have consequently increased efforts to improve intrusion detection solutions. The evolution of the Internet of Things and Cyber-Physical Systems (CPS) has further extended the scope of intrusion detection to encompass embedded and constrained devices and networked sensors. Identification of malicious actions within compressed network traffic and employing Artificial Intelligence (AI) both represent promising trends in this domain [29].

Intrusion detection systems are software applications or hardware devices designed to monitor a network, or system, for malicious activity or policy violations. They help identify a possible cyberattack and alert security personnel who can respond. The systems analyze network, transport and application systems from organizations for signs of intrusion and anomalous activity-and if attackers are discovered, security teams can take remedial action.

A variety of solutions are available to detect attacks at different levels in a layered network security model, including: network-based detection systems; host-based detection systems; perimeter detection systems and internal detection systems; protocol-based detection systems; and hybrid detection systems. Recent advances in the field leverage threat intelligence platforms to provide advanced detection functionality.

Responding effectively to cyberattacks is critically dependent on Cyber Threat Intelligence (CTI). However, a substantial portion of CTI, such as that found in reports, blogs, and Magazine Articles, resides in free text, rendering automatic processing and use by Security Orchestrator, Automation and Response systems challenging. Extracting Relevant Indicators of Compromise (IOCs) from unstructured CTI is also pertinent to targeted Cyber Threat Hunting. Existing systems predominantly recognize only generic IOCs, as listed by MITRE, and often rely solely on syntactic analysis of selectors. The description-or context-is seldom leveraged to disambiguate generic IOCs or to identify relevant non-technical IOCs. The TSTEM platform integrates syntactic and semantic analysis to extract generic and custom IOCs and to identify the targeted domain or company/organization from unstructured CTI. It employs a neural network for classifying the targeted domain described by CTI ambiguous references and combines syntactic dependence rules with word embedding similarity for IOC extraction [30]. Within cybersecurity, Threat Intelligence Platforms (TIPs) serve as essential tools for aggregating, correlating, and analyzing Indicators of Compromise (IOCs) from disparate sources to pinpoint potential malicious episodes disseminating within systems [31]. Contemporary TIPs support a wide spectrum of interoperable sharing standards and formats, commonly leveraging Taxonomies and the Structured Threat Information Expression (STIX). They also incorporate libraries of patterns to express Indicators and facilitate search and correlation mechanisms, such as the Cyber Observable eXpression and CybOX [32].

Emerging detection technologies often struggle with data privacy concerns when processing substantial quantities of information collected from users over various platforms [2]. Cloud-based detection systems aggregate and compute data that can contain sensitive details about a person's habits and preferences. Traditional remedies, focusing on user anonymity, systematically fall short because they are vulnerable to insider attackers. Cloud platform providers or other authorities with sufficient background knowledge can easily launch traffic analysis attacks by observing the data flow between different users.

Detecting certain bioagents or drugs through these new technologies also presents legal challenges. Various concentrations can have diverse effects on

people, ranging from therapeutic to toxic. Another concern involves partially developed samples. When nanoparticles or botulinum are lethal in their stock form, the finished product may no longer be harmful and thus lose its classification as a controlled substance.

Privacy-related issues should be considered prior to implementing emerging detection technologies through a risk management process. Organizations must determine whether these technologies are used beyond their control, if it is necessary to keep or process personal information, and whether the potential impact of a privacy incident outweighs the benefits. Ensuring that all members and affiliates of an organization maintain privacy and proper use of the systems is vital. Despite security measures that prevent unauthorized access, authorized users may still abuse the systems, so effective mechanisms capable of reducing privacy violations and protecting individuals and the organization are necessary. Privacy protection becomes increasingly difficult as technology is more widely deployed, particularly in monitoring and tracking systems, which face challenges such as information leakage, traceability, and impersonation.

Technological developments have enabled the collection of personal and business information at the expense of confidentiality and privacy. An ever-expanding set of legal, social, and technological inventions affects privacy and creates pervasive uncertainty about the scope of acceptable surveillance. Satellite sensing, a technology that enables anyone who can afford it to gather data on the activities of global targets, is one example of the new technologies with the potential to undermine personal privacy and transform international relations. This surveillance capability illustrates the way in which surveillance technologies already have become embedded in the environment and how difficult it will be to resist their deployment and use. In the near future, a dense network of sensing devices of all types, embedded in the environment and fitted with communications and information processing technologies, will be able to collect and analyze detailed information on the activities of almost everyone almost everywhere. The implications for privacy and individual autonomy are profound. The negative relationship that exists between technology and privacy in the specific case of emerging technologies for monitoring and based-tracking systems is a global challenge and very difficult to cope with because monitoring and based tracking technologies - which are becoming ubiquitous - are improving daily and will soon be deployed in various aspects of human daily lives [33].

In addition to data privacy and ethical concerns, regulatory compliance presents a significant challenge in the deployment of detection technologies.

Algorithms underpinning these technologies must adhere to legal standards, yet well-defined, universally accepted criteria are presently scarce. Generally, sufficient evidence is required to issue an alert. In homeland security contexts, triggering a false alarm can consume substantial resources and divert attention from actual threats, whereas failing to detect a genuine threat renders the system ineffective. This underscores the necessity of understanding how detection algorithms comply with legal, ethical, and technical regulations governing security systems [2].

Emergence of technologies concerning intelligence (e.g., machine learning) and the possibility of obtaining huge amounts of data thanks to cheaper and more efficient hardware (e.g., internet of things) may allow improved detection with the use of predictive systems [2]. The possibility of creating systems able to recognize suspicious objects (e.g., X-ray images of prohibited items) appears closer than ever [1]. Moreover, further advances in image acquisition hardware (e.g., multispectral image sensors) are likely to provide even better data for detection purposes. The adoption of deep learning approaches might enable more accurate insight into the collected data and boost several telecommunications systems. Therefore, detection technologies appear instrumental in all these fields. These novel methods highlight the importance of developing novel detection algorithms, together with their enabling technologies, upon which several applications can be based.

Predictive analytics is an emerging technology that automatically extracts useful information from business data and uses it to predict future trends and behaviors. In detection applications, it integrates historical data, real-time data, and advanced analytics to make predictions about the future or project the impact of one variable on another. Advances in the generation and handling of massive volumes of sensors and equipment information have enabled innovative ways of looking at such data for effective predictive analytics. Emerging technologies that complement predictive analytics include machine learning for automated fault detection, cluster analysis to narrow investigation scopes, and Bayesian probability to rank probable fault causes [34]. Timely predictions of equipment faults, resource bottlenecks, or failures allow companies to take preventive actions and avoid significant losses [35]. Future perspectives envisage a predictive analytics-enabled detection framework that continuously analyzes and learns from streaming sensor data and network traffic data, automating the building of predictive models to forecast imminent operational failures and resource bottlenecks. Such capabilities have the potential to access the returns on investments of data centers and network operations at an accelerated pace.

Artificial Intelligence has increasingly integrated with detection applications to harvest detailed knowledge from gathered data. Models use statistical information from existing data to target, classify, and predict information on the environment and its changes. In this context, deep learning enhances scene perception, recognition, and estimation of the mutual influence between a person and the environment, enabling detection of intricate videos and unusual events. Sensor systems increasingly employ AI and Deep Learning techniques to identify and classify objects or scenarios beyond mere signal acquisition. The process includes data collection from sensors, feature extraction, feature matching, and identification. Algorithms such as random forest, k-nearest neighbors, support vector machines, and Deep Belief Networks can reduce decision time, increase accuracy, lower associated costs, and decrease environmental interference, yielding more precise feature extraction. However, one challenge lies in the black-box nature of DL and ML models, which obscures the factors contributing to device drift and limits opportunities to improve sensor design. Training these models demands extensive data and computational resources, and their generalizability is often limited, resulting in poor performance when the environment changes significantly. Advances in machine learning facilitate object detection within the field of Human-Computer Interfaces, detecting objects in a scene, recognizing patterns of interaction, and monitoring user behaviours. This capability enhances the recognition of user activities, intentions, and feelings, allowing Human-Computer Interfaces to anticipate user needs and improve interaction efficiency [36].

Case studies illustrate the transformative impacts of emerging detection technologies that enable systems to be faster, more accurate, and more scalable than existing approaches. For example, Kuchhi *et al.* describe an RFID- and sensor-based infectious disease outbreak-detection system. The system collects and integrates measurements including sea-surface temperature, sea-level rise, ocean tides, atmospheric precipitation, and chlorophyll concentration through an integrated intelligent observation-data-modeling (IODM) system for early identification of potential outbreaks, such as cholera. A multi-layer architecture addresses data-integration challenges and comprises hardware, middleware, infrastructure management, data, and user-interface layers. Both passive and active data-addressable RFID tags equipped with various sensors acquire location and environmental information and transmit it to RFID readers. The data then feed into the integrated system for analysis and assessment. When an early warning is issued, local health organizations can respond more quickly, enhancing management of infectious-disease outbreaks associated with coastal regions [37].

Extensive deployments in factories and chemical plants demonstrate that single-point temperature, photoelectric smoke, combustible gas, and carbon monoxide sensors are effective in detecting smoke or fire events. Kalgaonkar *et al.* review commercially available sensors from Applied Sensor, Alphasense, and Figaro and present an approach to sensor fusion. Sensor-fusion techniques facilitate the integration of multiple sensor inputs to detect complex events reliably-an ability single sensors lack. Data from individual sensor nodes contribute to the derivation of fire-like event signatures, and fusion of data from multiple nodes detects the spatial extent and progression of fire-like events.

Emerging detection technologies have become an indispensable part of societies. Detection technologies answer essential "living questions" of human kind. They inquire about safety of journeys, food supplies, the health of individuals and societies, and sensing dangers around us. Accordingly, emerging detection technologies find wide applications in areas as varied as space exploration, agriculture, medicine, emergency management, environment monitoring, public security, and military defence. Recent advances in detection technologies incorporate arti¬ficial intelligence (AI) and Internet of Things (IoT), blockchain for cybersecurity, biometric systems, remote sensing, and nanotechnology. Case studies illustrate adoption of detection technologies in healthcare and industrial scenarios.

Emerging detection technologies employ AI for understanding the importance of data and sensing. The healthcare industries produce enormous volumes of patient data operated by machine learning (ML) algorithms and deep learning techniques. The IoT-smart sensors connect each business-gathers its data and examines the significance of business growth under each sensor. The conjugating of AI and IoT offers a gateway to the smart revolution, while blockchain provides a remedy for maintaining the security of the collected data. In addition, biometric detection, remote sensing, and nanotechnology are employed in various practical applications.

Due to high accuracy and fast response time, increasing numbers of companies employ artificial intelligence (AI)-based detection for process-instrument systems in industrial plants. For example, machine learning (ML) techniques find extensive application in manufacturing processes, enabling predictive maintenance and quality assurance. An industrial use case in this category is the detection of anomalies in Modbus/TCP traffic on supervisory control and data acquisition (SCADA) systems [38]. Some machine learning-based anomaly detection algorithms perform well in detecting network traffic anomalies in industrial networks. Since they are supervised methods, training

data are required and can be provided by simulators. Generating sound, valid data that match the industrial environment is challenging.

Detection systems have become increasingly intertwined with computing and information technology, yet their ethical implications are often overlooked. Algorithms that process sensor data to inform decision-making may inadvertently encode subjective biases, and data collection itself can violate privacy. Modern methods employ technologies such as deep learning and the Internet of Things, and use platforms that range from biometrics to drones. Deployments cover applications as diverse as environmental monitoring and cybersecurity, but also invite widespread discussion of privacy and bias [39, 33].

Analysis of derivative data, even after diligent efforts to protect privacy, can still expose sensitive personal information through unanticipated inference, particularly when contextual details such as location are included. Preservation of confidentiality, and the resulting public trust on which many detection applications depend, often hinge on social contracts and legal frameworks spanning multiple jurisdictions. Exposure of sensitive data tends to have negative consequences; thus minimization and risk-mitigation serve as benchmarks for determining the propriety of both collection and use. Yet an impartial standard is elusive. The track record of methods exonerated before their eventual misuse or disruption suggests that post hoc remedies will always fall short. A more thorough and forward-looking evaluation of both the intended uses and the overall threat landscape would better help to avoid irreversible harm to individuals and society.

Bias in Artificial Intelligence (AI) systems represents a significant concern in the implementation of Intelligent Detection Technologies. Artificial Intelligence increases detection capabilities across diverse online, offline, and societal activities. As systems advance from conventional rule-based architectures to Artificial Neural Networks (ANNs), data generated by detection equipment can be extensively analyzed to predict future developments. Consequently, the volume of data and the potential for divergent predictions has expanded substantially. Micro and macro changes are readily identified and analyzed, with Artificial Intelligence further enhancing the creation of future projections using historical detection information [40].

However, the potential to forecast future events has highlighted a major limitation in Artificial Intelligence systems: the issue of bias. Bias has been recognized as an undesirable aspect of Machine Learning frameworks for

many years. Negative impacts arise because biased Artificial Intelligence models can produce approximately 6% more incorrect predictions. Such inaccuracies occur when training data contain inherent biases, leading to flawed models. Bias is generally classified into two categories:

- Semantically-based bias-a feature is omitted from the dataset, despite being critical for comprehensive and accurate classification [41].

- Syntactic bias-a feature's value relies on the value of other characteristics or exhibits a varying effect across a sequence of independent variables. Syntactic bias often stems from discussions surrounding feature spaces, where certain features may unintentionally capture the effects of others. Dependencies among features (collinearity) and heteroscedasticity also contribute to this form of bias. Traditional methods to identify bias involve examining training samples for impediments, with subsequent data transformations employed to mitigate the problem [42]. Ensuring bias-free training data remains a central challenge in enhancing model performance.

Wide-scale surveillance is the loss of privacy provided by both artificial intelligence in detection and smart sensor networks of the Internet of Things. The very essence of privacy is the right to be forgotten. There is no right to be remembered. Yet, Smartphones are vast repositories of user photos, many of which are automatically uploaded to the cloud of their manufacturer, regardless of whether users want this done. Many users have no control over who sees these photos. Furthermore, facial recognition is often used to match these photos to the user and identify every person the user ever took a photo with-in many cases without the user's knowledge or permission. Typically, this functionality is not just part of photo album organization, but can be used by anyone accessing the photos and performing a search on this identifier. Moreover, detected faces of harmful individuals are shared with specialized Olympic organizations for crowd security via websites such as FARAN.

On the other hand, facial recognition can also be used for authentication in access control systems. Governments use it to identify wanted criminals, and many organizations find it valuable for access control. How can these two seemly separate interests be weighed? Generally, one might consider how much surveillance is acceptable in society to keep criminals in check. However, it is essential, at all times, to ensure that detected individuals and faces are not associated with other images on a phone or elsewhere. This is in stark contrast to terrorist identification that is clearly presented in the public

domain. Privacy is a human right, enabling others to forget information about you.

Detecting technologies have consistently evolved, incorporating novel methodologies to serve critical applications across diverse sectors. Procedures using artificial intelligence leverage intelligent systems to analyze vast datasets; machine-learning algorithms enable systems to continuously improve detection accuracy by assimilating new information; and deep-learning techniques mimic neural processing to perform distinguished pattern recognition tasks. The Internet of Things integrates smart sensors within networks to facilitate real-time data acquisition and analysis, augmenting sensing capability. Blockchain concepts address security concerns associated with centralized records by decentralizing data integrity through cryptographic chains, enhancing trustworthiness of surveillance data streams. Biometric modalities, including facial recognition and fingerprint scanning, contribute advanced modalities for identity validation and access control. Satellite imaging and drone surveillance extend sensing platforms to aerial and space-borne configurations, enabling comprehensive environmental assessment. Nanotechnological advances produce nanoscale devices for chemical and biological responsiveness, permitting unprecedented sensitivity and specificity. Environmental applications focus on pollution tracking and wildlife conservation through distributed sensor arrays. Cybersecurity strategies employ intrusion-detection frameworks and threat-intelligence mechanisms to monitor and counter information breaches. Challenges encountered include safeguarding privacy against unauthorized observation and complying with regulatory standards governing data usage and dissemination. Predictive analytics project imminent events by extrapolating current trends, while deeper artificial-intelligence integration promises more autonomous and adaptive systems. Implementation case studies reveal transformative impacts on healthcare monitoring and industrial safety. Ethical considerations encompass mitigation of algorithmic bias and balancing of surveillance imperatives against civil liberties. Emerging detection technologies indicate substantial potential for social impact; anticipated trends and concerns have been thoroughly assessed to guide ongoing research directions the installation of such technology at necessary sites enables low-cost, on-site detection and has the potential to support online or implanted arsenic monitoring for environmental investigation or food safety applications. Biomolecule-based recognition agents have attracted widespread attention in the field of rapid sensing, and the design of aptamer sensors has been considered one of the most promising ways for rapid environmental detection of arsenic pollution. Aptamers, which serve as recognition agents,

are short DNA or RNA sequences selected through in vitro screening. Current aptasensors employ various detection technologies, including optical and electrochemical methods; among these, fluorescence analysis stands out due to its high sensitivity, good selectivity, and wide versatility, while colorimetry offers the advantages of simplicity and the ability to be read directly by the naked eye. Furthermore, many colorimetric aptasensors rely on noble metal nanoparticles, aptamer-modified nanomaterials, or enzyme-based signal amplification approaches. Surface-enhanced Raman scattering (SERS) and chemiluminescence techniques are also utilized owing to their broad calibration ranges and relatively simple instrumentation configurations.

Detection methods that exhibit high sensitivity, exceptional selectivity, rapid response times, easy operation, and low cost, paired with the availability of portable devices, continue to be a predominant focus of research in the context of environmental applications. In light of the growing worldwide concern regarding arsenic contamination of natural water sources, bacteria-based bioassays have surfaced as robust, efficient, and inexpensive detection strategies that are well-suited for field deployment. A variety of bacterial species, including Escherichia coli and Bacillus subtilis, have been genetically engineered to produce easily detectable reporter proteins when they come into contact with arsenic. These biosensor-reporters have shown significant applicability in straightforward in-field solution assays, where the concentration of arsenic can be quickly and accurately estimated. Moving forward, future developments are geared toward harnessing advanced fiber-optic or microfluidic technologies, which could facilitate the miniaturization of these detection methods while ensuring they maintain their field applicability, enabling broader usage across various types of liquid and solid media. In summary, the continual advancement of innovative arsenic detection technologies holds remarkable potential for greatly enhancing geo-biomedical evaluations. This will be achieved by supporting effective diagnostic assessments and providing guidance for therapeutic strategies, ultimately aiming to improve outcomes for populations exposed to arsenic [56, 57, 58, 59, 60].

10.2 Innovative Therapeutic Approaches

Arsenic poisoning, widely named as arsenicosis, is a severe health hazard. Various toxicological effects may occur at the cellular and molecular levels with the involvement of almost all organ systems. Arsenic is a harmful chemical element and a carcinogen that is known to cause dermal, respiratory, reproductive, developmental, neurological, cardiovascular, immunological, and hepatic effects in humans. It is ranked first on the priority list of hazardous substances by the Agency for Toxic Substance and Disease Registry (ATSDR)

of the United States Government. Arsenicosis is caused when contaminated water with a concentration of arsenic above 50 µg/L is consumed for a prolonged period of time. On the basis of X-ray attenuation, arsenic has mainly inorganic forms. Once ingested, it is absorbed in the body and inhibits more than 200 enzymes, damaging vital organs. Its main sources are naturally occurring minerals containing arsenic and high concentrations have been found in 16 countries. A combined carcino-genic and mutagenic effect of arsenic has been found in all experimental models. Toxic and persistent chromosomal aberrations and a tendency towards genomic instability in cultured cells, as well as co-carcinogenicity and enhanced susceptibility to DNA damage with other carcinogens, represent some of the mechanisms responsible for arsenical carcinogenesis.

Arsenic is a harmful element and classified as a group I human carcinogen. Several diseases emerge due to arsenic exposure. The toxicity can be reduced by detoxification using a chelating agent, removal of systemic arsenic, or a combination of these. Edible indigenous plants offer preventive measures for arsenic toxicity. Chelating agents can also be used in the treatment. An attempt has been made to review therapeutic interventions associated with arsenic and its toxic manifestations.

Arsenic is recognized as a highly toxic chemical element, mercilessly classified as a potent carcinogen, which inflicts a wide variety of detrimental effects on numerous organ systems within the human body. The exposure to arsenic can incite numerous diseases through a multitude of mechanisms that include oxidative stress, the alteration of DNA repair processes, changes in DNA methylation patterns, hormonal disruption, and its function as a cocarcinogen. It triggers a range of biological pathways, such as the shock protein pathway, inflammatory response pathway, metal homeostasis regulation, and carbohydrate metabolism, all of which collectively contribute to the complex process of carcinogenesis.

Arsenic can infiltrate the body primarily through ingestion or inhalation, leading to systemic health issues characterized by the generation of reactive oxygen species, which are harmful byproducts that disrupt cellular functions. The overall ill health of various organs correlates closely with both the concentration of arsenic present in water sources and the duration of exposure to this notorious toxin. The toxic effects exerted by arsenic vary significantly based on its specific chemical form and concentration in the environment. The body's ability to mitigate metal toxicity is influenced by the induction of various enzymes that play crucial roles in arsenic metabolism and detoxification. These enzymes include glutathione-s-transferase, members of

the sulfurtransferase family, and myo-inositol-phosphate synthase B protein, which are vital for processing and neutralizing arsenic compounds.

Furthermore, in the treatment and management of arsenic toxicity, supportive care must be accompanied by nutritional therapy and the administration of antioxidant and antitoxic supplements. These interventions are designed to enhance the human body's innate ability to counteract and neutralize harmful free radicals, thereby promoting better health outcomes in individuals suffering from the effects of arsenic exposure. The integration of these strategies is essential in addressing and managing the risks associated with arsenic toxicity effectively. [1, 61, 9, 26]

Chapter - 11

Conclusion

Geo-biomedical evaluation of arsenic exposure encompasses a wide array of environmental, cellular, and physiological considerations that are intrinsically linked. Naturally occurring arsenic is released into various components of the environment, including soil and water, primarily through the weathering process of sediments and rock. This natural phenomenon has significant implications for ecosystems and public health. Acute and chronic toxicity associated with arsenic exposure can severely affect sensitive target organs, which include the peripheral vascular system, skin, and crucially the nervous system. The transport of arsenic into the cell occurs through specialized mechanisms, including aquaporin water channels and phosphate transporters, allowing arsenic to infiltrate biological systems. Once inside, cellular toxicity manifests as a result of disruption in the balance of oxidant and antioxidant status. This imbalance can lead to the induction of genotoxicity, largely prompted by arsenic's interaction with the sulfhydryl groups found in proteins and enzymes that are vital for DNA replication and repair processes. Diagnostic indicators of arsenic exposure are varied and include elevated concentrations of arsenic in hair, nails, blood, and urine. Additionally, abnormalities may be detected in enzymes like acetylcholinesterase, which reflects dysfunction in nervous system activity, alongside disruptions in the antioxidant defense system. Other indicators comprise elevated levels of oxidative stress enzymes, the induction of micronuclei, altered serum enzyme activities, observable DNA damage, and pathological aberrations that can be identified in affected organs during clinical assessments. Effective treatment strategies must involve the removal of arsenic from body tissues, typically achieved through chelation therapy. Substances such as 2,3-dimercapto-1-propanesulfonic acid, 2,3-dimercaptosuccinic acid, or meso-2,3-dimercaptosuccinic acid are commonly used in this context. Furthermore, the development of a therapeutic antidote aimed at protecting intracellular sulfhydryl groups and antioxidative enzymes is a crucial step toward safeguarding the cellular respiratory system. This therapeutic approach holds promise for the creation of a novel drug aimed at mitigating the detrimental effects of arsenic exposure on human health.

References

1. J. Zhang, H. Shen, W. Xu, Y. Xia *et al.*, "Urinary Metabolomics Revealed Arsenic Internal Dose-Related Metabolic Alterations: A Proof-of-Concept Study in a Chinese Male Cohort," 2014. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

2. J. Y. Chung, B. G. Kim, B. K. Lee, J. D. Moon *et al.*, "Urinary arsenic species concentration in residents living near abandoned metal mines in South Korea," 2016. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

3. P. Kant Pandey, S. Yadav, and M. Pandey, "Human Arsenic Poisoning Issues in Central-East Indian Locations: Biomarkers and Biochemical Monitoring," 2007. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

4. Y. Li, F. Ye, A. Wang, D. Wang *et al.*, "Chronic Arsenic Poisoning Probably Caused by Arsenic-Based Pesticides: Findings from an Investigation Study of a Household," 2016. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

5. F. Minichilli, F. Bianchi, A. Maria Ronchi, F. Gorini *et al.*, "Urinary Arsenic in Human Samples from Areas Characterized by Natural or Anthropogenic Pollution in Italy," 2018. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

6. F. Parvez, Y. Chen, M. Argos, A. Z. M. Iftikhar Hussain *et al.*, "Prevalence of Arsenic Exposure from Drinking Water and Awareness of Its Health Risks in a Bangladeshi Population: Results from a Large Population-Based Study," 2006. [PDF]

7. Y. S. Hong, K. H. Song, and J. Y. Chung, "Health Effects of Chronic Arsenic Exposure," 2014. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

8. J. Shrestha, "Long-term, low-dose exposure of people residing in arsenic affected areas of Iowa: A cross-sectional analytical study," 2013. [PDF]

9. G. Genchi, G. Lauria, A. Catalano, A. Carocci, "Arsenic: a review on a great health issue worldwide," *Applied Sciences*, vol. 12, no. 15, 2022. mdpi.com

10. K. S. Patel, P. K. Pandey, P. Martín-Ramos, W. T. Corns, "A review on arsenic in the environment: contamination, mobility, sources, and exposure," RSC, 2023. rsc.org

11. P. B. Angon, M. S. Islam, A. Das, N. Anjum, A. Poudel, *et al.*, "Sources, effects and present perspectives of heavy metals contamination: Soil, plants and human food chain," Heliyon, 2024. cell.com

12. J. Khatun, A. Intekhab, and D. Dhak, "Effect of uncontrolled fertilization and heavy metal toxicity associated with arsenic (As), lead (Pb) and cadmium (Cd), and possible remediation," Toxicology, 2022. [HTML]

13. N. K. Roy, A. Murphy, and M. Costa, "Arsenic Methyltransferase and Methylation of Inorganic Arsenic," 2020. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

14. A. Raul Molinelli, "Organic and inorganic arsenic compounds sensitize human bronchial epithelial cells to hydrogen peroxide-induced DNA damage," 2006. [PDF]

15. S. Y. Ganie, D. Javaid, Y. A. Hajam, *et al.*, "Arsenic toxicity: sources, pathophysiology and mechanism," Toxicology Research, 2024. nih.gov

16. S. S. Shiek, S. T. Sajai, and H. S. Dsouza, "Arsenic-induced toxicity and the ameliorative role of antioxidants and natural compounds," *Journal of Biochemical and …*, 2023. researchgate.net

17. C. S. Wang, W. J. Lee, Y. L. Chen, Y. C. Wu, and I. T. Lee, "The crosstalk between copper-induced oxidative stress and cuproptosis: a novel potential anticancer paradigm," Cell Communication and …, 2024. springer.com

18. A. Zhang, H. Feng, G. Yang, X. Pan *et al.*, "Unventilated Indoor Coal-Fired Stoves in Guizhou Province, China: Cellular and Genetic Damage in Villagers Exposed to Arsenic in Food and Air," 2007. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

19. M. F. Hughes, "Biomarkers of Exposure: A Case Study with Inorganic Arsenic," 2006. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

20. B. Wang, H. Cheng, C. Lin, X. Zhang, X. Duan, and Q. Wang, "Arsenic exposure analysis for children living in central China: from ingestion exposure to biomarkers," Chemosphere, vol. 287, 2022. [HTML]

21. I. Martinez-Morata, M. Sobel, M. Tellez-Plaza, *et al.*, "A state-of-the-science review on metal biomarkers," *Current Environmental Health Reports*, vol. 2023, Springer. nih.gov

22. J. Ashley-Martin, M. Fisher, P. Belanger, "Biomonitoring of inorganic arsenic species in pregnancy," Journal of Exposure, vol. 2023. nature.com

23. M. Spaur, M. A. Lombard, J. D. Ayotte, B. C. Bostick, *et al.*, "Cross-sectional associations between drinking water arsenic and urinary inorganic arsenic in the United States: NHANES 2003-2014," *Environmental*, vol. 2023, Elsevier. sciencedirect.com

24. G. I. Vázquez Cervantes and D. F. González Esquivel, "Mechanisms associated with cognitive and behavioral impairment induced by arsenic exposure," Cells, vol. 12, no. 2023, 2023. mdpi.com

25. H. Lee, M. W. Lee, J. R. Warren, and J. Ferrie, "Childhood lead exposure is associated with lower cognitive functioning at older ages," Science Advances, 2022. science.org

26. M. Martínez-Castillo *et al.*, "Arsenic exposure and non-carcinogenic health effects," *Human & Environmental Toxicology*, vol. 2021. sagepub.com

27. M. Radfard, H. Hashemi, M. A. Baghapour, M. R. Samaei, "Prediction of human health risk and disability-adjusted life years induced by heavy metals exposure through drinking water in Fars Province, Iran," *Scientific Reports*, 2023. nature.com

28. J. S Tsuji, M. D Van Kerkhove, R. S Kaetzel, C. G Scrafford *et al.*, "Evaluation of exposure to arsenic in residential soil.," 2005. [PDF]

29. J. S. Tsuji, M. D. Van Kerkhove, R. S. Kaetzel, C. G. Scrafford *et al.*, "Evaluation of Exposure to Arsenic in Residential Soil," 2005. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

30. V. Valskys, H. R. Hassan, S. Wołkowicz, J. Satkūnas, "A review on detection techniques, health hazards and human health risk assessment of arsenic pollution in soil and groundwater," Minerals, vol. 12, no. 5, 2022. mdpi.com

31. W. Ahmad, R. D. Alharthy, M. Zubair, and M. Ahmed, "Toxic and heavy metals contamination assessment in soil and water to evaluate human health risk," *Scientific Reports*, vol. 11, no. 1, 2021. nature.com

32. L. Bouida, M. Rafatullah, A. Kerrouche, and M. Qutob, "A review on cadmium and lead contamination: Sources, fate, mechanism, health effects and remediation methods," Water, vol. 2022. mdpi.com

33. S. H. Frisbie and E. J. Mitchell, "Arsenic in drinking water: An analysis of global drinking water regulations and recommendations for updates to protect public health," PLoS One, 2022. plos.org

34. R. Shokoohi, M. Khazaei, M. Karami, *et al.*, "The relationship between chronic exposure to arsenic through drinking water and hearing function in exposed population aged 10-49 years: A cross-sectional study," Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety, vol. 208, 2021. sciencedirect.com

35. A. Rahmani, S. Khamutian, A. Doosti-Irani, *et al.*, "Arsenic level in drinking water, its correlation with water quality parameters, and associated health risks," *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, vol. 2023, Springer. researchgate.net

36. K. Hobbie, K. Shao, C. Henning, W. Mendez *et al.*, "Use of study-specific MOE-like estimates to prioritize health effects from chemical exposure for analysis in human health assessments," 2020. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

37. V. M. Nurchi, A. Buha Djordjevic, G. Crisponi, J. Alexander *et al.*, "Arsenic Toxicity: Molecular Targets and Therapeutic Agents," 2020. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

38. A. Suo, Y. Wang, H. Niu, and X. Yang, "Arsenic Poisoning-Induced Sensorineural Hearing Loss: A Case Report," 2024. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

39. D. J. Carlin, M. F. Naujokas, K. D. Bradham, J. Cowden *et al.*, "Arsenic and Environmental Health: State of the Science and Future Research Opportunities," 2016. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

40. C. Marie George, A. van Geen, V. Slavkovich, A. Singha *et al.*, "A cluster-based randomized controlled trial promoting community participation in arsenic mitigation efforts in Bangladesh," 2012. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

41. C. M Teaf and D. Covert, "Risk Considerations Related To Environmental Arsenic Exposure: Drinking Water Ingestion Versus Dietary Intake Or Soil Exposure," 2012. [PDF]

42. J. Song, Y. Liu, J. Peng, Y. Jiang, X. Lin, "Identification of serum metabolites associated with polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) exposure in papillary thyroid carcinoma: a case-control study," Environmental Science and Pollution Research, vol. 2024, Springer. springer.com

43. S. A. Khan, S. M. Nurulain, B. H. Khan, A. Shoukat, *et al.*, "Health risks associated with occupational contaminants of heavy metals across different professions," Environmental ..., vol. XX, no. YY, pp. ZZ-ZZ, 2025. [HTML]

44. S. Ranjan, R. Chaitali, and S. K. Sinha, "Gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS): A comprehensive review of synergistic combinations and their applications in the past two decades," *Journal of Analytical …*, 2023. imist.ma

45. H. I. Lu, W. T. Huang, W. C. Lee, F. J. Cheng, C. T. Kung, "The significance of organophosphate flame retardants in patients with esophageal squamous cell carcinoma," Environmental ..., vol. 2025, Springer. springer.com

46. L. Khaleda, M. M. Alam, Z. Tasnim, M. M. A. Ezaj, and M. A. R. Apu, "Detrimental effects of chronic arsenic exposure through daily diet on hepatic and renal health: An animal model study," Toxicology Reports, 2025. sciencedirect.com

47. K. K. Majumdar, "and Its Impacts on Health," in *Arsenic Remediation of Food and Water*, 2024. [HTML]

48. K. K. Majumdar, "Arsenic Contamination of Groundwater and Its Impacts on Health," in *Arsenic Remediation of Food and Water: Technological …*, 2024, Springer. [HTML]

49. A. R. Noori, S. K. Singh, and A. Rezai, "Assessment of arsenic contamination in drinking water sources and its effects on human health in rural regions: a study in the Malistan district, Ghazni province of …," Environmental Earth Sciences, 2025. [HTML]

50. F. Faita, L. Cori, F. Bianchi, and M. Grazia Andreassi, "Arsenic-Induced Genotoxicity and Genetic Susceptibility to Arsenic-Related Pathologies," 2013. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

51. NP Paul, AE Galván, K Yoshinaga-Sakurai, BP Rosen, "Arsenic in medicine: past, present and future," Biometals, vol. 2023, Springer. springer.com

52. Y. Yang, Y. Li, R. Li, and Z. Wang, "Research progress on arsenic, arsenic-containing medicinal materials, and arsenic-containing preparations: clinical application, pharmacological effects, and toxicity," Frontiers in Pharmacology, 2024. frontiersin.org

53. F. Liu, Y. Deng, A. Wang, T. Yang, H. Ke, "Harness arsenic in medicine: Current status of arsenicals and recent advances in drug delivery," *Expert Opinion on*, vol. 2024, Taylor & Francis. [HTML]

54. G. Bjørklund, M. S. Rahaman, M. Shanaida, R. Lysiuk, *et al.*, "Natural dietary compounds in the treatment of arsenic toxicity," Molecules, 2022. mdpi.com

55. K. Mao, H. Zhang, Z. Wang, H. Cao *et al.*, "Nanomaterial-based aptamer sensors for arsenic detection," 2019. [PDF]

56. E. Diesel, M. Schreiber, and J. van der Meer, "Development of bacteria-based bioassays for arsenic detection in natural waters," 2018. [PDF]

57. S. Maity, S. Bose, P. Dokania, and S. Lohar, "A comprehensive review of arsenic contamination in India with an emphasis on its detection through biosensors and bioremediation from the aqueous system," Environmental Quality, vol. 2024, Wiley Online Library. [HTML]

58. A. Canciu, M. Tertis, O. Hosu, A. Cernat, C. Cristea, and others, "Modern analytical techniques for detection of bacteria in surface and wastewaters," Sustainability, vol. 2021. mdpi.com

59. A. Bhat, T. O. Hara, F. Tian, and B. Singh, "Review of analytical techniques for arsenic detection and determination in drinking water," Environmental Science: Advances, 2023. rsc.org

60. F. Bahavarnia, M. Hasanzadeh, D. Sadighbayan, and F. Seidi, "Recent progress and challenges on the microfluidic assay of pathogenic bacteria using biosensor technology," Biomimetics, 2022. mdpi.com

61. S. Prakash and A. K. Verma, "Arsenic: it's toxicity and impact on human health," *International Journal of Biological …*, 2021. ssrn.com