

X-Ray

Editors

Ayub Talifah Akla

Department of Medical Physics, Al-Karkh University of Science, Iraq

Abbas Shahab Hamidi

Department of Medical Physics, Al-Karkh University of Science, Iraq

Amir Makki Hassan

Department of Medical Physics, Al-Karkh University of Science, Iraq

Yasser Basem Nehme

Department of Medical Physics, Al-Karkh University of Science, Iraq

Bright Sky Publications™
New Delhi

Published By: Bright Sky Publications

*Bright Sky Publication
Office No. 3, 1st Floor,
Pocket - H34, SEC-3,
Rohini, Delhi, 110085, India*

Editors: Ali Kadhim Jabbar Ahmed, Tabark Mohammed Ali Savory, AL-Khudhur Alaa Jaafar and Muntadher Alaa Jaafar

The author/publisher has attempted to trace and acknowledge the materials reproduced in this publication and apologize if permission and acknowledgements to publish in this form have not been given. If any material has not been acknowledged please write and let us know so that we may rectify it.

© *Bright Sky Publications*

Edition: 1st

Publication Year: 2025

Pages: 101

Paperback ISBN: 978-93-6233-250-9

E-Book ISBN: 978-93-6233-632-3

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.62906/bs.book.340>

Price: ₹ 505

Contents

S. No.	Chapters	Page Nos.
	Abstract	01
1.	Introduction to X-Ray Technology	02-03
2.	History of X-Ray Technology	04-05
3.	Types of X-Ray Imaging	06-14
4.	Physics of X-Ray Production	15-21
5.	Applications of X-Ray in Medicine	22-32
6.	Advantages of X-Ray Technology	33-39
7.	Limitations and Risks of X-Ray Technology	40-44
8.	Advancements in X-Ray Technology	45-54
9.	Regulatory and Safety Standards	55-59
10.	Future Trends in X-Ray Technology	60-62
11.	Conclusion	63-64
	References	65-101

Abstract

Since the discovery of the X-ray in 1895 by Wilhelm Konrad Roentgen, radiology has opened the gate to an array of new technical developments in the field of medicine, which have had a broad range of clinical applications. Among them are the doubling of life expectancy, the virtual eradication of paroxysmal diseases, screening and early treatments by immense medical imaging platforms, and interventional techniques for oncology and cardiovascular diseases. In addition, since a computer has been available to process the acquired images, digital images and information have been transferred on the network in a digital manner, and digitizers, digital screens, and printers with computer peripherals have become prevalent. Finally, besides improvements in both radiologic techniques and devices, there has been an incredible array of ancillary developments to further enhance both the acquirement and analysis of radiologic images. Simply to illustrate some, there are six megatrends, including examples of the first technology to emerge, followed by novel capabilities, and lastly leading the technology. The first technology comprises digitization, new display and input devices, and the rapid developments of various imaging modalities. On the other hand, functional and quantitative imaging, and CAD and organ-specific workstations are for analyses in terms of content. On the growth of improvements, additional cleaning and disambiguating algorithms with monumental computing power are added. This leads to an emerging fifth generation technology for robotic interventions, another multiplicative development of the first four. Nowadays, gigantic electronic robots are available in operating rooms for minimalist invasive procedures, such as percutaneous biopsies or theragnosis of hepatic tumors or theragnosis and staging of the prostate. Although, soon smaller hydraulic robots, with further image guidance by X-ray, MRI, US, or PET/CT, or by ultrasonic real-time fusion with CT or MRI, will find places in the chest for both biopsy and theragnosis.

Chapter - 1

Introduction to X-Ray Technology

The diagnosis and treatment of numerous diseases have been significantly expedited with the invaluable assistance provided by advanced medical imaging techniques that have revolutionized modern healthcare. To date, a wide variety of different medical imaging modalities, including but not limited to MRI, CT scans, ultrasounds, X-rays, endoscopies, and more, are widely utilized in contemporary healthcare facilities across the globe, ensuring comprehensive care for patients with differing medical needs. Medical imaging offers a detailed and intricate view of the internal organs within the body from various perspective parts and angles, allowing healthcare professionals to visualize conditions that might otherwise remain undetected and therefore unaddressed. The integral role of medical imaging is crucial, as it enables the early diagnosis of diseases at their primary stages, which is essential for effective treatment and management. Moreover, it serves as a vital guideline for performing complex surgical procedures, enabling surgeons to strategize and execute operations with enhanced precision. Over the past few decades, the prominence and role that medical imaging plays in enhancing the welfare and quality of life for patients have significantly increased, demonstrating its importance in the field of medicine. However, the intricate process of reading and interpreting medical images by radiologists presents numerous challenges that are often tedious and challenging to navigate due to two primary issues. First, there is an extensive heterogeneity of diseases, which can greatly affect the appearance of organs or tissues, resulting in a wide spectrum of visual patterns that can be difficult to distinguish and accurately assess. Second, the limitations of image quality or resolution may not always provide the sufficient discriminative information necessary to accurately pinpoint the underlying diseases and anomalies. These ongoing challenges underscore the persistent need for advancements in imaging technology and interpretation methodologies in the ever-evolving field of medical practice, as they are essential for improving diagnostic accuracy and patient outcomes [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6].

The mammogram experiment that has been precisely defined is meticulously designed to effectively assess the efficiency of a sophisticated

computer-aided diagnostic system that is employed in the critical and highly complex medical diagnosis of breast cancer. In this carefully orchestrated study, medical images were classified with exceptional precision with regard to dry and wet images, which represent distinct and extremely important categories in the ever-evolving field of medical imaging. In a crucial differentiation from traditional medical images, a dry image is characterized by the absence of contrast enhancement, whereas a wet image incorporates such enhancement, making it significantly easier to interpret certain essential features. Various methodologies, including the VFF algorithm, alongside several advanced image processing techniques, were thoroughly investigated, rigorously scrutinized, and deeply analyzed to determine their effectiveness in significantly aiding diagnostic processes. Mammograms, which are typically categorized as dry images, present numerous and substantial challenges, as it is frequently difficult for a human reader to fully grasp and accurately interpret the subtle nuances that arise in the denoted classifications of these highly specialized images. The hope of this innovative technology is to convert historical images that date back quite a significant amount of time to a hospital into a considerably more useful and accessible electronic format, which would drastically enhance their usability and accessibility for dedicated medical professionals across various fields. Throughout a comprehensive and detailed study of various ailments impacting the human body, X-ray images were meticulously captured. Specific claims were made regarding various notable medical conditions, such as a fracture in the arm, an infiltrate in the lung, and for an upper gastrointestinal series examination. RFI patterns were obtained and matched diligently in order to systematically compare X-ray images of similar ailments, thereby facilitating a deeper understanding of the diagnostic capabilities of the advanced system that is presently in place [7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15].

Chapter - 2

History of X-Ray Technology

Since the initial groundbreaking discovery of X-rays by the renowned Wilhelm Conrad Röntgen in the year 1895, numerous various modalities of X-ray imaging techniques and technologies have been developed and refined significantly over the years. The foundational principle of X-ray radiography was effectively introduced into clinical practice remarkably just a year later in 1896. Fast forwarding to 1912, Max von Laue made an important discovery regarding the principle of X-ray diffraction by crystalline structures, enhancing our understanding of X-ray applications. Since then, X-ray crystallography has matured into a critical and key method utilized in determining and solving the intricate three-dimensional structures of proteins and other significant biological macromolecules. Over the last two decades, X-ray scattering and advanced X-ray imaging techniques have gained substantial interest and prominence in the scientific community due to their wide applicability. Notably, X-ray computed tomography (CT) has emerged as an invaluable tool, demonstrating unprecedented importance for non-destructive investigation across a wide array of research and practical applications.

In addition, X-ray technology was also effectively utilized to identify the nearly perfect radial orientation that occurs in polymer deuteration during the stretching process of the materials. Furthermore, a range of additional experimental methods, such as small angle and wide angle X-ray scattering, have been carried out to effectively study the complex and intricate behavior of polymers while they are under various forms of stress. Although these methods have provided significant insights, they still offer only a limited and somewhat constrained view of what is actually occurring within the polymer system. The scientific community expresses a desire for easier modeling and more effective experimentation. To advance our understanding, X-ray diffraction has been conducted on a rigorously controlled crystalline cellulose system in order to model the orientation behavior of s-polypropylene when subjected to uniaxial tension. In conjunction with the diffraction work, X-ray fluorescent measurements are also carried out to further enhance the accuracy of the findings. Recent advancements in detector technology have led to the

availability of sensitive 1-D area scan detectors, which presents new opportunities. For the polymer sector, this development allows researchers to identify multiple sample reflections, all without the need to physically turn the sample itself. This capability has been diligently exploited to monitor the radial reflection arising from the polymer system throughout the stretching process, thereby providing a deeper understanding of material behaviors ^[16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26].

The utilization of X-rays for non-invasive imaging has a long and rich historical background, which has led to the progressive development of well-established methodologies that are characterized by a wide array of preclinical and clinical applications. Among these diverse applications, projection radiography stands out as a standard imaging modality that offers crucial and essential information regarding the complex anatomy of an object or specimen being examined. While this type of imaging is ideally desired and favored in a medical context due to its potential to effectively differentiate between unhealthy and healthy tissue types, it is important to acknowledge that this imaging technique does have its inherent limitations. One significant challenge encountered lies in achieving a comprehensive depth perspective of an object, which can prove to be far more complex and challenging when utilizing this particular imaging modality. Additionally, the differentiation between tissues that exhibit very similar characteristics can often result in complications and may not yield the desired clarity necessary for accurate analysis.

On the other hand, X-ray fluorescence analysis (XFA) represents a specialized technique that has been utilized across a variety of diverse fields for the purpose of methodically examining and determining the intricate chemical composition of a sample under investigation. Broadly speaking, XFA methods can be distinctly categorized into two main groups; these include shape measurements alongside traditional imaging and the use of advanced array detectors. When discussing the latter category, it entails the capability to accurately identify and characterize the photons collected based on their semiconductor conversion, as well as the precise timing at which they are detected in the analysis. This innovative area of research has witnessed numerous experiments and advancements that couple existing array detectors with cutting-edge Timepix technology, thereby further enhancing both the capabilities and applications of X-ray fluorescence analysis in the realms of detailed imaging and sophisticated material characterization. The integration of such technologies not only improves the outcomes of imaging but also opens new avenues for exploration in various scientific disciplines ^[27, 18, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33].

Chapter - 3

Types of X-Ray Imaging

X-ray imaging is widely recognized as one of the oldest and most prevalent medical imaging techniques utilized today. It has long been employed for diagnosing a variety of medical conditions, such as bone fractures and lung cancer, along with other ailments that impact the skeleton and chest area. In recent years, x-ray imaging technology has evolved and adapted to extend its capabilities, enabling the acquisition of images depicting soft tissue structures. This advancement is notably exemplified by mammography, which specifically targets breast tissue. However, x-ray imaging still faces challenges, particularly when visualizing tissue structures that consist of similar materials, as is often the case with breast tissue in younger, premenopausal women.

In light of these challenges, there have been concerted efforts to amalgamate x-ray imaging with other imaging modalities. For instance, combining ultrasound imaging with x-ray technology has been successfully employed to image radioactive tracers. Additionally, coupling radioactive tracers with optical imaging techniques has shown great promise due to the superior qualities of optical contrast agents and their wide range of contrast capabilities. Another compelling approach to improve the contrast in radiography involves the utilization of parallel x-ray beams. This method has demonstrated significant improvements in the detection of small lesions, including a 5mm lesion with 4mm micro calcifications, providing more accurate results.

At present, one of the most common applications for x-ray imaging can be found in computed tomography (CT) scans. CT scans leverage the same ionizing x-rays associated with traditional x-ray imaging, yet they utilize advanced computer algorithms to generate a multitude of cross-sectional images of the body. These cross-sectional images vividly illustrate the distribution of x-ray attenuation throughout the body—a measurement that directly corresponds to the density of electron-dense structures present. The resulting images are typically displayed as x-ray representations on a computer screen or presented in the form of slices that can then be assembled

into a comprehensive 3D rendering of the anatomical structures within the body. Within CT scans, different tissues are displayed with varying colors, with air appearing black (indicative of the lowest number of electrons), bone appearing white (representing the highest concentration of electrons), and soft tissues manifesting various shades of grey. CT scans are extensively utilized for the diagnosis and ongoing monitoring of a diverse array of medical conditions affecting critical areas, including the brain, chest, abdomen, and pelvis [1, 2, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39].

3.1 Conventional X-Ray

There are currently numerous medical imaging modalities available for use in contemporary healthcare settings, each possessing its own unique and specialized approach to visualize the incredibly complex internal structures of the human body. This intricate visualization process aims to effectively observe, identify, and characterize a variety of health details that are crucial for patient care and effective treatment strategies. The ongoing innovation and advancement within these diverse imaging modalities are remarkably significant, with progress occurring at a rapid and often astonishing pace. These technologies have become an integral and indispensable component of modern healthcare systems, serving both diagnostic and preclinical purposes that are essential in today's intricate medical practices. Medical imaging modalities have consistently played a vital and transformative role in the accurate diagnosis and treatment of a wide range of different diseases and health conditions. Furthermore, these advanced imaging technologies provide healthcare providers with valuable, detailed information necessary for effective clinical decision-making, influencing the course of patient care. Nevertheless, it is important to acknowledge that each imaging modality comes with its own distinct advantages and potential pitfalls that must be thoroughly considered by medical professionals. A proper understanding of each imaging technique is therefore quite necessary and critically important to select the most appropriate modality for the comprehensive and thorough evaluation of specific diseases under certain conditions, ultimately ensuring the best possible outcomes for patients receiving care. By effectively navigating these options, healthcare providers can make more informed choices that lead to improved patient health and well-being [1, 5, 33, 6, 40, 41, 42, 43].

X-ray imaging stands out as one of the very first and most widely employed modalities in the expansive field of medical imaging. This specific type of imaging is extensively utilized for the precise and accurate diagnosis of bone fractures as well as a wide range of other disorders that are closely associated with the skeletal system, making it an indispensable tool in modern

medicine. However, despite its widespread use and significant advantages, one of the considerable limitations of X-ray imaging is that the quality of the images produced is often insufficient when it comes to effectively visualizing soft tissue structures, which can lead to diagnostic challenges. Therefore, in addition to utilizing traditional X-ray imaging, it has become essential to incorporate another imaging modality to achieve clearer and more detailed images of soft tissue. This need arises because traditional X-ray images are represented by pixel values that are based solely on the intensity of the transmitted X-rays, which can leave much to be desired in terms of soft tissue visibility. While these X-ray images are remarkably inexpensive and quick to produce, interpreting them can be quite challenging due to the fact that the details, especially those with low contrast, are not easily observable. Furthermore, identifying very subtle discrepancies in X-ray transmission, such as those that occur with tissues of similar density, often proves to be extremely difficult and requires a high level of expertise. The clarity of natural imaging can frequently be improved significantly by employing advanced techniques such as image registration or direct enhancement methods. These innovative techniques can be particularly beneficial for identifying minute and critical details, for instance, the development or progression of a coronary artery, which could be vital for patient outcomes. The process typically involves capturing two distinct X-ray images of the same scene from different angles or perspectives, allowing for a more comprehensive view. Standard graphic classifications are then utilized to equalize the two images in a sophisticated manner that amplifies the subtle differences between various regions, thus allowing for a clearer and more precise understanding of anatomical structures and potential pathologies that may be present [44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50].

3.2 Computed Tomography (CT)

The first experimental implementation of a computed tomographic approach was notably reported in the year 1973, marking a pivotal moment in the intersection of technology and healthcare. This groundbreaking and pioneering effort made use of a broad cobalt-60 beam along with a single detector position, an approach that was quite innovative for its time. This significant scientific achievement, which laid the foundational groundwork for the eventual advancements in medical imaging, opened wide the doors to the development of numerous complex and sophisticated imaging systems. These systems have since made a profound impact in the medical field, improving the diagnostic capabilities available to healthcare professionals. It is crucial to note that due to the inherently intrusive nature of this experimental setup, there are constraints in its scope, making it typically dedicated to

specific and targeted investigations that require precision and reliability. Various experimental factors, such as frontal truncation and beam hardening, play pivotal roles in the imaging process and are carefully taken into account. These factors are thoroughly investigated and quantified using a diverse range of phantoms, aimed at validating the effectiveness of the computed tomographic technique. In addition to the aforementioned experiments, systematic studies are conducted utilizing pork bones embedded within pork meat, employing a clinically relevant bone protocol, where their overall suitability as a reliable system performance test is discussed in meticulous detail. Finally, the future work and various crucial improvements needed to achieve a fully functional and optimized system are addressed comprehensively, with a focus on overcoming the existing limitations. The widespread usage of computed tomography has led to a significant increase in per capita exposure to ionizing radiation, which has risen markedly from 0.5 to 3.0 mSv over the last three decades. This alarming trend has prompted health professionals to take into account the potential associated risks that accompany such exposure. Weighted against the background of suggested maximum permissible levels of 20 mSv, a “safe” level of exposure for cross-sectional imaging has been proposed to be effectively between 2 and 4 abdomen/pelvis computerized tomographies. This recommendation hints strongly at the necessity of exploring and utilizing alternative imaging techniques and methods to help limit the unwarranted radiation dose that patients might be exposed to during various diagnostic procedures. Computed tomography, commonly referred to as CT, boasts an impressive and rich history that spans over 4 decades of continuous evolution, and it has had a transformative influence on diagnostic decision-making processes since its initial introduction into clinical practice back in the 1970s. The advent of computed tomography effectively revolutionized the field of medicine during a historical period that coincided with the closure of conflicts such as the wars in Vietnam and Northern Ireland. This timing was arguably more serendipitous than merely coincidental, as the rapid advancement of technology fostered medical progress by presenting concrete alternatives to traditional surgery, invasive procedures, and outdated methods of diagnosis. The introduction of CT offered a message of conciliation to individuals who had become overly resilient to the ongoing tension, showcasing the necessity and the potential for truce after enduring prolonged periods of conflict, distress, and hardship. Initially, CT technology employed fairly basic software algorithms to construct intricate and detailed images from arrays of elemental profiles. This technology functioned within certain engineering constraints, with the goal of creating monochromatic imagery based on distinct differences

in tissue density. As the years progressed, increasingly sophisticated systems emerged, allowing for the multidimensional rendition of organically colored imagery derived from a single subtle hue, advancing the diagnostic capabilities of the field further. Over this same period of time, the generation and curation of its own data by medical CT have been documented and robustly discussed for the first time, shedding vital light on the evolution of this essential diagnostic tool. The profound impact that 40 years of CT scanning has had on healthcare systems not only in Ireland but also far beyond is comprehensively reviewed, illustrating its significant role in transforming modern medicine and practice [51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61].

3.3 Fluoroscopy

Fluoroscopy is an advanced imaging technique that harnesses the power of X-rays to obtain real-time moving images of various human body organs, showcasing their dynamic functions. This technique has become an absolutely essential and integral component of the continuously evolving and increasingly sophisticated landscape of modern medical technology. Until this day, fluoroscopy continues to serve as the paramount standard for imaging guidance utilized in the majority of interventional cardiology procedures, ensuring high precision and efficacy in remarkable ways. The process of capturing fluoroscopic images involves the careful and strategic positioning of both an X-ray source and an X-ray detector, which rotate respectively above and below the patient's body in a precise and controlled manner. The radiation that is absorbed and diffracted by the diverse tissues within the human body is then meticulously captured and transmitted to the detector, where it is processed in real-time to produce high-contrast images that effectively showcase the structures expected to be visualized during various medical interventions. One of the significant advantages associated with X-ray imaging is its remarkable ability to penetrate various biological tissues effectively; this capability enables the formation of bright, detailed, and high-resolution images of very dense structures, vital organs, or fluids that contain contrast media meticulously used during examinations to enhance visibility. In stark contrast, air-filled or low-density structures and tissues manifest as darker areas on the monitor screen, providing a stark visual differentiation that proves beneficial for accurate diagnostics.

Since its initial introduction into the medical field, the nature of X-ray imaging has markedly improved and evolved significantly over the decades, leading to more effective clinical outcomes. In the 1970s, fluoroscopes underwent a substantial transformation with the groundbreaking advent of automatic dose-rated fluoroscopy, which greatly enhanced safety measures

during procedures. Furthermore, remarkable advances in real-time image post-processing techniques have matured alongside the advanced development of dynamic flat-panel detectors, leading to the enhanced quality of images capable of supporting sophisticated metrics such as image subtraction and real-time roadmapping. Despite these notable technological evolutions and advancements, the fundamental principles underlying fluoroscopy remain unchanged: the exposure of both patients and operators to ionizing radiation must be cautiously monitored. The radiation that is absorbed by body tissues is firmly linked to numerous biological consequences, including various lesions that can emerge as a result of exposure. The photoelectric effect plays a pivotal role in this complex process, leading to the excitation of free radicals and peripheral electrons, which can disrupt chemical bonds and potentially form chains or migrate to different molecular structures, causing additional complications within the body.

Moreover, the striking introduction of real-time 3D electroanatomic mapping systems in the field of cardiac electrophysiology has significantly transformed how clinicians approach and treat patients suffering from a range of arrhythmic diseases. These advanced systems allow for both the intricate anatomical substrates of the heart and electrical maps to be efficiently and accurately configured. Utilizing a high-density mapping catheter, the device performs an in-depth analysis and processes stored endocardial signals while concurrently gathering comprehensive data from additional spatially referenced catheters. This sophisticated technology facilitates significantly improved accuracy in localization and allows rapid intra-procedural access to clinically relevant details, which are essential and critical during various interventions. Additionally, the unique mapping method can continuously track the tips of the ablation catheter, triggering alarms whenever these tips approach critical risk structures, providing an invaluable safety feature during complex procedures that may otherwise pose significant risks.

For these compelling and crucial reasons, the swift adoption of mapping systems has revolutionized the electrophysiology (EP) landscape, setting a new standard without equal among other advancements in medical technology, comparable to groundbreaking developments in numerous interventional specialties. In this domain, the various modalities of vision have primarily enriched preoperative planning and effectively manage the complex anatomy, whether concerning critical blood vessels or the intricate valvular heart structures. This is achieved through advanced roadmapping techniques incorporated within imaging versions, allowing seamless integration of real-time X-ray images with the patient-specific left atrial anatomy derived from

other imaging modalities. Such innovations signify a monumental leap forward in the field of medical imaging and intervention, solidifying fluoroscopy's well-deserved place at the forefront of modern medical practice and enhancing the capabilities of healthcare professionals in delivering exemplary patient care. [62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72]

3.4 Digital radiography

Since the remarkable and groundbreaking discovery of X-ray technology in the year 1895, the field of radiology has ushered in an entirely new and transformative era of technical advancement within the realm of medicine, fundamentally changing how we approach both diagnosis and treatment. Over the course of the last century, radiology has witnessed significant developments across numerous aspects and continues to be an evolving domain of medical science that adapts to meet new challenges. Today, it boasts a wide array of critical clinical applications that are essential for modern healthcare practices and play a crucial role in patient management. Furthermore, the ongoing development of radiological techniques, coupled with the evolving needs and demands of the field, have inspired the emergence of many innovative technologies. Notably, advancements such as MRI and CT scans have revolutionized the landscape of medical imaging, which have greatly enhanced diagnostic capabilities and improved the overall quality of patient care. These advancements ensure quicker and far more accurate assessments than ever before, significantly aiding healthcare providers in making informed decisions and delivering effective treatments more efficiently, ultimately benefiting patients who rely on these essential services. [73, 18, 74, 75, 5, 76]

There is indeed not enough space to adequately explore and enumerate the numerous remarkable developments that have taken place in the ever-evolving field of radiology. However, we can certainly highlight some of the most notable megatrends within radiology that warrant further discussion and consideration. Chief among these trends is the ongoing digitization of virtually every element associated with healthcare. This transformation encompasses not only the professional activities and day-to-day practices of physicians but also extends to the management, organization, and maintenance of radiographs, in addition to their extensive, often sprawling archives. Furthermore, the methods utilized in the rationing and allocation of healthcare resources are also undergoing substantial digital transformations, reshaping how we understand and engage with healthcare delivery.

For those of us who frequently and routinely utilize various radiological devices, we have observed the progressive introduction of new, advanced

display devices along with innovative input methods designed to significantly enhance the overall user experience and interaction with these critical technologies. Moreover, the field has witnessed rapid and impressive advancements concerning various imaging modalities, which have contributed to an impressively broad range of applicability, encompassing diverse diagnostic and therapeutic needs. This flexibility extends from the macroscopic imaging capabilities facilitated by traditional plain film and enhanced by the ongoing advancement of digital radiography, to incredibly high-resolution imaging techniques that empower us to visualize functional markers present within human tissue. This visualization is made possible through the remarkable utilization of ultrasound microscopy technology, which provides detailed insights that were previously challenging to obtain.

It is also noteworthy that there appear to be increasing demands that exceed the basic levels typically required to deliver effective radiological care. Given that these implications touch upon numerous critical aspects related to diagnosis and treatment protocols, it is starkly evident that medical physics is now experiencing a parallel trend characterized by a growing divergence in thought and emphasis among practitioners. On one side of the spectrum, technologists are actively engaged in exploring both the potential uses and myriad implications of computation and advanced imaging techniques in their everyday practices. Meanwhile, on the other side, physicists are becoming increasingly involved in the intricate nuances of parse-comparison, alongside fitting techniques and dosimetry specifically related to implantable tissues within the human body.

Furthermore, among the various implications that are undoubtedly having an impactful effect on both current practice and potential future advancements in this domain is the significant development of intelligent support systems that have been designed to effectively manage and execute increasingly complex radiological procedures. Additionally, the role of advanced computer display technology in the interpretation, analysis, and evaluation of radiological images has markedly increased, providing radiologists with greater tools to make informed decisions. In tandem with this, there are also emerging, more specific workflow requirements that are developing within the context of Picture Archiving and Communication Systems (PACS), which are critical for efficient radiological practices. The demand for functional and quantitative imaging is experiencing robust growth as well, further shaping the landscape of radiology. Lastly, the rising influence of Computer-Aided Detection (CAD) systems and the ongoing development of organ-specific workstations are making substantial contributions toward providing robust

bases for therapeutic decision-making. These advancements also serve to enhance reliable quality control tools that ultimately increase the overall effectiveness of radiological practices across the board ^[77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84].

Chapter - 4

Physics of X-Ray Production

Wilhelm Röntgen inadvertently discovered x-rays during a series of experimental ventures involving cathode rays in the year 1895. This remarkable and unforeseen discovery significantly transformed the landscape of medical diagnostics forever, marking a turning point in the field. Almost immediately following this groundbreaking event, he, along with a multitude of other prominent scientists, began to harness the wonders of x-rays for the vital purpose of non-invasive diagnosis. This innovative application of x-ray technology rapidly became the foremost reason for which Röntgen was honored with the illustrious Nobel Prize for Physics in the year 1901. This prestigious award was a clear acknowledgment of his exceptional and pioneering discovery. In the wake of this pivotal moment in the evolution of science, an entirely new field emerged, which was aptly christened radiography. This dynamic field offered the astonishing capability of conducting internal diagnoses and enabled non-invasive observations of the intricate and complex structures within the human body. Remarkably, within a mere ten years of Röntgen's trailblazing discovery, numerous medical clinics sprang to life, providing the revolutionary ability to accurately pinpoint foreign objects lodged within the human body or diagnose potential issues that were related to a patient's vital organs or skeletal structures. For more than a century, X-ray imaging technology has stood as one of the most widely employed techniques across the vast arena of medical diagnostics and experimental information gathering. The continual advancement in the utilization of x-rays, their diverse applications, and the sophisticated interpretations of x-ray radiation have consistently been intertwined with the remarkable progress in the technology responsible for generating such x-rays. The modern generation of x-ray technology is the remarkable product of a rapid evolution that has spanned more than a hundred years, characterized by ongoing trials, a variety of meticulous experiments, and grounded in the principles of quantum physics that have skillfully guided its ongoing development and refinement [85, 2, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93].

To truly grasp the fascinating complexities and inherent limitations associated with the process of x-ray imaging, it is essential to delve deeply

into the origins of x-rays while also closely examining how these rays interact with the various forms of matter in a remarkably unique manner. X-rays represent a specific and intriguing form of energy that originates from the direct and energetic interactions occurring between a moving electron and an atom. More specifically, these rays can be produced when a fast-moving electron experiences rapid deceleration as it forcefully and dramatically engages with the nucleus of an atom. This generation process is typically accomplished by liberating high-energy electrons from their initial states, which are then directed to accelerate at extraordinarily high speeds towards a specially designed dielectric target. When one of these high-energy electrons from the surrounding cloud finally encounters a heavy metal atom, the likelihood of producing high-energy photons dramatically and significantly increases. This captivating phenomenon can be further elucidated through the foundational principles of quantum physics, which effectively explain that x-rays emerge distinctly when low-energy electromagnetic radiation experiences a marked shift away from its usual orbital path within the confines of an atom. As these dynamic changes transpire at a rapid pace, this leads to substantial and sudden alterations in the electromagnetic field that surrounds the atom in question. Such profound alterations are what ultimately culminate in the formation of an x-ray, thereby creating a distinct electromagnetic field of its own. Consequently, increased atomic density corresponds directly with a heightened probability of x-ray production, meaning that the denser the atom's structure, the more likely it is to generate x-rays effectively. Furthermore, the extent and variety of electromagnetic radiation frequencies produced are directly dependent upon the energy levels of the source electrons. In fact, the chances of x-ray generation within these specific electromagnetic field frequencies are considerably enhanced not only as atomic density increases but also when dealing with elements characterized by impressively high atomic numbers (Z). This principle cogently elucidates why bones, possessing an atomic number of 20, are capable of absorbing significantly more x-rays in comparison to soft tissues, which inherently have a much lower atomic number of 7. Thus, the intricate interaction of x-rays with various materials is fundamentally influenced by their respective atomic properties and densities, revealing the underlying significance and crucial importance of these characteristics in the expansive realm of medical imaging [94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100, 101, 102].

4.1 X-Ray Generation

X-rays were initially discovered by the renowned physicist W.C. Roentgen on the 8th of November in the pivotal year of 1895. This

monumental and groundbreaking discovery not only changed the landscape of scientific inquiry but also led to W.C. Roentgen being honored with the very first Nobel Prize that was awarded in the esteemed and prestigious field of physics a few years later, specifically in the significant year of 1901. Since that important and momentous discovery of the x-ray phenomenon, this remarkable application of scientific knowledge has been widely embraced across a multitude of fields for the express purpose of generating detailed and highly informative radiographs. X-rays are utilized extensively in numerous crucial and essential areas such as scientific research and intricate diffraction studies, as well as in medical radiology, biological investigations, and thorough and meticulous processes of material analysis. The impact of x-rays continues to be profound, facilitating advancements in technology and medicine that have proven invaluable ^[103, 104, 2, 105].

X-ray, a fascinating form of electromagnetic radiation, represents a type of light that possesses a significantly shorter wavelength compared to the wavelengths associated with visible light. This distinct characteristic results in a range of unique physical properties that are fundamentally different from those of regular light. The production of X-rays occurs in a specialized process when charged particles, particularly electrons, collide forcefully with a target material; this interaction leads to the emission of a high-energy form of radiation known as X-rays. Essentially, X-rays are made up of photons, which are tiny packets of energy that possess no electric charge whatsoever.

When an X-ray beam approaches an atom, it has the capacity to induce the ejection of an electron from the atom's innermost shell, marking a critical event in the interaction between X-rays and matter. This ejection of an electron creates a vacancy within the atom, leading another electron from a higher energy level to transition into the now vacant lower-energy orbit of the atom. This transition process is inherently unstable; the atom is in search of balance. As the electron shifts down to fill the void, it releases energy that corresponds precisely to the specific difference in energy levels between the orbits involved. The energy released during this process manifests itself as new X-rays, which can then further interact with adjacent materials, continuing the cycle of absorption and emission.

The distinctive characteristics of the X-ray spectrum produced during these intricate electronic transitions are highly dependent on both the intrinsic properties of the target material being bombarded and the energy of the high-speed electrons that are impacting the target. It is significant to note that X-rays exhibit varying abilities to penetrate different materials, which is primarily determined by the atomic numbers of the elements present within

the materials being analyzed. Consequently, differences in density among the constituents within an image can be effectively assessed through comparative analysis and interpretation. For instance, in an anatomical image produced via X-ray technology, the distinctions emerge clearly; areas containing softer tissues appear less dense, while regions consisting of denser structures, such as bones, exhibit greater opacity in the resultant image.

These principles of density and image interpretation are vital as they enable radiologists and technicians to diagnose medical issues with a high level of precision and accuracy. The modern advancements in X-ray technology have tremendously facilitated both medical and industrial applications, enabling X-ray tubes to operate efficiently at remarkably high voltages, with a maximum capacity that can reach up to an impressive 300 kV. This remarkable capability enhances the quality and clarity of the imagery produced, supporting better diagnostic processes in the fields of healthcare and industrial inspections. With the continual progress in technologies and techniques, X-rays play a crucial role in the evolving landscape of medical diagnostics and material analysis ^[106, 23, 107, 108, 109, 110, 111, 18, 112, 113].

In this detailed and comprehensive study, the possible and promising use of newly developed pyroelectric x-ray generators is thoroughly investigated and extensively discussed as a viable potential replacement for the existing x-ray tubes, which have undeniably been the industry standard for a number of years. Pyroelectric materials represent one of the various advanced categories of advanced materials that provide several significant advantages for numerous applications, prominently including the innovative generation of alternative x-rays. Throughout this thorough study, current advances and developments related to x-ray generation utilizing the intriguing pyroelectric materials are systematically presented with the aim of establishing a foundational and robust know-how for the future development of innovative imaging devices within the critical and essential fields of medicine and materials science.

X-rays are fundamentally crucial to one of the most widely prevalent imaging techniques utilized today in both clinical and research settings, serving as a cornerstone in diagnostics and research alike. However, it is essential to note that the conventional methods of generating x-rays are not always straightforward or even feasible for leveraging some of the most interesting and valuable properties that x-ray technology has to offer. The systematic exploration of alternative technologies, such as the promising pyroelectric x-ray generators, opens up new and exciting avenues for overcoming these limitations, thus significantly enhancing the flexibility and

efficiency of x-ray imaging in practical applications. This innovative approach not only broadens the scope of x-ray generation but also invites the potential for new developments in imaging technology that could revolutionize the field and lead to enhanced imaging quality and accessibility. [114, 115, 116, 117, 118, 119, 120, 121]

4.2 Interaction with Matter

In the pivotal year of 1895, a momentous occasion unfolded in the illustrious history of science when the brilliant Wilhelm Conrad Roentgen made an astonishing discovery that would drastically alter the landscape of medical diagnostics forever. He uncovered a groundbreaking new form of electromagnetic radiation that, under specific and ideal circumstances and conditions, possessed the remarkable capability to penetrate the human body with ease. This unique and groundbreaking property of x-rays facilitated the creation of intricate shadow images that laid bare the complex structure of the human skeleton. Almost instantly, Roentgen, along with many other visionary scientists, began to harness the power of x-rays for the purpose of non-invasive diagnosis, marking the beginning of a significant innovation that ultimately culminated in Roentgen receiving the esteemed Nobel Prize for Physics in the year 1901, a testament to his exceptional and groundbreaking discovery. This moment marked the advent of a completely new field, which soon came to be recognized as radiography. Radiography offered the exciting capacity to perform internal diagnoses and detailed observations of the human body in a manner that was not only effective but also remarkably cost-efficient for both patients and medical professionals alike.

Within an astonishingly brief span of merely ten years following Röntgen's monumental discovery, a vast array of medical clinics began to spring forth, providing patients with the innovative ability to locate foreign objects that had become lodged within the human body or to diagnose potential health issues that could impact various vital organs or bones. This rapid and widespread adoption of X-ray technology underscored its immense importance and undeniable relevance within the medical field. Since that transformative period, the domain of X-ray imaging technology has experienced significant advances, evolution, and major transformations, making it absolutely essential to comprehend the scientific apparatus and innovative insights that have been developed within this crucial area of medical science. For over a century, X-ray imaging technology has firmly established itself as one of the most widely utilized and essential medical diagnostic and experimental techniques across hospitals, laboratories, and observatories around the globe. The ongoing progression in the use, practical

applications, and evolving interpretations of X-ray radiation has consistently been intertwined with the technological advancements that are crucial for effectively generating and capturing high-quality x-rays, further solidifying its place in modern medicine and its invaluable contribution to patient care and diagnostics [85, 9, 104, 122, 14, 123, 124, 125, 126, 127].

Chapter - 5

Applications of X-Ray in Medicine

Introduction

Since the groundbreaking and highly influential discovery of X-ray technology in the year 1895, the field of radiology has remarkably opened the gate to dazzling and transformative new technical developments within the broad and ever-evolving medical sector, reshaping our approach to health assessment and diagnosis. This unprecedented progress has consequently focused on the innovative and varied utilization of a wide array of energy sources or radiation types, leading to a renaissance of techniques designed to enhance our understanding of human and animal anatomies and pathologies. The broad-ranging clinical applications of X-ray imaging in both human and animal bodies-coupled with its essential dental and industrial applications-have significantly motivated and spurred on numerous technological innovations within the expansive and vital area of diagnostic imaging, yielding real benefits for both treating professionals and patients alike. Various cutting-edge technical or digital innovations have been systematically introduced to the field according to comprehensive schedules based on their identified vulnerabilities or the capacity for adoption by numerous radiology facilities, signifying a strong commitment to improvement and excellence.

As advances continue at a rapid pace, it is anticipated that one to two potentially infinite innovations will be nationalized or commercialized in the near future, thereby further expanding the critical role of medical imaging throughout not only the diagnosis but also the treatment industries, enhancing the synergy between these two crucial medical domains. Depending on the rapidity of these developments, hospitals and healthcare facilities across the board should undertake thorough renovations or even completely new designs in their planning efforts, demonstrating an essential adaptability to technological change. This is vital to effectively adopt the upcoming novel systems and technologies that promise to revolutionize patient care, enhance diagnostic capabilities, and ultimately lead to improved health outcomes for patients everywhere, ensuring that no individual is left behind in the wake of progression. The future of radiology appears bright, filled with promise and

groundbreaking possibilities that will undoubtedly shape the way healthcare professionals approach the diagnostics and treatment processes for various medical conditions, ensuring a healthier tomorrow for all populations. ^[128, 2, 129, 130, 33, 5, 131, 73, 132]

Applications of X-Ray in Medicine

Opportunities to visualize the intricate aspects of inner matter, which encompasses both the living body and a variety of other materials, significantly empower us to make early and precise responses to the potential issues that may arise in our lives. In particular, thanks to the ever-growing advances in diverse technical fields like imaging technologies, the application of X-ray technology is continually evolving and improving. It is widely recognized as playing a crucial and transformative role alongside the significant variations maintained within the medical industry, which range broadly from essential treatments that save lives to intricate diagnoses that require careful examination. Therefore, it is certainly no exaggeration to confidently proclaim that we are living in an extraordinary era that is dramatically characterized by X-ray-equipped medicine and the advancements that accompany it. The current status of X-ray-related technology within the vital medical field is set to be meticulously reviewed from a variety of enlightening perspectives, incorporating governmental opinions, pertinent statistical data, and personal experiences that clearly shed light on its profound and multifaceted impact on healthcare. A brief yet insightful history of the application of X-ray in various medical settings will be comprehensively discussed, along with a wide assortment of currently utilized equipment and methodologies that highlight innovations in this vital area. This will be presented with the intention to provide a better understanding of the current status framed within an important economic context. Furthermore, a diverse range of experts in the field are presently predicting trends for the near future based on ongoing advancements or anticipated technological innovations in this essential sector of healthcare. Their knowledgeable insights aim to guide us through a deeper understanding of the potential evolution of medical practices that could soon unfold as a direct consequence of these continuing technological enhancements, which are influencing diagnosis and treatment methodologies in significant ways ^[133, 134, 73, 135, 129, 5, 136, 76, 137].

5.1 Bone Fractures and Injuries

X-rays, which are also referred to as computed radiography, have consistently maintained an esteemed reputation for numerous decades in the

crucial field of medical diagnostics. When one considers the vast array of existing diagnostic modalities, it can be quite difficult to identify any other technique that is as deeply embedded in the fabric of emergency medicine as X-ray imaging is. On one side of this discussion, the capacity of X-rays to reveal structural damage resulting from traumatic events brings with it immediate benefits that are critical for patients during highly urgent situations. On the other side, the procedure itself is notable not only for its simplicity but also for its cost-effectiveness, allowing diverse practices and clinics to remain operational around the clock. This operational capacity means that they can offer indispensable services 24 hours a day, thereby avoiding significant strain on their resources and personnel. However, it is important to understand that the juxtaposition between X-rays and other advanced diagnostic imaging techniques, such as ultrasound diagnostics and computed tomography, which are known to excel in clarifying various clinical conditions, cannot be entirely overlooked. X-rays will always possess limitations in terms of their effectiveness for evaluating a wide range of health issues. The intrinsic nature of how X-rays visually represent information lacks the vivid dynamics and substantial variety that are often seen in other imaging methods, such as CT scans or MRIs. Additionally, the projection of three-dimensional anatomical structures onto flat, two-dimensional detector surfaces creates significant challenges that constrain the optimal visualization of the objects being examined thoroughly. This discussion will primarily emphasize the importance of expanding upon the broader spectrum of imaging techniques that exist beyond standard X-ray imaging practices widely employed in modern healthcare. There are fundamentally two methods of utilizing X-ray imaging that continue to possess certain allure and effectiveness even in spite of their inherent limitations. In emergencies, achieving fast recordings and evaluations of radiographs becomes the topmost priority; thus, the most straightforward means to guarantee long-term storage and facilitate further assessment employs image processing specifically tailored to the identification of fractures, a development that has been thoroughly validated in clinical settings. Moreover, there will be instances where immediate injury results are only minimally evident on the X-ray monitor screen. In such cases, while a basic morphological diagnosis may be provided swiftly to guide immediate treatment, any necessary therapeutic interventions will actually need a more accurate and detailed diagnosis. The advent of digital image processing for identification purposes has proven to be demonstrably superior when compared to conventional diagnostic descriptions, yielding results that are more reliable and relevant. Should one choose to avoid the often frustrating and tedious traditional imaging processes, it is indeed becoming possible to

capture images that are directly recorded by image intensifiers onto a computer without exposing patients to additional radiation. This modern method can significantly enhance both the speed and quality of routine evaluations that are conducted in medical settings. When assessing bone fractures and injuries, there are at least three different methodologies that have been proposed for detecting fractures within X-ray images of extremities. These techniques include the implementation of automatic edge detection, which is subsequently followed by a structured sequence of procedures aimed at reducing and connecting the edges of fragmented pixels; the calculation of local threshold maps, which is subsequently accompanied by straightforward morphological operations designed to enhance clarity in identification; and finally, a strategic approach that utilizes generalized morphological feature analysis conducted at multiple scales of thinning to improve diagnosis precision. Despite the remarkable advancements represented by these various strategies, it is crucial to underscore that the accuracy of detection will never be infallible, thereby emphasizing the need for considerable attention in selecting the input images to ensure optimal outcomes in fracture detection and overall diagnostic accuracy. [138, 139, 140, 141, 142, 143, 144, 145, 146, 147, 148]

5.2. Chest Imaging

X-ray chest radiography of the lung stands out as one of the most common and widely utilized diagnostic methods in the vast medical field. Each passing year, countless billions of chest radiographs are acquired and examined, underscoring its immense significance in health assessments and the effective monitoring of pulmonary health. The human lung, a remarkably complex organ, consists of hundreds of millions of intricate air-tissue interfaces that skillfully provide the necessary gas exchange essential for survival and breathing. This complicated structure ensures that oxygen can be absorbed efficiently into the bloodstream while carbon dioxide is expelled from the body. Conventional absorption-based radiography, while it proves to be effective for certain applications, often has clinical picture quality that is typically limited to visualizing structures that exist within a range of at least several hundred micrometers in size. However, within the lung, a considerable portion of the functional capacity associated with breathing is supported by delicate small airways and alveoli, featuring diameters that range from approximately 2 mm down to below an astonishing 0.1 mm. Regrettably, these tiny structures remain unresolved or poorly defined by conventional radiographic techniques. Consequently, lung imaging essentially falls short when it comes to visualizing the majority of the lung's detailed anatomical structures. The vast regions within the lung that exhibit an uncertain X-ray

appearance can include early fixation sites of pneumonia, which may present as micronodules or bronchopneumonic infiltrates. Additionally, as the pneumonia progresses to later stages, various forms of carcinomas, emphysema, and all types of pneumonia often remain completely invisible in traditional chest X-ray images. This inherent limitation poses significant challenges in accurately diagnosing lung conditions, creating a scenario where many potential issues remain unresolved by standard radiography practices, leading to a potential for misdiagnosis and delayed treatment in patients suffering from pulmonary ailments. [149, 124, 150, 151, 130, 152, 153, 154, 155]

Chest X-ray radiography (CXR) imaging serves an exceptionally vital function in the crucial initial triage process of patients who present with various respiratory complaints and conditions related to their lungs. The growing and seemingly insatiable demand for CXR imaging can often prove to be quite challenging to satisfy, particularly given the overwhelming influx of patients who are seeking medical care for their diverse and pressing conditions. It is also important to emphasize that the process of chest radiography could potentially be compromised and posed with significant risks of infection due to exposure to SARS-CoV2 if the imaging equipment, along with the surrounding areas, is not properly and thoroughly disinfected and maintained. Implementing adequate and rigorous sterilization protocols is essential in order to prevent the transmission of infections and to ensure the utmost safety of both patients and healthcare workers in the clinical environment. These protocols are vital to maintain a safe healthcare setting while adequately addressing the continuous and increasing demand for imaging services, which is essential in providing accurate and timely diagnoses for patients experiencing respiratory difficulties [156, 157, 158, 33, 159, 160, 161].

5.3 Dental X-Rays

Dental X-rays are primarily employed in the specialized and intricate field of dentistry to thoroughly examine for the presence of potential tooth decay, which typically appears as a dark spot or shadow on the surface of a tooth. This valuable diagnostic tool plays a crucial role in effective treatment planning and allows the dentist to attain a clearer understanding of a patient's oral health status. In addition to detecting decay, X-rays can also reveal a variety of other dental anomalies such as developing teeth, damaged teeth, or even infected teeth. These images serve as an essential component in diagnosing conditions that may not be immediately visible. Moreover, these advanced imaging techniques help identify smaller and often overlooked issues that may exist around or beneath the gums, including benign cysts,

tumors, or early signs of oral cancer that require timely intervention. When you schedule a visit to your dentist, they will conduct a comprehensive assessment of your teeth, gums, and other areas within your mouth, while making extensive use of dental X-rays to detect any underlying concerns that may not be evident through a simple visual examination. It's important to be aware that many dental issues remain hidden and are not readily apparent when simply looking into a mirror. Through the advanced application of cutting-edge X-ray technology, the dentist can uncover early warning signs of trouble that might lie beneath the gum tissue, such as the onset of decay that can occur between teeth or abnormal growth patterns of bone structures, such as cysts. The advancements in X-ray technology have evolved significantly within the dental field over the last decade, transforming how dental professionals diagnose and treat patients. While this technological progress might operate beneath the surface for the typical patient, it undeniably makes a substantial impact on both the quality of care that patients receive and the overall operational efficiency of the dental team. Electronic X-ray systems offer numerous advantages when compared with traditional film-based techniques, and they contribute positively to patient experience. For instance, patients experience much lower levels of radiation exposure during the imaging process, and the waiting time for the development of images has been notably reduced, as images are readily accessible on a computer screen almost instantaneously. This modern procedure generates no hazardous waste byproducts, thereby completely eliminating the need for harmful chemical solutions or films, resulting in significantly lower overall costs associated with individual imaging sessions. However, in a puzzling trend that has emerged in recent years, some dental practices are choosing to increase their fees despite these advancements in technology and quality of care. Various dental services can also be categorized under differing nomenclature, commonly referred to as services, procedures, or the broader scope of services. It is crucial to note that certain crucial procedures, such as X-rays, sterilization of instruments, including those used within the operative field, as well as the thorough sanitation of dental drills and other essential tools, find themselves notably excluded from the B_NMBS framework. On the Medicare benefits schedule, various dental care-related services are neatly classified into five distinct categories, ranging from I to V. Any dental work that falls under the regulation of the B_NMBS system can be thoroughly found in these pre-defined categories, ensuring transparency and accessibility. Since the education and management of routine dental practices do not fall within the constraints of the B_NMBS guidelines, researchers have utilized historical data from as far back as 1988 regarding older X-ray information to inform and clarify current

practices in the context of family dentistry today. The usage of dental services appears to be relatively consistent among both general dentists and prosthodontists, as well as across treatments provided by B_NMBS participating practitioners and those who are non-B_NMBS dental professionals. This consistency highlights the ongoing importance of traditional methods and modern advancements complementing each other in dental health care, paving the way for improved patient outcomes and enhanced overall oral health. [162, 163, 164, 107, 165, 166, 167, 168, 169, 170]

5.4. Oncology Applications

The evolution of X-rays for medical imaging has undergone a truly remarkable transformation over the last century, marking an extraordinary advancement in healthcare technology and patient diagnosis. What initially began as the straightforward capture of simple radiographs showcasing the basic structure of bones has now transitioned into a dynamic and multifaceted technological landscape that is far more complex and sophisticated. This contemporary realm now includes not only basic imaging modalities but also highly advanced systems such as computer tomography (CT), cutting-edge digital tomosynthesis, and the innovative and promising field of biomedical X-ray fluorescence. These innovations represent some of the latest imaging modalities developed specifically to enhance diagnostic capabilities across a variety of medical settings, demonstrating an impressive breadth of advancement. The historical use of ionizing radiation within the realm of medicine can be traced back long ago to the groundbreaking discovery of X-rays by the esteemed physicist Wilhelm Roentgen. He was honored with the Nobel Prize in 1901 for his pivotal contributions to the field of medical imaging, which are still recognized today. His pioneering work not only opened the door for subsequent imaging advancements globally but also underscored the immense potential that X-rays held for diagnostic purposes. This pivotal discovery laid down the vital foundation for a multitude of advancements in medical diagnostics that would follow in the decades to come. Historical evidence from early studies indicates that X-ray images possess the remarkable capability to deliver crucial diagnostic information about the human body, even in cases where there are no visible signs or symptoms present in patients, thereby showcasing their invaluable role in healthcare. Despite these early breakthroughs, the initial methods for producing effective images, as evidenced by Roentgen's early techniques, relied solely on absorption contrast. This reliance on a singular method posed a limitation that would remain entrenched in the field until the arrival of more advanced imaging modalities such as computerized tomography. It would not

be until another seventy years had passed that a range of alternatives for X-ray diagnostic imaging would begin to gain significant traction, ultimately becoming widely adopted as standard practices in various medical fields. Meanwhile, the medical imaging field continued to grow and expand to include a variety of other techniques utilizing X-rays along with other forms of high-energy ionizing radiation. This evolution led to groundbreaking innovations such as raster stereography, gamma camera scintigraphy, and other specialties within the domain of imaging, including general X-ray imaging techniques and advanced phase-contrast X-ray imaging. The combined approaches of general imaging and fluorescence X-ray absorption imaging, collectively referred to as biomedical X-ray fluorescence imaging, represent a modern and sophisticated method of visualizing materials based on their intricate interaction with energetic X-ray radiation. This current approach reflects a dedicated commitment to continually improving diagnostic imaging techniques and further expands the diagnostic toolbox available to medical professionals as they work diligently in their critical efforts to enhance patient care and outcomes in contemporary medical practice. [16, 2, 171, 15, 172, 173, 18]

While the primary capability of this method, which specifically focuses on the intricate imaging and thorough analysis of the abundance of various elements that collectively constitute the imaged sample, may not necessarily be considered unique among the vast multitude of existing imaging techniques available in today's advanced scientific landscape, the distinctive and rather unusual manner in which the fluorescence signal is generated stands out. This, combined with the remarkably high penetration depth of the probing radiation that is utilized in this sophisticated technique, renders it exceptionally appealing for a wide array of targeted applications within the expansive biomedical field. The potential benefits and transformative impacts of such applications have undoubtedly fueled the continuous advancement of incredibly powerful new X-ray sources. Furthermore, these advancements are complemented by the ongoing development of significantly brighter beamlines at advanced synchrotrons, thereby enhancing the overall efficacy, precision, and utility of this invaluable method as a whole. As a direct consequence of these developments, this innovative imaging technique is increasingly recognized as being on the very brink of achieving much broader clinical applications, along with essential further systematic development in the foreseeable future. For this compelling reason, this particular work aims to meticulously summarize not only the historical context but also the recent advancements that have been made in the dynamic and rapidly evolving field of biomedical X-ray fluorescence. Moreover, it thoroughly discusses the

numerous advantages that are inherently associated with this cutting-edge approach, alongside the varying challenges that accompany its application. This discussion is intended to provide comprehensive insights and a forward-looking outlook that explores potential further developments, which may include a variety of promising future clinical applications that could revolutionize current practices. Additionally, the early applications of the method will be extensively examined, presenting a detailed review of the intricate history of its development specifically for the purpose of matter imaging. This review will be conducted in conjunction with an exploration of several fundamental concepts that underlie the complex science of biomedical X-ray fluorescence imaging. Finally, the typical challenges and inherent limitations of this multifaceted technique, particularly those specifically associated with the imaging of larger and more complex objects often encountered in clinical environments, will be duly highlighted. Recent innovative attempts to effectively and efficiently address these persistent limitations will also be thoroughly discussed in depth, adding further clarity to this evolving scientific narrative. [5, 174, 175, 43, 176, 33, 76, 177, 178]

5.5. Cardiovascular Imaging

Cardiovascular imaging is not only a critical component of the medical field but also a highly specialized area within the broader realm of medical imaging. This discipline employs a diverse array of advanced imaging techniques, all purposefully designed to effectively visualize the heart, along with the intricate and extensive network of blood vessels, in remarkable detail and precision. The diseases that impact the heart, as well as the associated blood vessels, are generally classified under the term cardiovascular diseases. These conditions encompass a wide spectrum of issues, ranging from minor, relatively benign problems to severe, life-threatening ailments requiring urgent medical attention. The heart, along with the vast and varied network of blood vessels, consists of two essential components of the cardiovascular system, which function in harmony to circulate vital nutrients, oxygen, and blood throughout the entire body. This continuous circulation is crucial for delivering essential elements to the various tissues and organs within the body, thus enabling them to function efficiently and optimally on a daily basis. Within the multifaceted realm of medical science, there are numerous sophisticated imaging techniques that healthcare professionals utilize to facilitate a thorough examination and analysis of the complex structures and systems that reside inside the human body. By gaining a more profound understanding of the underlying issues that patients face and engaging in comprehensive research regarding the specific conditions encountered by

individual patients, healthcare professionals are able to devise tailored treatment plans that cater specifically to individual needs and unique circumstances. These medical interventions are meticulously monitored and adapted to assist in alleviating or entirely eliminating any discomfort, abnormal sensations, or feelings of distress that patients may experience during their medical journey. Through these dedicated approaches, healthcare providers play a significant role in enhancing the overall health and well-being of their patients, ultimately leading to an improved quality of life and increased longevity for those they treat. [1, 179, 180, 181, 182, 183, 184, 185, 186, 187]

Cardiovascular imaging plays an essential and increasingly vital role in the accurate diagnosis of a wide array of blood vessel, circulation, and heart-related diseases that can significantly affect individuals of all ages, from the very young to the elderly. The process of cardiovascular imaging is intricately performed using a wide range of sophisticated and state-of-the-art imaging techniques that assist in uncovering critical information about the current state and functioning of the cardiovascular system. A few of these prominent imaging techniques include echocardiography, which utilizes sound waves to produce images of the heart; nuclear medicine, which involves the use of small amounts of radioactive material to diagnose or treat conditions; angiography, which provides detailed images of blood vessels; magnetic resonance angiography, utilizing magnetic fields and radio waves for high-quality images; computed tomography, which combines X-ray images taken from various angles; bioimpedance analysis, a technique often used to estimate body composition; advanced 3D preoperative modeling, which allows for better surgical planning by generating accurate models; photoacoustic tomography that combines light and sound to create images; and thermal imaging or thermography, which detects temperature variations on the surface of the body. In the circulatory system, a vessel that carries blood away from the heart to different parts of the body is referred to as an artery, while a vein is the vessel responsible for returning deoxygenated blood back to the heart. The heart itself is a truly unique and vital organ that varies from individual to individual, reflecting both genetic, hereditary, and environmental factors, including lifestyle choices such as diet and exercise. Arteries play a crucial role as they efficiently carry oxygenated blood rich in essential nutrients to the tissues and organs throughout the body, which is absolutely essential for maintaining overall health and vitality. Conversely, veins hold the crucial responsibility of transporting deoxygenated blood, along with metabolic waste products, back to the heart for vital processes of re-oxygenation and recycling. During the imaging process, if venous flow is observed in an artery before or after the introduction of contrast material, it may indicate the presence of

specific abnormalities or irregularities in the vascular system, and similarly, the opposite scenario holds true, which can also be suggestive of underlying issues. To obtain a clear and detailed visualization of the internal and external structures of the artery, a contrast agent is introduced into the body, which significantly enhances the visibility of the blood vessels, allowing for better inspection. Various advanced imaging techniques, including Magnetic Resonance Angiography, Computerized Tomography Angiography, Digital Subtraction Angiography, traditional X-ray imaging, echocardiography, and numerous evolving forms of ultrasound imaging, are systematically implemented to effectively represent both the internal and external aspects of the blood vessel and its surroundings, enabling healthcare professionals to conduct thorough evaluations, arrive at accurate diagnoses, and devise appropriate treatment plans to optimize patient outcomes and ensure better health management. [188, 189, 190, 191, 192, 193, 194, 195, 196]

Cardiovascular imaging plays an extraordinarily crucial and significant role in the effective diagnosis and treatment of a wide variety of heart-related diseases that may affect individuals in unique and diverse ways. The heart itself is a remarkably unique and vital organ within the human body, performing multiple essential functions that differ significantly from one individual to another and have profound implications for overall health. Due to numerous unwanted lifestyle habits and choices—such as poor diet, lack of exercise, smoking, and excessive alcohol consumption—the heart-related diseases that can develop are often entirely diverse in nature, complexity, and severity across different people. It is important to recognize that the impact of these diseases can vary greatly based on genetic predispositions, environmental factors, and personal health practices. Some of the most common heart-related diseases that are frequently observed, monitored, and diagnosed through sophisticated cardiovascular imaging techniques include but are certainly not limited to holes in the heart, blockages in the coronary arteries that can lead to serious complications, an expansion or dilation of the heart muscle beyond its normal limits, episodes of shortness of breath, and a disability of the heart muscle to adequately supply necessary blood to the rest of the body (conditions that encapsulate heart enlargement, tachycardia, arrhythmia, and bradycardia). Furthermore, random echo data that is collected through non-invasive methods is utilized to create a detailed and rough three-dimensional surface model, which effectively describes either the abnormalities present or the standard treatment approaches related to the outer shape and structural integrity of the heart. This cutting-edge imaging technology is absolutely essential for comprehensively understanding and effectively managing cardiovascular health within the context of modern

medicine, allowing healthcare professionals to provide targeted care, personalized treatment, and timely intervention for patients suffering from these serious and often life-threatening conditions. The implications of such advancements in imaging not only enhance diagnostic accuracy but also significantly contribute to improved treatment outcomes, ultimately boosting the quality of life for patients. [197, 198, 199, 200, 201, 202, 203, 204, 205, 206]

Chapter - 6

Advantages of X-Ray Technology

The health condition of humans constitutes a major part of their experiences on this planet, shaping not only individual lives but also the overall quality of life within communities across diverse regions and backgrounds. Different diseases, both infectious and non-infectious, affect the human body in numerous and varied ways, impacting health both internally and externally. These diseases sometimes may lead to severe, irreversible health damage to the body or, in more unfortunate cases, even cause premature deaths that cut lives short. Over the past six decades, the overall health condition of human beings has made significant and remarkable strides towards improvement. Despite these advancements, the global population has increased rapidly and continuously, which has led to a corresponding rise in the rate of various diseases, epidemics, and health issues that challenge our societies. This ever-growing health landscape has created a complex challenge for public health systems that are continually evolving to meet the diverse needs of populations. Similarly, the remarkable development of innovative medical technologies has coincided with, and has often been a response to, the rapid increase in the incidence and prevalence of diseases across different global populations. In today's world, the diagnosis and treatment of various diseases have been greatly expedited and enhanced, thanks to groundbreaking advancements in medical imaging technologies. Medical imaging involves the sophisticated and advanced imaging of the human body for clinical diagnostic purposes, enabling healthcare professionals to visualize internal structures without the need for invasive and sometimes harmful procedures. Various devices and innovative technologies, such as X-rays, MRIs, and CT scans, are employed across medical facilities to provide high-quality and detailed medical images of the human body. These images are crucial for determining the presence and extent of disease and injury effectively and accurately. Thus, the integration of modern medical imaging techniques has significantly transformed and revolutionized the way illnesses are diagnosed and treated. By facilitating early intervention, these advancements have significantly improved patient outcomes and enhanced overall healthcare efficiency across the globe, ultimately contributing to better health for individuals and communities alike.

Different medical imaging modalities such as X-ray, C.T. scan, M.R.I, and ultrasound have been widely and effectively utilized in today's complex healthcare systems throughout the world. In the United States alone, approximately 600 million X-ray imaging procedures and about 67 million C.T. scans were performed in the year 2006. In Australia, it is estimated that roughly 60 million images were created annually. A significant portion of these vital medical images originates from ambulatory patients who visit the emergency department and outpatient clinics. There are also numerous patients who require admission to emergency care within hospitals. Despite the crucial role and potential effectiveness of medical X-ray images as valuable diagnostic tools, the process of reading and interpreting these medical images by radiologists often proves to be tedious and difficult, presenting considerable challenges in the workflow for radiologists and healthcare workers alike. However, despite these challenges, efforts are actively being made to develop advanced computer-aided methods that can automatically interpret medical images with a level of accuracy comparable to that of experienced clinicians who have spent years honing their skills. The implementation of such cutting-edge technologies is expected to lead to a substantial reduction in patient treatment time while simultaneously decreasing the likelihood of misdiagnosis, thereby enhancing patient outcomes. Furthermore, this chapter emphasizes the critical significance of emerging technologies in the continually evolving field of medical imaging. These innovative advancements hold the potential to markedly impact the future of healthcare by supporting translational outcomes in clinical research environments, enhancing patient care quality in hospitals, significantly reducing overall healthcare costs, and increasing the efficiency and effectiveness of the healthcare system as a whole, which is essential for improved population health. It is important to note that each imaging modality possesses its particular advantages and unique features, as well as its own inherent shortcomings, making them suitable for different clinical scenarios and diverse patient needs, ensuring that healthcare practitioners can select the most appropriate and effective imaging methods for their patients. [95, 209, 210, 211, 212, 213, 214, 215, 216]

6.1 Non-Invasiveness

With significant advancements and notable improvements in technology, the inherently powerful and versatile nature of medical x-ray imaging is now rivaled not only by its many benefits but also by its contrasting potential detriment and the associated risks that come with it. The prevalent and

widespread use of X-ray imaging techniques understandably increases the risk of induced cancer due to the ionizing radiation emitted by the X-rays themselves. This disturbing factor raises serious concerns among medical professionals, healthcare providers, and researchers alike who are diligently working to find comprehensive solutions to this pressing issue. There is clearly a growing need for the strategic development of new and innovative x-ray imaging modalities that can effectively curb this burgeoning and concerning health threat posed by radiation exposure. Acoustic imaging emerges as one such alternative that offers exemplary safety margins, along with the impressive potential to provide crisp, detailed, and high-resolution imaging that can benefit patients immensely while minimizing potential harm. X-ray induced acoustic computed tomography, which is often referred to as XACT, can be viewed as a fascinating hybrid combination of traditional X-ray technology and advanced ultrasonic computed tomography techniques. This innovative method capitalizes on the large amount of energy that is deposited by x-ray photons into tissue, which leads to rapid local heating and thus initiates a subsequent process of thermoelastic expansion. This expansion generates wideband ultrasonic waves that can be effectively detected using piezoelectric sensors that are strategically placed around the tissue, allowing for a much safer imaging process with minimized risks when compared to conventional methods typically used in practice today. This groundbreaking approach could represent a significant leap forward in the realm of diagnostic imaging technologies, providing unparalleled safety, efficacy, and a new pathway for improving patient outcomes in the medical field. [217, 2, 218, 219, 95, 220, 221, 222, 223]

Since XACT measurements are meticulously acquired using a multitude of detecting elements that carefully surround the sample under examination, the system showcases a remarkable capability to be refocused at various depths along each individual projection angle. As a result, the signals obtained are tomographically reconstructed in order to create an exceptionally detailed image of the sample at each specific depth plane. This advancement highlights the fact that although X-ray exposure can indeed be harmful to health, even after the initial radiation has passed, both the associated harmful side effects are effectively mitigated in the innovative XACT system. The groundbreaking use of acoustic signals to generate the resulting image is inherently much safer in nature compared to the significant losses linked with photons or other forms of ionizing radiation that are commonly used. In fact, accidental exposure to ultrasonic waves presents only a serious risk when concerning high-frequency energy levels; in contrast, exposure to lower intensities primarily impacts the user only if it occurs in conjunction with direct contact with a powerful

acoustic source. This noteworthy characteristic of XACT serves to emphasize the critical importance of employing safer imaging techniques in medical diagnostics as well as in research settings. By making the transition to this advanced technology, we can effectively reduce numerous risks that are traditionally associated with older imaging methods which heavily depend on ionizing radiation. Thus, this transition prioritizes patient safety while still upholding high-quality imaging standards. Ultimately, this remarkable innovation represents a substantial leap forward within the realm of imaging technologies, assuring a healthier approach to obtaining crucial information from samples without compromising the safety or well-being of individuals involved. [95, 224, 225, 103, 226, 227, 228, 229, 230]

6.2 Speed and Efficiency

The significance of X-rays in the expansive and evolving realm of medical diagnostics cannot be overstated or undervalued in any way. The level of precision, combined with meticulous attention to detail that modern X-ray technology offers, stands as a key advantage that can significantly enhance and elevate this essential type of medical diagnostics. In every single medical procedure, the clarity and definition of the imaging output are absolutely critical factors that cannot be ignored. In the absence of such clarity, physicians encounter considerable challenges and obstacles in ensuring the effectiveness and reliability of any treatment plan they choose to pursue. With the advanced capabilities and sophisticated advancements of X-ray technology, medical personnel can confidently provide highly accurate diagnoses of a wide range of injuries, anomalies, or health issues, enabling them to determine the most effective and appropriate course of treatment with a greater level of assurance. There are a multitude of reasons contributing to the increasing prevalence and widespread adoption of X-rays in the field of medical diagnostics today. Firstly, the development and evolution of more efficient equipment, alongside advanced technologies, allow for faster and more precise imaging results that were previously unimaginable. In addition, the beneficial characteristics and advantages associated with X-rays are generating heightened interest and curiosity among healthcare professionals and the public alike, leading to greater engagement in understanding this diagnostic tool. Moreover, a growing awareness of the potential dangers and risks associated with ionizing radiation has spurred significant technological advancements within the realm of X-ray imaging equipment over recent years. As a direct result, the latest machines currently utilize significantly lower levels of radiation, which greatly diminishes the risk of excessive exposure to patients, ensuring their safety and well-being. These contemporary devices

have also enabled X-ray technology to produce imaging that is not only more specific but also remarkably detailed, which is particularly useful in accurately diagnosing and effectively treating a myriad of different injuries or medical conditions that patients may present. The innovations in technology take into account and address the fundamental principles of physics and how various tissues within the body interact with and absorb X-rays in unique ways. This essential piece of information is utilized not only to optimize and minimize the amount of radiation exposure required but also to guarantee the most detailed and distinct imaging that can be imagined. This significant advance in imaging capabilities, consequently, empowers physicians to conduct their duties with greater confidence and to make critical decisions even in the most complex and delicate medical situations they may face. Through this remarkable interplay between technology and medicine, the future of diagnostics continues to look more promising than ever before, laying the groundwork for further advancements that will undoubtedly improve patient care across the board. ^[128, 103, 75, 231, 9, 232, 233, 234, 235]

6.3 Cost-Effectiveness

The Search Engine will undertake a thorough and comprehensive evaluation of the cost-effectiveness concerning a variety of alternative imaging strategies, with a particular emphasis on x-ray technology, as it pertains to a distinct subset of diseases that have been traditionally considered and assessed by the Department of Health. This extensive search will methodically identify those specific diseases in which advanced imaging might be anticipated to yield a positive and beneficial impact on patient outcomes and overall health. The evaluation process will involve a systematic approach to assess the relative merits of different imaging modalities not only focused on x-ray but also exploring other potentially impactful techniques that could enhance diagnostic capabilities, thereby informing clinical decision-making in a timely fashion. Furthermore, it will rigorously analyze the cost-effectiveness of utilizing x-ray imaging in direct relation to the management, treatment, and care of those diseases identified during the evaluation process. During the most recent review of the Management of Care Programme conducted by the Department of Health, the Central Research and Statistics Directorate undertook a significant and thorough update of a comprehensive series of health needs assessments specifically for Great Britain. This detailed update encompasses a wide-ranging array of health conditions, from chronic diseases to acute illnesses, and includes in-depth studies addressing pressing lifestyle issues such as diet, physical activity, obesity, and smoking trends among the diverse population. Each element of this review is crucial, as it

informs evidence-based decision-making for health policies aimed at improving the health outcomes of individuals suffering from these diseases and ensures that resources are allocated efficiently to maximize the health benefits for the community as a whole. This robust framework not only highlights existing healthcare disparities but also proposes innovative interventions that could lead to more effective management strategies, thereby fostering an environment that prioritizes proactive healthcare initiatives tailored to the unique needs of different demographic groups across the nation. [236, 237, 238, 239, 240, 241, 242, 243]

A total of 43 distinct and specific conditions were thoroughly examined and analyzed using a range of robust statistical methods. This analysis included a careful selection of several conditions that may not conventionally be classified or recognized as diseases in the traditional sense. Moreover, these various conditions, irrespective of their categorization, have no substantial significance or bearing on the broader discussion regarding the cost-effectiveness associated with x-ray imaging services. In addition, several of the other diseases that were identified during the assessment process are most effectively managed through surgical intervention approaches. This makes the utilization of imaging services a rarely indicated and necessary action in those specific medical scenarios. As the thorough review process progressed, various concerns regarding selective evaluations that focused on the potential adverse health impacts of food consumption began to emerge and gain more visibility among the reviewers involved in the analysis. It was ultimately concurred that the original methodologies that had been outlined would, with some necessary and prudent modifications, be employed in order to enhance the consistency and reliability in the assessment of the various conditions considered. This important improvement would specifically focus on the effective aggregation of observations from the evaluations, ensuring that an appropriate weight is assigned to the identified negative health impacts. Additionally, the goal was to translate the reported health impacts into more precise and actionable quantitative estimates that would effectively serve future evaluations and assessments in the field. [244, 245, 246, 247, 248, 249, 250, 251, 252]

One of the more peculiar and interesting aspects of these detailed analyses, however, is that they are entirely descriptive in nature and there is notably no formal or systematic consideration of the myriad costs arising from the significant health impacts that have been thoroughly documented over time. Moreover, there is no pertinent information provided on the potential for effectively reducing those negative health impacts, which could potentially lead to a significant decrease in the need for costly remedial medical care

services. Recent Departmental returns on the comprehensive cost and performance of x-ray units within the NHS now allow for a more crude yet informative analysis of the cost-effectiveness of x-ray imaging across an extensive range of diseases and varying medical conditions. The resulting estimates and calculations have led to a contentious situation that has pitted the Department's Medical Imaging Advisory Service against some of the most senior officials in the Department of Health. As the latter group seeks to prevent the former from placing any of this crucial information into the public domain, it raises significant concerns about transparency and the free exchange of information. Another reason, therefore, underpinning this ongoing search for greater clarity is to secure broader and more transparent advice on these various aspects of the assessment of health care needs across the board, which is essential for fostering a more informed health care environment. This would not only enhance the understanding of the current situation but also empower decision-makers with the comprehensive information necessary for improving patient outcomes and optimizing resource allocation effectively [253, 254, 255, 256, 257, 4, 258, 104, 259].

Chapter - 7

Limitations and Risks of X-Ray Technology

X-ray imaging in medical diagnostics plays a remarkably critical role in numerous disease diagnostic procedures across various medical fields. This is particularly true in specialties such as surgery, orthopedic implants, and trauma diagnosis, where precise imaging is vital for the accurate identification and treatment of health issues. The field of X-ray technology has been rapidly developing over recent years, with significant advancements leading to newer techniques and improved image clarity. Importantly, the essential equipment required for these innovative imaging techniques is becoming increasingly more affordable for developing countries, which allows for greater accessibility and usage. This positive trend is expected to substantially extend the applications of X-ray imaging for a much broader range of diagnostic purposes, potentially revolutionizing care in regions that previously had limited access. However, despite these advances, a notable concern persists regarding a possible lack of detailed knowledge among healthcare professionals about the effects of radiation exposure. This issue is particularly pertinent for physicians and other healthcare practitioners involved in the interpretation of these crucial imaging results. Such a gap in knowledge could lead to potential risks for both patients and staff, making it imperative to conduct a thorough investigation into the matter. Therefore, a comprehensive analysis is indeed required to evaluate both the risks and the level of awareness pertaining to radiation effects, as well as the overall safety standards observed in medical workplaces that utilize X-ray technology effectively. The main objective of this investigation is to primarily determine the level of awareness and the extent of knowledge possessed by healthcare practitioners concerning the risks and safety measures that are associated with the operation of X-ray equipment in the medical diagnostic environment. Gaining this knowledge is crucial for ensuring safe practices within workplaces where X-rays are utilized, ultimately aiming to improve both patient outcomes and staff safety in the rapidly evolving landscape of medical diagnostics where new technologies are continuously being integrated. This careful evaluation and heightened awareness can lead to enhanced protocols and training, fostering a culture of safety and informed decision-making that benefits everyone involved in the medical care continuum [2, 124, 260, 261, 172, 262].

X-ray hesitancy is a term I have recently coined to specifically describe the radiophobic concerns that many patients express regarding medical X-rays, often accompanied by significant emotion and fervor. These deeply ingrained concerns and intense fears related to X-rays were brought to light and meticulously documented during a pivotal meeting where the findings were thoroughly discussed and debated among professionals. This issue holds particular relevance to the practice of chiropractic care, as well as to the multitude of associated healthcare professions. Moreover, the vital role played by the x-ray technicians, who are essential in delivering diagnostic x-ray imaging services within their practices, cannot be overstated. X-ray hesitancy is indeed characterized as the reluctance or unwillingness to undergo X-ray procedures due to fears and anxieties that stem from the perceived potential risks associated with radiation exposure. This emotional response, which can be rooted in misinformation or lack of understanding, can often create significant barriers to necessary medical diagnostics, ultimately impacting both patient care and overall safety in clinical settings. [140, 263, 135, 264]

This hesitancy is often deeply rooted in a range of unwarranted fears and misconceptions that surround the perceived dangers of ionizing radiation. There exists a widely held radiophobic belief among the general public, a belief that has been perpetuated over time, that exposure to medical x-rays poses a significant health hazard. This belief is regarded as an unacceptable risk to their well-being. Such fear can lead to a strong reluctance to undergo essential and necessary medical imaging procedures, which in turn can ultimately impact the quality of patient care that they receive. In certain situations, this fear may result in the repetition of imaging when initial images are rejected, causing a patient to have to endure repeated and potentially unnecessary exposure to ionizing radiation. Therefore, it is crucial that unnecessary exposures be minimized for all patients as much as reasonably practicable in order to effectively protect and safeguard their health. Patients' education plays a truly pivotal and crucial role in this entire process, emphasizing the importance of ensuring that they possess adequate and appropriate knowledge about the specific imaging modality being utilized, the associated risks it carries, and the vital significance of producing high-quality diagnostic images right at the very first attempt. In this scenario, a considerable decrease in the repeat rate is consistently observed, which is coupled with the production of a very low dose rejected image. As a direct result, images are mostly repeated successfully, leading to a notable and significant reduction in the radiation doses experienced by both the patients and the imaging personnel who are involved in the procedure. [265, 266, 267, 268, 269, 270, 271]

7.1 Radiation exposure

X-ray technology presents an extensive and diverse array of diagnostic possibilities that are not only effective but also remarkably cost-efficient, while delivering results swiftly. This outstanding technology is continually experiencing advancements and enhancements, yet there remain various inherent challenges and issues related to it that necessitate better addressing and resolution. The first of these significant challenges is radiation exposure. Although the levels of radiation emitted by the standard X-ray machine in use today are considerably lower than those associated with earlier machines from several decades ago, the potential for overexposure still exists, which could lead to a variety of serious health issues, including malignancies, and may even accelerate aging processes in individuals. Healthcare practitioners find themselves at the highest risk overall and must maintain strict adherence to protocols, being vigilant not to remain in the room while the X-ray is being taken, as their exposure can accumulate significantly over time. It is of utmost importance for healthcare providers to take adequate precautions to minimize their exposure to any radiation, even while following established safety standards within their working environment.

Pregnant women fall into a category with a slightly elevated risk; therefore, they should ideally not be subjected to exposure directly in the lower abdominal area unless absolutely necessary and if such exposure can be completely avoided, to protect the health and development of the fetus. Conversely, children and neonates are even more vulnerable to the harmful consequences of radiation, thus the principle of “as low as reasonably achievable” (abbreviated as ALARA) should be rigorously applied and adhered to in these sensitive circumstances. Furthermore, populations who find themselves frequently undergoing diagnostic screenings, such as individuals with chronic health conditions, should consider proactive measures including being monitored extensively as part of their routine healthcare regimen. Regular health assessments can serve to ensure that their cumulative exposure to radiation remains at minimal and acceptable levels.

It is imperative that ongoing efforts are made to lessen the reliance on X-ray technology, as much as the available diagnostics and treatments allow for it, exploring alternative diagnostic methods wherever feasible. Innovative strategies to mitigate the risk of exposure should incorporate the routine use of protective aprons and shields, making these safety measures more accessible in healthcare centers, thereby ensuring that all patients and staff are adequately protected during diagnostic procedures. Additionally, comprehensive informational campaigns should be dedicated to educating

both the public and medical professionals concerning the inherent risks involved with X-ray utilization.

Moreover, there is significant potential to optimize existing technology, as alternatives such as computed tomography (CT) and positron emission tomography (PET) have emerged as viable choices in certain diagnostic contexts. These advanced alternatives can provide potentially safer options without compromising the quality and precision of the diagnostic information needed for accurate assessments. In summary, as the continued evolution of X-ray technology unfolds, it brings forth both remarkable advancements and considerable responsibilities regarding patient safety and the practice of healthcare. Policymakers, practitioners, and technology developers must work collaboratively to ensure that these advancements translate into safer procedures for all individuals who require diagnostic imaging. ^[272, 273, 274, 275, 276, 277, 278, 279, 280]

7.2 Image Quality Issues

The possibility of enhancing the procedures and methodology utilized in acceptance testing as well as in the quality control of all imaging systems that are employed in the realm of roentgen diagnostics arises as a direct and significant consequence of conducting detailed comparative studies in this particular field. Such studies, which are essential for improvement, focus on both the analogue systems that have been used historically and the currently-utilized digital systems which have gained predominant acceptance, particularly for performing complex angiographic and interventional investigations. The development of a superior and more effective system of quality control for angiographic and interventional image acquisition systems, which have been meticulously prepared by the manufacturers through a rigorous design and testing process, is primarily based on their successful implementation and utilization within the various countries of the European Union. This successful implementation is largely a result of strict compliance with the numerous provisions and guidelines put forth by the European Community, which is dedicated to the advancement of healthcare technologies. It encompasses a comprehensive range of actions undertaken by the manufacturers themselves, as well as a multitude of rigorous tests and evaluations that can be conducted throughout the operational lifespan of these complex imaging systems to ensure their reliability and effectiveness. Furthermore, it is of utmost importance to highlight that the level of noise that is present in the digital image acquisition systems, similar to the level of base fog that is observed in the analogue systems, is significantly influenced by the various factors of exposure that come into play during the imaging process.

These exposure-related factors pertain not only to the external conditions surrounding the patient but also to critical elements such as the amount of radiation that is absorbed by the image receptor during the imaging procedure, which can greatly impact the overall quality of the acquired images. [281, 282, 283, 284, 285, 286, 287, 288, 289]

The acceptability of the value of the base fog extends to a comprehensive and meticulous determination of the allowable deviation of the noise parameters of the digital imaging system in comparison to the outlined and specified performance values. These values have been established clearly by the manufacturer, and this consideration is pivotal due to the need for such precise measurement, which necessitates the utilization of specialized devices that are expertly designed to protect both the X-ray tube and the bucky system. These essential components must be specifically tailored to accommodate the dimensions of a standard X-ray unit. Such specialized devices are not merely accessories; they are fundamental and integral parts of the overall composition and design of Advanced Thoracic Diagnosis (ATD) systems. Moreover, there is an undeniable and pressing urgency to safeguard support staff against the potential dangers and risks associated with radiation exposure during both the operational and exposure phases of the X-ray unit. It is also crucial that we carefully address the inherent and notable vulnerabilities of commercially available imaging plates, which are widely utilized in various imaging practices. Such imaging plates can be adversely affected by the intensity of the X-ray radiation beam, especially due to the excessively high intensity associated with the dose mapping process. This can ultimately lead to detrimental and harmful implications for the quality of the resulting medical images. Given these numerous critical considerations, it is not only reasonable but also absolutely necessary to concentrate on the targeted development and enhancement of image acquisition systems that are specifically dedicated to thoracic imaging applications. This could involve the employment of advanced and innovative detection technologies that are markedly distinct from, and superior to, the traditional imaging plates. Alternative options to explore might include the use of flat panel detectors or sophisticated path detectors, which have the potential to offer enhanced performance, greater efficiency, and improved outcomes for medical imaging in thoracic applications. By conscientiously pursuing these developments, we can ensure that the imaging process remains both safe and effective, yielding substantial benefits for both healthcare providers and patients alike. This intent to innovate and improve medical imaging will not only advance the quality of care, but also help in safeguarding every participant during the imaging process, culminating in a more reliable, safe, and efficient healthcare environment [290, 291, 292, 293, 294, 295, 296, 297].

Chapter - 8

Advancements in X-Ray Technology

X-ray technology, which provides a truly remarkable and non-invasive approach to thoroughly inspecting the intricate interiors of a variety of objects or human bodies, has been extensively utilized for medical imaging and diagnostics since the groundbreaking discovery of X-rays back in the year 1895. The significance of X-ray technology stretches far beyond just medical applications; it also plays an integral and vital role in significantly enhancing security measures, conducting detailed industrial inspections, advancing crucial biological research, and serving a multitude of other essential fields and industries. Over the years, we have witnessed continuous and noteworthy advancements in X-ray technology, particularly in terms of both sophisticated hardware developments and innovative post-processing techniques. Following its tremendously successful application within the realm of medical imaging, the ongoing development of advanced X-ray sensors, cutting-edge X-ray source technologies, and state-of-the-art image reconstruction algorithms has been primarily centered on improving critical features such as multi-view imaging capabilities, while also addressing challenges related to low signal-to-noise-ratio (SNR) imaging. Furthermore, significant efforts are now beginning to concentrate on enhancing the energy resolution properties of X-ray technology, which are crucial for obtaining more precise imaging results. The availability of powerful, large-scale, and high-quality X-ray sources aligns itself seamlessly with the rising trend of employing artificial intelligence (AI) across various diverse domains, including but not limited to medical diagnostics, quality assurance, imaging enhancements, and security applications. The exciting combination of artificial intelligence and X-ray technology presents a promising avenue that holds the potential to capture researchers' attention and interest, guiding their explorations towards new and innovative directions. Ongoing efforts that are associated with the development of AI algorithms are primarily focused on implementing advanced image processing techniques, which encompass a wide range of operations and procedures including image denoising, enhancement, super-resolution, and image segmentation, thus driving even further innovations and breakthroughs in this rapidly evolving field. [1, 129, 130, 2, 298, 299, 300, 301]

A commercialized X-ray absorbed dose area product (ADAP) plays an overwhelmingly vital role in the comprehensive and thorough monitoring of the absorbed dose that is experienced throughout the entirety of the examination process during X-ray procedures. By strategically and thoughtfully implementing this advanced technological solution, it becomes entirely feasible and possible to optimize the various exposure parameters that are employed in a wide and diverse range of X-ray examinations. This highly meticulous process of optimization yields diagnostic images that possess a commendable level of moderate to high quality, effectively balancing the imperative and urgent need for reducing the absorbed dose while ensuring that there is absolutely no compromise on the essential diagnostic quality that is critically required across various clinical situations and scenarios.

In recent years, there has been remarkable and significant advancement in the field concerning real-time, high-quality X-ray imaging inspections and evaluations. This progress is particularly noteworthy in relation to the inspection of fast-moving and large-scale industrial products, which have consistently presented unique and complex challenges that require innovative and creative approaches. Such an advanced imaging system necessitates the development of effective and pioneering solutions aimed at addressing a wide variety of challenging problems that continuously arise during the imaging of these large industrial products, particularly when operating under the strict constraints of limited scanning time.

It is also critically important to achieve an exceptionally high signal-to-noise ratio in the acquired X-ray projection images, as this plays an absolutely key role in ensuring the clarity, precision, and accuracy of the resulting images. Moreover, the entire imaging process requires the implementation of robust, adaptable, and highly sophisticated methods for image reconstruction that can effectively and reliably respond to these demanding and exacting operational criteria. The recent enhancements, innovations, and breakthroughs in current X-ray technology are well-aligned with the rapidly emerging requirements of the intelligentization of inspection systems, thereby making these advancements increasingly essential and crucial for various industrial applications that span diverse sectors, including manufacturing, quality control, and material evaluation.

As these cutting-edge innovations continue to evolve and develop, they promise to significantly enhance the efficiency and effectiveness of inspections in industrial settings, thereby supporting better decision-making, improved safety standards, and optimized operational effectiveness across the board [128, 302, 303, 304, 119, 305, 306, 307, 308].

8.1 3D Imaging Techniques

Medical imaging is an absolutely critical component of modern healthcare, serving as a vital tool not only in the diagnosis but also in the management and treatment of a wide array of complex diseases and medical conditions. The primary overarching goal of medical imaging is to non-invasively visualize the intricate and complex internal structures, as well as the essential functions, of the human body. Over the last century, the field of medical imaging has made remarkable and significant progress in the development of cutting-edge imaging modalities, as well as innovative imaging-enhanced therapies that have been designed for improved patient outcomes and the overall effectiveness of treatments. Recently, an innovative new generation of advanced imaging modalities has been developed specifically to address the emerging healthcare challenges that practitioners face today, alongside the introduction of novel imaging algorithms, as well as enhanced imaging contrast agents that increase the clarity and detail of the images produced. However, it is essential to clearly note that medical imaging is not devoid of certain risks and limitations that need to be carefully considered by both practitioners and patients alike. The theoretical and practical aspects of medical imaging, along with its common imaging modalities and techniques currently in use, are presented comprehensively in this section, providing an overview along with critical insights into some of the recent advances, breakthroughs, and emerging technologies that are reshaping the landscape of this vital and ever-evolving field [1, 2, 33, 309, 41, 5, 76, 3, 310, 40].

X-ray imaging is widely acknowledged as one of the oldest and most extensively utilized techniques in the realm of medical imaging. Its significant and enduring role in modern diagnostics continues to prove vital for healthcare practices across the globe, establishing it as a cornerstone of medical investigation and evaluation. The intricate process of generating X-ray images begins when X-ray photons are transmitted through the human body, allowing for the visualization of internal structures that are often otherwise hidden from view. During this complex process, diseased tissues, such as tumors and various other anomalies, exhibit a distinctly different absorption profile in comparison to the surrounding healthy tissues. This characteristic difference makes it much easier for radiologists to identify and pin down potential issues that may lie within the body, facilitating more effective diagnoses. To further enhance the contrast observed in soft tissues and improve the diagnostic yield, medical practitioners may administer X-ray contrast agents, which serve to increase the quality and clarity of the images produced.

X-ray imaging can be broadly classified into two primary categories: projectional imaging and tomographic imaging. Traditional X-ray imaging typically reveals only the shadows of the anatomical structures situated along the trajectory of the X-ray beam. However, by employing various projection views, it becomes possible to reconstruct comprehensive three-dimensional (3-D) structures of the imaged subjects, thus providing a more complete and thorough analysis. Recently, advancements in technology-particularly in the development of innovative X-ray lenses and the emergence of sophisticated phase-contrast X-ray imaging techniques-have revolutionized the field, allowing for imaging that boasts significantly higher resolution than anything that its predecessors could provide. In contrast, standard projection images are composed of integrated attenuation data and fundamentally lack the depth and detailed 3-D information that modern imaging techniques can now effectively provide. In spite of these limitations, practitioners can still employ a series of varied projection angles to reconstruct full 3-D volumes utilizing a well-established method known as filtered back-projection (FBP). Alternatively, the projection image data may be utilized for iterative reconstruction of the volume, which serves as the cornerstone for numerous computed tomography (CT) reconstruction techniques that have been developed over time, greatly influencing clinical practice. CT images are crafted from a succession of cross-sectional pictures that depict the body's intricate internal structures-a method that has gained immense popularity and acceptance for various clinical diagnosis applications, ensuring that patients receive appropriate care based on precise imaging.

Within the human body, the attenuation of X-rays is significantly influenced by the unique physical properties of the tissues and materials present within it. While most human tissues exhibit similar attenuation characteristics, notable exceptions exist that enable differentiation between various types of tissues, which is crucial for a wide array of diagnostic and treatment purposes. Comprehensive anatomical information is typically essential for effective treatment planning, monitoring the ongoing effectiveness of the treatment, and conducting various medical evaluations without necessitating invasive procedures. A CT scan provides rapid, non-invasive capabilities to synthesize detailed cross-sectional imagery of the body's intricate anatomical configuration. This invaluable information is preserved in a computer's memory for immediate access and thorough analysis by medical professionals, allowing them to make informed decisions based on the findings.

Moreover, contrast agents are often leveraged to enhance the clarity and overall quality of the resultant images, further aiding in diagnostic accuracy

that can be critical for patients. The attenuation data collected from a CT scan is obtained through the non-uniform rotation of an X-ray tube-detector assembly around the subject's body, generating a substantial wealth of information that is invaluable for analytical and clinical purposes. The process of image reconstruction relies heavily on both the detailed data obtained during the scanning process and the implementation of sophisticated computer algorithms. These algorithms, commonly recognized as filtered back-projection or iterative methods, collectively serve to transform raw data into usable, high-quality diagnostic images that effectively support clinical decision-making processes and enhance patient care initiatives across various healthcare settings. Through continued advancements in technology and methodology, the field of X-ray imaging continues to flourish, ensuring that it remains at the forefront of medical diagnostics and healthcare delivery worldwide. [2, 311, 260, 88, 312, 37, 39]

8.2 Artificial intelligence in radiology

Artificial intelligence (AI) has increasingly captured the attention of numerous sectors around the world in recent years, highlighting its expanding influence and importance in diverse fields. One area where AI demonstrates exceptional potential is healthcare, where it is regarded as a groundbreaking innovation with seemingly limitless applications. In particular, the specialized discipline of radiology stands to benefit significantly from the integration of AI technologies. The impact of AI in this area is anticipated to be profound, especially in the contexts of both the diagnostic processes and the triaging of a variety of radiological investigations. This suggests that advances in AI could lead to a streamlined approach, fundamentally transforming how medical professionals analyze images. Such enhancements in image analysis could lead to quicker and more precise results, ultimately benefitting both healthcare professionals and patients to a significant extent.

However, the implementation of AI in clinical environments is not without its challenges, with one of the most prominent being the quality assurance (QA) of the AI algorithms being used. A major concern revolves around the fact that a systematic, standardized approach for evaluating AI technologies has yet to be established across various manufacturers. This lack of standardization poses considerable risks concerning the efficacy and safety of the algorithms being deployed. To effectively monitor advancements and guarantee consistent application of these AI-driven solutions within the clinical setting, the establishment of a close and cooperative relationship between technology experts-commonly known as biomedical engineers-and data scientists, in collaboration with radiological departments, is essential.

Such collaboration is vital since AI systems necessitate large datasets that are of high quality, as well as comprehensive quality assurance processes, and rigorous clinical regulatory studies, to be regarded as dependable and effective for use in clinical practice.

Since the year 2015, numerous significant research developments have been recorded, providing positive evidence of the applicability of AI within the field of radiology. Notably, many of these findings have emerged from scholarly papers that do not exclusively concentrate on radiology but also encompass broader, interdisciplinary themes. It should also be emphasized that many well-respected, high-impact general radiological societies have acknowledged the profound importance of integrating AI technology into their practices. As a result, these organizations have proactively initiated efforts designed to promote the widespread adoption of AI applications across a variety of platforms and systems within the healthcare industry. Nevertheless, it will ultimately fall upon their members, which include radiologists, physicists, technologists, and data scientists, to actively engage in addressing the persistent challenges posed by the integration of AI technologies. These challenges traverse a range of issues, including regulatory obstacles, data-related complications, and institutional as well as financial barriers. Moreover, their committed participation is crucial in conducting meticulously designed studies aimed at addressing pertinent clinical questions that will effectively advance patient care and improve treatment outcomes for a diverse patient population ^[313, 33, 208, 314, 315, 316, 317, 318, 319, 320].

In conducting an in-depth search through a comprehensive scientific database, our exploration uncovers a remarkable collection totaling 91 distinct research papers that have been meticulously published since January 2008. These papers primarily focus on innovative imaging techniques, including but not limited to CT, MR, and X-ray imaging modalities. The studies that form this body of work predominantly center around several important areas of investigation, notably radiomic analysis, computer-aided diagnosis (CAD), texture analysis, and other relevant methodologies that intersect within the modeling domain. A significant percentage of these scholarly articles have a strong connection to the field of oncology, encompassing a wide variety of research exploring the diverse applications of CT and PET-CT images. These explorations specifically delve into critical areas such as lung and kidney neoplasms, breast cancer diagnostics in relation to MR imaging methodologies, gliomas examined through MR-DWI techniques, as well as hepatocellular carcinoma visualized via advanced MR imaging modalities. In addition to these extensive studies, there are intriguing analyses that

thoroughly investigate texture and shape characteristics of lesions as observed in CT scans, which play a pivotal role in classification or survival regression modeling methods. As advancements in radiomic studies have progressed, they have moved well beyond simplistic, handcrafted analyses of predefined image sequences; contemporary approaches often encompass a sophisticated segmentation phase followed by the precise extraction of over 1,000 features derived from comprehensive tumor volumes. When attention shifts within the radiomic analysis framework to exploring the intricate correlations between radiological data sets and genomic profiles, this specialized methodology is aptly identified as radiogenomic analysis. Moreover, an examination of the most recent literature brings to light 10 very current papers that have been published since March 2019, which dive into the promising modalities of MRI or PET-MRI. These notable studies include detailed investigations into brain tumors that utilize advanced MRI functionality, the identification of distinctive CT radiomics signatures linked to mutations in the epidermal growth factor receptor, as well as genomic analyses concerning lung tumors carried out through conventional CT scan images, utilizing a cutting-edge super-learner methodology. The aggregation of papers within this domain began back in 2016, and it has since gained substantial momentum, particularly from the year 2018 onwards, which clearly indicates a significant rise in interest and research activities within this specialized sphere of study. While the specific underlying factors driving this proposed topic may not be immediately apparent, they may indeed reflect broader trends and influences that are shaping the ongoing work and research focal points of particular authors or research groups engaged in this rapidly evolving and dynamic field of study. [321, 322, 323, 324, 325, 326, 327, 328, 329, 330, 331]

8.3 Portable X-Ray Devices

X-ray machines have emerged as indispensable tools in the field of medical imaging, standing out significantly due to their remarkable advantages. These advantages encompass not only the ability to penetrate various inner tissues with ease but also the high contrast achieved when utilizing contrast media during various imaging procedures. The fundamental components of an x-ray machine comprise several high-tech parts that are intricately designed and engineered, which include a high voltage generator, a collimator, a grid, filters, an x-ray tube, and a detector. Each of these elements operates collaboratively and seamlessly to produce high-quality images that are crucial for diagnosis and treatment planning.

To successfully accelerate the electrons towards the anode within the x-ray tubes, substantial amounts of energy are required, which is why an

additional high voltage generator is strategically employed within an x-ray machine. This generator is needed to effectively meet the significant energy demands associated with the x-ray production process. Once the x-rays are generated and emerge from the anode, they exhibit a broad range of angles, resulting in a widespread dispersed beam that necessitates careful handling. To control this wide dispersion and ensure precision in targeting specific areas of the patient's body, the x-rays are meticulously restricted before they are directed towards the patient by means of a collimator. This collimator is an integral and essential component of an x-ray device, as it not only plays a crucial role in preventing unnecessary overdosing of the patient but also significantly enhances the overall quality of the images produced.

Additionally, grids, which consist of lead strips positioned closely together with very small separations between each strip, are strategically placed at the output end of the x-ray device to improve image clarity. These grids work by absorbing scatter radiation that can lead to blurred images. X-ray detectors, on the other hand, are vital components of these machines, as they are responsible for capturing the x-ray images after they have passed through the patient's body. The essential purpose of these components is to apply the x-rays to the body in such a way that the properties of the x-rays are attenuated according to the unique physical and chemical characteristics of the biological tissues present within the patient's body.

As the x-rays traverse through the patient's body, they experience attenuation, allowing for the necessary contrast, detail, and gradation required for accurate diagnoses and effective clinical assessments. This dynamic interaction between the x-rays and the biological tissues is what enables healthcare professionals to diagnose a wide range of conditions efficiently. With the continuous advancements in x-ray technology, the efficacy and accuracy of medical imaging will only improve, offering better outcomes for patients across various medical scenarios. [2, 5, 33, 13, 73, 104, 18, 332]

To effectively detect x-rays that have been attenuated by the patient's body, a broad variety of different types of detectors can be employed in contemporary medical imaging settings and environments. To obtain a radiograph, traditional films can be utilized within the x-ray machine, providing a well-established and tried-and-true method that has been in use for decades. However, in many cases today, intensifying screens or flat panels have been mounted in place of traditional films in the increasingly popular and widely adopted digital radiography systems. These advanced systems offer numerous advantages, including enhanced image quality, improved diagnostic accuracy, and reduced radiation exposure to the patient. There are primarily

two major types of flat panel detectors, which can be categorized as either direct or indirect, each featuring its own unique advantages in imaging capabilities that can cater to various clinical needs. Indirect detectors include a specialized scintillator layer that is particularly capable of producing visible light when it comes into contact with ionizing radiation. This visible light that is generated is then detected by the photodiodes present in the system, which plays a crucial role in converting the light into an electronic signal for further processing and analysis. Conversely, direct detectors comprise a unique layer of amorphous selenium that allows for the direct conversion of x-rays into visible light through the intricate and complex process that occurs within the TFT-array readout, making them highly effective. This direct conversion method helps to produce high-resolution images with minimal noise interference that may obscure critical details. Mammography is specifically employed to capture detailed and sensitive images of breast tissue, allowing for a thorough investigation of the area. Various types of tissues or masses within the breast can create differing levels of contrast in the resulting x-ray image, which greatly assists in identifying abnormalities, potential health issues, and areas of concern. Additionally, in the field of nuclear medicine imaging, parallel-hole collimators are strategically placed between the detector and the source of the radiation to effectively restrict the incoming particles. This carefully designed and calibrated setup ensures that only the particles traveling from the desired direction are allowed to be detected, thereby greatly enhancing the overall quality of the imaging results and significantly improving the diagnostic capabilities in clinical practice, which is essential for accurate patient care and treatment planning [333, 309, 2, 334, 335, 336, 337, 338, 339].

Projectional Radiography, a pivotal imaging technique in modern medicine, creates essential two-dimensional (2D) images that visualize the intricate interior structures of the human body. To produce these radiographs, a sophisticated combination of an x-ray detector and an x-ray tube is employed, strategically capturing radiographs of the anatomy onto either film or a flat panel detector that is positioned with precision between them. Once the images are successfully captured, the film undergoes a meticulous developing process, where it is exposed to illumination from photons to highlight and obtain the shadow of the anatomy being examined. The varying x-ray attenuation levels in different tissues contribute to creating a significant contrast within the resulting image, which allows for clearer differentiation between the various structures in the anatomical region being analyzed. To further enhance the diagnostic process, the x-ray intensities are systematically measured at various angles around the patient, who is carefully situated on a

rotating table designed specifically for this purpose. This technique ensures a comprehensive visualization of the anatomical area of interest from multiple different perspectives. Additionally, Fluoroscopy plays a critical and indeed indispensable role in diagnostic imaging, enabling the acquisition of real-time images. It also provides the capability to produce continuous movies or discrete snapshot images that effectively capture the dynamic physiological processes occurring within the body. Fluoroscopic x-ray devices are complex in their structural composition, incorporating several essential components, all of which work together seamlessly to provide valuable information. These components include an image intensifier, an x-ray tube assembly, a high-quality camera, a monitor for image display, and a C-arm that facilitates ease of maneuverability during examinations. However, it is important to note that while Fluoroscopy is invaluable in many aspects of radiologic diagnosis, it can expose the patient to a substantial dose of radiation. Therefore, healthcare professionals must take diligent care to minimize this exposure wherever possible to avoid any deterministic effects that could adversely impact patient health or safety in the long term [114, 340, 341, 342, 343, 344, 345, 346, 347, 348].

Chapter - 9

Regulatory and Safety Standards

Thanks to the well-organized and beneficial autumn-spring session, which has taken on even greater significance this year, more than 200 dedicated experts hailing from the radiological community throughout the entire nation have had an invaluable opportunity to meet and exchange insights with one another. As the only nationwide congress convened during the year, the autumn-spring event has been viewed not only as an essential scientific gathering but also as a major organizational fixture on the academic calendar. This year's Organizing Committee faced an incredibly challenging task, as they had to meticulously select the lecturers and topics to be discussed, striking a careful balance between innovative ideas that push the envelope and established traditions that are deeply rooted in the field. A cherished part of this congress, which has been carried over from previous successful years, has been the now-famous subject known as "The Baszko Files." This feature is expertly prepared by a well-known and highly respected radiologist from Warsaw, who has earned a reputation for delivering presentations that are rich in sharp sarcasm and infused with dark humor. Historically, this popular presentation has traditionally served as a kind of "crowning" moment of the congress, elevating the discussions with its unique flavor and captivating the audience. Given the backdrop of recent events within the profession, this year's session was anticipated to be particularly "rich" in just such intriguing topics, full of nuances, explorations, and thought-provoking discussions. Unfortunately, we found ourselves faced with the disappointing news that Jacek Baszko, the mastermind behind the beloved files, was unable to attend and contribute to this year's autumn-spring discussions. This particularly significant development leads us to ponder: how should the session proceed from this point forward? Is it merely an illusion to hope for the spirit of humor, critical examination, and insightful critique that has come to embody this unique gathering? Should the Organizing Committee consider giving up on inviting brilliant radiologists who possess both a keen sense of humor and the ability to reflect hilariously on their own experiences in favor of inviting speakers whose topics might be deemed safer? Topics like those focused on highly experimental and unconventional interests such as UFOs, the Holy Grail, or

even intriguing crop circles have their appeal. Isn't it true that, following the tragic events that unfolded last fall, every form of sarcasm—even those playful jabs directed against the powers that be—should be labeled as hooliganism and dismissed? Should we instead replace abstract loyalty toward authority with a more genuine sense of professionalism during our discussions? This dilemma clearly reflects where the field of oral radiology stands today—the forces at play are overwhelmingly unequal, and yet we must not allow ourselves to harbor any illusions about the situation. If attendees truly sought a sense of humor from the directors of the Autumn-Spring event, it might sadly turn out to be the most sorely lacking quality found among them all. In this session, speakers will likely hear an extensive amount of discourse revolving around gray zones, random variations, and the intricate details of mixed-issue breast imaging, yet it remains very unlikely that anyone will utter a single word regarding the partial or limited improvements in practice or research that attendees desperately hope to gain. It seems that we find ourselves at a crucial juncture where the expectations for engaging discourse in the field must be carefully navigated against the backdrop of recent events, all while the essence and the spirit of the congress continue to hang in the balance. [349, 350, 351, 352, 353, 354, 355, 356]

9.1 Radiation safety guidelines

Radiation safety guidelines designed for the protection of both patients and personnel are crucial principles embedded in the examination process of radiography. These guidelines act as the foundational building blocks necessary for the effective adaptation and implementation of standards within the radiology department of a hospital. Encompassing a wide array of considerations, these comprehensive radiation safety principles are built upon a variety of optimization tasks that aim to reduce unnecessary exposure while maintaining the necessary diagnostic image quality. Among these critical optimization tasks is the focus on minimizing the patient entrance skin dose (ESD), which is a vital measurement that must be carefully monitored to ensure patient safety. It is equally important that the image quality is preserved at an acceptable level for diagnostic purposes, as this is essential for accurate patient assessments. To ensure thorough radiation safety across all settings, numerous national and international organizations have diligently established and published a variety of guidelines regarding radiation doses. These guidelines explicitly clarify the maximum limits that should not be exceeded under any circumstances, ensuring a consistent standard of safety. In general, the doses of radiation that medical personnel are exposed to during their work must remain well below a carefully calculated predefined value. This value is

estimated to ensure that the primary tissue effect caused by radiation exposure is maintained at a level that is less than 1%, which is critical for safeguarding health. Moreover, in addition to these overarching radiation safety guidelines, supplementary recommendations and specific protocols have been designed for interventional operations. These additional guidelines aim to enhance the existing safety protocols and further mitigate any potential risks that may be associated with these complex procedures, thereby reinforcing the commitment to the highest standard of patient care and personnel safety in radiology practices. ^[357, 358, 359, 360, 361, 362, 363]

The extensive topic of radiation dosimetry is fundamentally regarded as a vital and crucial branch of the ever-evolving field of physical science, which applies broadly and comprehensively to all varieties of radiant energy and their complex interactions. Specifically, when it pertains to the medical application of X-rays, radiation dosimetry concentrates squarely on the critical process of measuring and thoroughly understanding the quantities of radiation that traverse and impact a patient's body during medical imaging procedures. In order to successfully and consistently produce a high-quality diagnostic X-ray image, a particular median level of Entrance Skin Dose (ESD) must effectively traverse through the patient's body and reach the imaging receptor. This necessary level of ESD is by no means a fixed value but varies significantly depending on a broad range of factors. These factors include the specific modality employed during the examination and the precise view that is captured, which directly corresponds to the type of X-ray examination being carried out. Moreover, the unique physical characteristics of the patient, particularly the thickness of the body parts to be imaged, also play a substantial and significant role in determining the appropriate level of radiation required. Additionally, the pathological condition that is being examined and targeted influences the overall amount of radiation that is deemed necessary for proper assessment. Notably, for the same pathological condition, the ESD will generally remain quite similar across different patients; however, it is absolutely critical to be acutely aware that exceeding the established medical level of ESD can lead to various detrimental and harmful effects on the patient's health and well-being. Consequently, it is imperative that all protective measures employed during these imaging processes be effectively based on a careful and thorough consideration of the balance between the risks associated with radiation exposure and the potential medical benefits that are being sought through such examinations. This crucial factor undoubtedly adds to the considerable interest surrounding the optimization of protective measures not only for patients undergoing imaging but also for the medical personnel involved in the entire process. The foundational principles of

radiological protection are fundamentally and primarily centered on two main guidelines: the justification of the practice being undertaken and the optimization of the protection provided to both patients and staff in any radiological setting. [364, 365, 366, 367, 368, 369, 370, 371, 372, 373, 374]

9.2 Quality assurance in imaging

A significantly large number of various radiological diagnostic procedures are routinely performed on a vast array of patients, who come from diverse backgrounds and health statuses, as well as on the generally healthy population present in the world today. During the course of these procedures, patients sometimes receive doses of ionizing radiation that can be considered considerable and significant. This underscores the reality that the rational and judicious use of ionizing radiation in these clinical and diagnostic contexts is not only important, but indeed, absolutely essential for patient safety and healthcare practices. Non-significant procedures, or even those that ideally involve a zero dose of radiation, should be performed whenever it is feasible and clinically appropriate to do so. The potential benefits of any given procedure must always, without exception, outweigh the expected detriment associated with the overall radiation exposure a patient may endure. Consequently, all diagnostic radiological procedures must be thoroughly optimized in order to achieve the best outcomes; this optimization means that the doses delivered to patients during these procedures should always be carefully calibrated and adjusted to ensure that they are appropriate for the specific diagnostic information that is necessary to support effective medical decision-making. As a result, radiological departments must engage in the regular implementation of quality assurance (QA) programs that are comprehensive. These QA programs are vital in order to regulate the technical protocols meticulously and to ensure that the indications for diagnostic imaging procedures are thoroughly justified based on current best practices. One of the crucial physical characteristics in this context is the sensitivity of the film utilized in these radiological procedures; it is notably sensitive to X-rays, and this sensitivity is reflected in the latent or stored image that is contained within the mass of a thin emulsion layer that is evenly deposited on a flexible film base. It is worth noting that poor quality in radiological diagnostic images can often lead to unnecessary and excessive exposure to radiation for patients, which is a scenario that must be diligently avoided at all costs to protect and preserve patient health and well-being. [290, 375, 376, 377, 378, 379, 380, 381, 382, 383]

The analysis of film reject rates is widely recognized as an extraordinarily effective technique for accurately gauging the performance metrics of a

diverse array of X-ray equipment, and it is this foundational concept that served as the primary focus of the comprehensive research presented within the study. In order to perform a thorough and detailed assessment, it is imperative that the film reject analysis is complemented by a meticulous and in-depth evaluation of image quality concerning radiographs that are judged to be diagnostically unacceptable by a radiologist with expertise in the field. The specific pieces of equipment that were subjected to intense scrutiny through the reject analysis were meticulously cleaned with the identical solutions, and they utilized film-screen cassettes that are conventionally employed in standard X-ray practices. Through the rigorous findings of the research, it was conclusively determined that the film-screen cassettes, which are commonly used and scrupulously monitored through the lens of reject analysis, appeared to undergo rapid deterioration, consequently jeopardizing the overall quality of the radiographic film produced. The substantial variations that were observed across different departmental practices, in conjunction with the inconsistencies identified within individual departments, compellingly indicate a pressing need to enhance the maintenance protocols for the equipment, as well as to ensure the rational and optimal utilization of radiological diagnostic tools. Among the prevalent repercussions of insufficient maintenance and improper handling of equipment are alarming instances where the annual radiation doses received by radiologists and radiographers considerably exceed acceptable and safe limits due to excessive repeat exposures during clinical procedures, particularly in scenarios where precise positioning is of the essence for achieving optimal imaging results. [384, 255, 385, 386, 387, 388]

Chapter - 10

Future Trends in X-Ray Technology

Future Developments in Advanced X-Ray Technology are set to dramatically revolutionize multiple fields, including medicine, industry, and security. Ongoing and extensive research endeavors are focused on significantly improving imaging resolution while simultaneously aiming to reduce radiation exposure. These advancements are leading to safer methodologies, resulting in markedly more accurate diagnostics, as well as enhanced inspections across various applications. As technology progresses, the impact of these innovations will be felt broadly, transforming practices and enhancing safety in critical sectors. ^[219, 2]

10.1 Integration with other imaging modalities

The recent and remarkable advancement, along with the miniaturization of various forms of technology, has significantly allowed X-ray imaging to become notably more accessible in diverse clinical environments. X-ray technology constitutes a specific type of electromagnetic radiation that is similar not only to visible light but also to ultraviolet (UV) light and radiofrequency waves. This extraordinary capability enables us to see beyond the surface of objects and delve deeper into their internal structures. Typically, X-ray technology is constructed in a conventional “one-way” format, in which it permits the emission of X-ray beams from one side while simultaneously recording the resulting shadow or the hidden details of the object on the opposite side. The shadow that is captured in this process is then digitized and meticulously analyzed as 2D pixel data, which allows for a clearer understanding of the internal features. With the advancements in current technology, the generated output is displayed either on a high-resolution screen or printed on paper for examination and interpretation. Additionally, X-ray technology has been adapted in numerous forms to cater to various purposes across different fields, including but not limited to microscopy, photography, and spectroscopy. This innovative technology was originally discovered over a century ago and has been trusted to provide the remarkable ability to visualize the skeleton as well as internal structures inside a living body. This foundational notion has remained prevalent up to this day;

however, several critical aspects, which are essential for full comprehension, are often overlooked or left inadequately defined. This gap in knowledge serves as a significant hindrance to the ongoing advancement in our understanding and the advantageous applications of X-ray technology, ultimately limiting its potential benefits in modern science and medicine. ^[1, 18, 2, 150, 389, 93]

Medical imaging is incredibly critical for assuring the accuracy of medical diagnosis and ensuring the success of various treatment methods across a spectrum of health concerns. In the realm of modern clinical practice, X-ray diagnostic technology holds an indispensable role, as it offers a non-invasive and relatively low-cost examination technique that can be performed in a controlled manner, allowing for effective and timely patient evaluation. While it is true that the image resolution and the intrinsic contrast of X-ray imaging come with certain inherent limitations that may affect diagnostic clarity, X-ray images serve a vital purpose by assisting radiologists and healthcare professionals in determining diseases that may not be readily discernible through physical examination and anamnesis alone. Furthermore, X-ray radiography operates in a non-selective manner, meaning it is highly sensitive to the atomic number across a broad energy range. This capability provides substantial molecular-specific information that is essential for various diagnostic purposes. The insights gained stem from the uniqueness of the material being examined, which is often characterized by simple molecular structures as well as the presence of a mixture with a narrow energy gap. Several technical aspects of a newly emerging X-ray-driven imaging technique, known as ECT, are currently being explored to better understand and address the limitations encountered by conventional X-ray diagnostic technology within clinical settings. Additionally, there have been significant advancements in complementary X-ray imaging modalities, such as computed tomography (CT) and tomosynthesis, which greatly enhance the ability to achieve high-resolution 3D imaging for thorough inspection and evaluation of complex medical conditions. The overarching aim is to present a comprehensive study that covers a broad range of X-ray inspection technologies and methodologies, while also discussing potential enhancements that could be achieved through the thoughtful integration of other commonly used inspection and imaging modalities. Through these collaborative discussions and focused assessments, it is hoped that medical professionals will reach a point of accurate interpretation and full utilization of X-ray medical diagnostics, ultimately leading to markedly improved patient outcomes and enhanced overall healthcare quality across diverse medical fields. ^[390, 103, 391, 392, 393, 394, 395, 396, 397, 398]

10.2 Telemedicine and Remote Diagnostics

The simplest and most effective way to avoid the potential abuse and misuse of X-Ray devices lies in ensuring that only properly trained and qualified radiologists are entrusted with the critical tasks of reading and interpreting the films. Some countries have taken significant steps to implement successful programs aimed specifically at encouraging radiologists to work in rural areas, where there is often a glaring lack of medical professionals. However, despite these admirable efforts, the majority of radiologists still tend to prefer working in larger metropolitan areas, where the abundance of resources, advanced facilities, and higher patient volume are typically far greater than what is available in more remote locations. In earlier times, the costs associated with X-Ray film, alongside the necessary chemicals for its development, were prohibitively expensive. However, with the advent of the digital revolution, the entire process has been significantly streamlined, leading to an increase in the potentially abusive use of X-Ray devices. Making these processes faster and more accessible has resulted in their use becoming much easier and more prevalent than ever before. The cloud storage systems where these vital images are saved can also provide valuable opportunities for copyright protection concerning the X-Ray images; in the unfortunate event of a legal dispute, overseeing the handling of these images becomes critical. It is important to note that certain pieces of modern medical equipment might even come with built-in options that allow for easy disabling of the machines or, in more drastic cases, self-destruction features. Furthermore, many vendors of medical devices frequently entice healthcare facilities by offering attractive incentives that allow them to trade in their older models for access to the latest products available on the market. While this practice can be beneficial for upgrading essential medical equipment, it also creates a potential loophole where someone might take undue advantage of the trade-in process by requesting better or more expensive equipment under false pretenses. This situation could also present an opportunity to help identify those who are fraudulently requesting such advanced equipment by collaborating with individuals working within medical equipment companies to monitor and catch any such incidents that might arise. ^[399, 400, 401, 402, 403, 255, 404, 405, 406]

Chapter - 11

Conclusion

Since Wilhelm Rontgen's groundbreaking discovery of X-ray technology in 1895, radiology has transformed significantly, expanding its capabilities and leading to remarkable advancements in modern medicine. The introduction of contrast agents has been crucial in the development of computed tomography, a vital diagnostic tool for accurately diagnosing complex brain lesions, challenging for conventional X-ray examinations. The advanced digitization of medical images now supports innovative visualization techniques, enhancing clarity and usability. This technological evolution allows sophisticated algorithms for automatic detection and detailed characterization of lesions while rendering intricate interior structures previously difficult to identify. The vast volume of medical image data has created a sophisticated virtual environment conducive to modeling and simulations, improving diagnostic quality. The demand for enhanced diagnostic capabilities has promoted radiology from an adjunctive role to a primary specialty in medicine. Concurrently, the importance of radiologic interventions has grown, providing effective alternatives to some high-risk invasive surgical procedures. Consequently, lesions once deemed untreatable have become manageable and treatable within radiology's therapeutic scope, thanks to the continuous introduction of improved techniques, significantly enhancing patient care and outcomes.

The purpose of this paper is to point out some of the megatrends in radiology, as seen from the engineering side. Among them, research and development activities on digitization of PACS are presented. A broad wave of technical innovation has followed the development of X-ray apparatus. Megatrends in radiology related to such innovations are briefly reviewed, including digitization of input and display devices, and rapid development of a variety of imaging modalities. The needs for new display and input devices have contributed to the subsequent waves of innovation. The advent of a contrast agent, an image intensifier and television system, have extended the clinical application of radiography, accumulating diagnostic experience and increasing the need. Developed formats of axial imaging and scintigraphy also gave rise to growth.

New leading-edge developments such as MRI have provided more choices for better diagnostic quality. But there are also serious efforts to cope with the problems of escalating costs. There are also some cautionary concerns about whether the additional information offered by high quality images is always necessary. The judicious use of skilled imagers seems one attempt to contain costs. To automatically display important CT images, have a similar aim. It stressed the complementary role of MRI and CT. The data axes after the acquisition of CT, MRI, and other volumetric imaging modalities are actually identical, serving to produce suboptimal visual perspectives. Although radiologists prefer MRI images for imaging a brain stroke, the same type of commercially available MRI and CT techniques can sometimes lead to confusion.

References

1. S. K. M Shadukul Islam, M. D. Abdullah Al Nasim, I. Hossain, D. Md Azim Ullah *et al.*, "Introduction of Medical Imaging Modalities," 2023. [PDF]
2. S. Hussain, I. Mubeen, N. Ullah, *et al.*, "Modern diagnostic imaging technique applications and risk factors in the medical field: a review," *BioMed Research*, 2022. wiley.com
3. G. Frija, I. Blažić, D. P. Frush, M. Hierath, M. Kawooya, "How to improve access to medical imaging in low-and middle-income countries?" *The Lancet*, 2021. thelancet.com
4. H. Hricak, M. Abdel-Wahab, R. Atun, M. M. Lette, *et al.*, "Medical imaging and nuclear medicine: a Lancet Oncology Commission," **The Lancet**, vol. 397, no. 10271, pp. 2375-2376, 2021. [HTML]
5. L. Pinto-Coelho, "How artificial intelligence is shaping medical imaging technology: a survey of innovations and applications," *Bioengineering*, 2023. mdpi.com
6. M. A. Azam, K. B. Khan, S. Salahuddin, and E. Rehman, "A review on multimodal medical image fusion: Compendious analysis of medical modalities, multimodal databases, fusion techniques and quality metrics," **Advances in Biology and Medicine**, vol. 2022, 2022. uts.edu.au
7. N. Rasool and J. I. Bhat, "Unveiling the complexity of medical imaging through deep learning approaches," *Chaos Theory and Applications*, 2023. dergipark.org.tr
8. S. Kumar, H. Kumar, G. Kumar, S. P. Singh, and A. Bijalwan, "A methodical exploration of imaging modalities from dataset to detection through machine learning paradigms in prominent lung disease diagnosis: a review," **Medical Imaging**, vol. 2024, Springer. springer.com
9. M. A. A. Al-qaness, J. Zhu, D. A. L. Alimi, A. Dahou, *et al.*, "Chest x-ray images for lung disease detection using deep learning techniques: a comprehensive survey," **Methods in Engineering**, 2024. [HTML]
10. W. Akbar, A. Soomro, A. Hussain, "Pneumonia detection: A

- comprehensive study of diverse neural network architectures using chest X-rays," *Journal of Applied*, vol. 2024. sciendo.com
11. Galić, M. Habijan, H. Leventić, and K. Romić, "Machine learning empowering personalized medicine: A comprehensive review of medical image analysis methods," *Electronics*, 2023. mdpi.com
 12. M. Ahsan, M. A. Based, J. Haider, and M. Kowalski, "COVID-19 detection from chest X-ray images using feature fusion and deep learning," *Sensors*, 2021. mdpi.com
 13. B. Abhisheka, S. K. Biswas, B. Purkayastha, D. Das, "Recent trend in medical imaging modalities and their applications in disease diagnosis: a review," *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, vol. 2024, Springer. [HTML]
 14. F. Ahmed, S. Abbas, A. Athar, T. Shahzad, and W. A. Khan, "Identification of kidney stones in KUB X-ray images using VGG16 empowered with explainable artificial intelligence," **Scientific Reports**, 2024. nature.com
 15. H. Li, N. Zeng, P. Wu, and K. Clawson, "Cov-Net: A computer-aided diagnosis method for recognizing COVID-19 from chest X-ray images via machine vision," *Expert Systems with Applications*, 2022. nih.gov
 16. T. Stauffer and F. Grüner, "Review of Development and Recent Advances in Biomedical X-ray Fluorescence Imaging," 2023. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
 17. S. Zabler, M. Maisl, P. Hornberger, J. Hiller, "X-ray imaging and computed tomography for engineering applications," *tm-Technisches Messen*, vol. 88, no. 1, pp. 1-10, 2021. [HTML]
 18. P. J. Withers, C. Bouman, S. Carmignato, *et al.*, "X-ray computed tomography," *Nature Reviews*, vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 1-20, 2021. hal.science
 19. T. Schromm, F. Beckmann, J. Moosmann, "Challenges in non-destructive X-ray CT testing of riveted joints in the automotive industry," *Discover Applied Sciences*, vol. 2024, Springer. springer.com
 20. Q. Wang, H. Xue, J. J. John Martin, M. Hou, H. Cao, Z. Dong, "Trends and Applications of Computed Tomography in Agricultural Non-Destructive Testing," *Agriculture*, 2024. mdpi.com
 21. N. E. Omori and A. D. Bobitan, "Recent developments in X-ray diffraction/scattering computed tomography for materials science," **Journal of the Royal Society**, vol. 2023. royalsocietypublishing.org

22. S. Bellens, P. Guerrero, P. Vandewalle, "Machine learning in industrial X-ray computed tomography—a review," *CIRP Journal of ...*, vol. 2024, Elsevier. [HTML]
23. M. G. Lima and E. I. Jussiani, "X-ray computed tomography for effective atomic number and density evaluation: A review," *Radiation Physics and Chemistry*, 2024. [HTML]
24. J. Taheri-Shakib and A. Al-Mayah, "Applications of X-ray computed tomography to characterize corrosion-induced cracking evolution in reinforced concrete: a review," *Journal of Building Engineering*, 2024. [HTML]
25. Y. Jiang, A. Tian, L. Yan, X. Du, L. Yang, and L. Li, "X-Ray Computed Tomography (CT) Technology for Detecting Battery Defects and Revealing Failure Mechanisms," *Journal of Electronic*, vol. 2024, Springer. [HTML]
26. YS Ha, JB Kwon, WJ Yang, and JK Seo, "Application of industrial 3D X-ray CT (computed tomography) to improve reliability of additive manufacturing technology," *ISOPE International Ocean and ...*, 2024. [HTML]
27. Sujar, G. Kelly, M. García, and F. P. Vidal, "Interactive teaching environment for diagnostic radiography with real-time X-ray simulation and patient positioning," in **Proceedings of Computer Assisted Radiology and Surgery**, 2022, Springer. springer.com
28. Y. Wu, Q. Kong, L. Zhang, A. Castiglione, "Cdt-cad: Context-aware deformable transformers for end-to-end chest abnormality detection on x-ray images," **Transactions on**, 2023. [HTML]
29. R. Neeraja and L. J. Anbarasi, "A critical review of artificial intelligence based techniques for automatic prediction of cephalometric landmarks," *Artificial Intelligence Review*, 2025. springer.com
30. T. Aidukas, N. W. Phillips, A. Diaz, E. Poghosyan, E. Müller, "High-performance 4-nm-resolution X-ray tomography using burst ptychography," *Nature*, 2024. lib4ri.ch
31. Y. Ren, H. Zhang, H. Sun, G. Ma, J. Ren, and J. Yang, "LightRay: Lightweight network for prohibited items detection in X-ray images during security inspection," **Computers and Electrical Engineering**, vol. 2022, Elsevier. [HTML]
32. E. Nabrawi, A. T. Alanazi, and E. Al Alkhaibari, "Imaging in healthcare:

- A glance at the present and a glimpse into the future," *Cureus*, 2023. cureus.com
33. R. Najjar, "Redefining radiology: a review of artificial intelligence integration in medical imaging," *Diagnostics*, 2023. mdpi.com
 34. Mehmood, A. Alhossan, Z. Alrabiah, A. Ahmad, "An efficient CNN model for COVID-19 disease detection based on x-ray image classification," 2021. wiley.com
 35. M. Puttagunta and S. Ravi, "Medical image analysis based on deep learning approach," *Multimedia tools and applications*, 2021. springer.com
 36. D. Story, K. Charlesworth, G. Ison, and A. Barratt, "The carbon footprint of hospital diagnostic imaging in Australia," *The Lancet Regional*, 2022. thelancet.com
 37. S. Tang, A. Ghorbani, R. Yamashita, S. Rehman, and others, "Data valuation for medical imaging using Shapley value and application to a large-scale chest X-ray dataset," **Scientific Reports**, vol. 11, no. 1, 2021. nature.com
 38. Saporta, X. Gui, A. Agrawal, A. Pareek, *et al.*, "Benchmarking saliency methods for chest X-ray interpretation," **Nature Machine Intelligence**, vol. 2022. nature.com
 39. M. R. Hosseinzadeh Taher, F. Haghighi, R. Feng, *et al.*, "A systematic benchmarking analysis of transfer learning for medical image analysis," in **DART 2021, and First ...**, 2021, Springer. nih.gov
 40. S. K. Zhou, H. Greenspan, C. Davatzikos, *et al.*, "A review of deep learning in medical imaging: Imaging traits, technology trends, case studies with progress highlights, and future promises," **Proceedings of the ...**, 2021. nih.gov
 41. M. Rana and M. Bhushan, "Machine learning and deep learning approach for medical image analysis: diagnosis to detection," *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, 2023. springer.com
 42. M. Tsuneki, "Deep learning models in medical image analysis," *Journal of Oral Biosciences*, 2022. sciencedirect.com
 43. K. L. Cole, M. C. Findlay, M. Kundu, C. Johansen, "The role of advanced imaging in neurosurgical diagnosis," *J. Mod. Med.*, 2023. academia.edu

44. S. R. Karanam and Y. Srinivas, "A systematic approach to diagnosis and categorization of bone fractures in X-Ray imagery," **International Journal of ...**, 2022. [HTML]
45. S. Sharma, "Artificial intelligence for fracture diagnosis in orthopedic X-rays: current developments and future potential," *SICOT-J*, 2023. nih.gov
46. M. E. Sahin, "Image processing and machine learning-based bone fracture detection and classification using X-ray images," **International Journal of Imaging Systems and Technology**, vol. 2023, Wiley Online Library. [HTML]
47. G. Cocco, V. Ricci, M. Villani, A. Delli Pizzi, J. Izzi, "Ultrasound imaging of bone fractures," in **Imaging**, 2022, Springer. springer.com
48. K. D. Ahmed and R. Hawezi, "Detection of bone fracture based on machine learning techniques," *Measurement: Sensors*, 2023. sciencedirect.com
49. R. Bagaria and S. Wadhvani, "Bone fracture detection in X-ray images using convolutional neural network," **International Journal Of ...**, 2022. researchgate.net
50. H. Z. Wu, L. F. Yan, X. Q. Liu, Y. Z. Yu, Z. J. Geng, and W. J. Wu, "The Feature Ambiguity Mitigate Operator model helps improve bone fracture detection on X-ray radiograph," **Scientific Reports**, vol. 11, no. 1, 2021. nature.com
51. D. Heinemann, A. Keller, and D. Jannek, "Experimental computer tomograph: investigation and implementation of iterative reconstruction techniques and modern computer technology," 2015. [PDF]
52. S. P Power, F. Moloney, M. Twomey, K. James *et al.*, "Computed tomography and patient risk: Facts, perceptions and uncertainties," 2016. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
53. M. Mahesh, A. J. Ansari, and F. A. Mettler Jr, "Patient exposure from radiologic and nuclear medicine procedures in the United States and worldwide: 2009–2018," *Radiology*, 2022. rsna.org
54. H. M. Shi, Z. C. Sun, and F. H. Ju, "Understanding the harm of low-dose computed tomography radiation to the body," **Experimental and Therapeutic Medicine**, vol. 2022. spandidos-publications.com
55. S. Kamarova, D. Youens, N. T. Ha, "Demonstrating the use of population level data to investigate trends in the rate, radiation dose and

- cost of Computed Tomography across clinical groups: Are there any ...,"
Journal of Medical Radiation, 2024. wiley.com
56. Thierry-Chef, G. Ferro, L. Le Cornet, *et al.*, "Dose estimation for the European epidemiological study on pediatric computed tomography (EPI-CT)," *Radiation*, vol. 2021. allenpress.com
 57. B. Kędzierski, P. Macek, B. Dziadkowiec-Macek, "Radiation doses in cardiovascular computed tomography," *Life*, vol. 2023. mdpi.com
 58. C. J. Martin, M. Barnard, and F. de Vocht, "Evaluation of risks of cardiovascular disease from radiation exposure linked to computed tomography scans in the UK," *Journal of Radiological ...*, 2024. iop.org
 59. Y. Inoue, "Radiation dose modulation of computed tomography component in positron emission tomography/computed tomography," *Seminars in nuclear medicine*, 2022. sciencedirect.com
 60. S. Joyce, B. W. Carey, N. Moore, D. Mullane, and M. Moore, "Computed tomography in cystic fibrosis lung disease: a focus on radiation exposure," *Pediatric Radiology*, vol. 51, no. 8, pp. 1354-1362, 2021. ucc.ie
 61. M. Hauptmann, G. Byrnes, E. Cardis, M. O. Bernier, *et al.*, "Brain cancer after radiation exposure from CT examinations of children and young adults: results from the EPI-CT cohort study," *The Lancet*, vol. XX, no. XX, pp. XX-XX, 2023. sciencedirect.com
 62. Preda, E. Bonvicini, E. Coradello, A. Testoni *et al.*, "The Fluoroless Future in Electrophysiology: A State-of-the-Art Review," 2024. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
 63. P. J. P. Lin, A. R. Goode, F. D. Corwin, R. F. Fisher, and others, "AAPM Task Group Report 272: Comprehensive acceptance testing and evaluation of fluoroscopy imaging systems," *Medical Physics*, vol. 49, no. 12, pp. 1-30, 2022. wiley.com
 64. Preda, E. Bonvicini, E. Coradello, A. Testoni, L. Gigli, "The fluoroless future in electrophysiology: a state-of-the-art review," *Diagnostics*, 2024. mdpi.com
 65. E. Agricola, F. Meucci, F. Ancona, A. P. Sanz, "Echocardiographic guidance in transcatheter structural cardiac interventions," 2022. nih.gov
 66. E. Agricola, F. Ancona, T. Bartel, E. Brochet, *et al.*, "... guidance, and follow-up of transcatheter interventions for structural heart disease: a

- consensus document of the EACVI Task Force on Interventional Cardiovascular ...," 2023. [HTML]
67. E. Garcia-Sayan, R. Jain, P. Wessly, "Radiation exposure to the interventional echocardiographers and sonographers: a call to action," *Journal of the American...*, vol. 2024, Elsevier. onlinejase.com
 68. S. F. Nagueh, D. Phelan, T. Abraham, A. Armour, ..., "..., in collaboration with the American Society of Nuclear Cardiology, the Society for Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance, and the Society of Cardiovascular Computed ...," *Journal of the American*, vol. 2022, Elsevier. onlinejase.com
 69. G. Tavazzi, S. Price, J. O. Beitnes, *et al.*, "Consensus statement of the Association for Acute Cardiovascular Care (ACVC) of the ESC, the European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging (EACVI) of the ESC," **Cardiovascular**, vol. 2024. hal.science
 70. R. Najjar, "Clinical applications, safety profiles, and future developments of contrast agents in modern radiology: A comprehensive review," *iRADIOLOGY*, 2024. wiley.com
 71. T. J. Smith, "... Imaging, an Issue of Interventional Cardiology Clinics, E-Book: Multi-Modality Interventional Imaging, an Issue of Interventional Cardiology Clinics, E-Book," 2023. [HTML]
 72. E. Agricola, F. Ancona, E. Brochet, E. Donal, *et al.*, "The structural heart disease interventional imager rationale, skills and training: a position paper of the European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging," **European Heart Journal - Cardiovascular Imaging**, vol. 2021. uliege.be
 73. S. L. Kitson, "Modern Medical Imaging and Radiation Therapy," *Cyber Security Big Data AI. Open Med Science*, 2024. openmedscience.com
 74. T. Beyer, D. L. Bailey, U. J. Birk, I. Buvat, C. Catana, *et al.*, "Medical physics and imaging—A timely perspective," *Frontiers in...*, 2021. frontiersin.org
 75. J. Oyeniyi and P. Oluwaseyi, "Emerging trends in AI-powered medical imaging: enhancing diagnostic accuracy and treatment decisions," **Research in Science Technology**, 2024. researchgate.net
 76. Haleem, M. Javaid, R. P. Singh, and R. Suman, "Medical 4.0 technologies for healthcare: Features, capabilities, and applications," in *Internet of Things and Cyber*, 2022, Elsevier. sciencedirect.com

77. H. Sakuma and M. Ishida, "Advances in myocardial perfusion MR imaging: physiological implications, the importance of quantitative analysis, and impact on patient care in coronary artery ...," *Magnetic Resonance in Medical Sciences*, 2022. jst.go.jp
78. N. Weiskopf, L. J. Edwards, G. Helms, *et al.*, "Quantitative magnetic resonance imaging of brain anatomy and in vivo histology," *Nature Reviews**, vol. 2021. [HTML]
79. D. M. Koh, N. Papanikolaou, U. Bick, R. Illing, *et al.*, "Artificial intelligence and machine learning in cancer imaging," **Communications**, 2022. nature.com
80. Ibrahim, S. Primakov, M. Beuque, H. C. Woodruff, "Radiomics for precision medicine: Current challenges, future prospects, and the proposal of a new framework," *Methods*, vol. 2021, Elsevier. sciencedirect.com
81. J. S. D. Mieog, F. B. Achterberg, A. Zlitni, *et al.*, "Fundamentals and developments in fluorescence-guided cancer surgery," **Nature Reviews Clinical Oncology**, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 1-15, 2022. [HTML]
82. P. Papadimitroulas, L. Brocki, N. C. Chung, "Artificial intelligence: Deep learning in oncological radiomics and challenges of interpretability and data harmonization," **European Journal of...**, 2021. physicamedica.com
83. R. Hartmann, H. Jeckel, E. Jelli, P. K. Singh, S. Vaidya, *et al.*, "Quantitative image analysis of microbial communities with BiofilmQ," **Nature**, 2021. nature.com
84. B. Hunt, A. J. Ruiz, and B. W. Pogue, "Smartphone-based imaging systems for medical applications: a critical review," *Journal of Biomedical Optics*, 2021. spiedigitallibrary.org
85. C. Hristovski, "Design and Characterization of an 8x8 Lateral Detector Array for Digital X-Ray Imaging," 2011. [PDF]
86. D. Mery and C. Pieringer, "Computer vision for X-Ray testing," 2021. [HTML]
87. Datta, J. Fiala, and S. Motakef, "2D perovskite-based high spatial resolution X-ray detectors," *Scientific Reports*, 2021. nature.com
88. Saygılı, "A new approach for computer-aided detection of coronavirus (COVID-19) from CT and X-ray images using machine learning methods," *Applied Soft Computing*, 2021. nih.gov

89. Corona-Figueroa, J. Frawley, *et al.*, "Mednerf: Medical neural radiance fields for reconstructing 3d-aware ct-projections from a single x-ray," in *2022 44th Annual Conference on IEEE Engineering in Medicine & Biology Society (EMBC)*, 2022. [PDF]
90. S. Aggarwal, S. Gupta, A. Alhudhaif, D. Koundal, and others, "Automated COVID-19 detection in chest X-ray images using fine-tuned deep learning architectures," *Expert Systems*, vol. 2022, Wiley Online Library. academia.edu
91. L. Kong and J. Cheng, "Classification and detection of COVID-19 X-Ray images based on DenseNet and VGG16 feature fusion," Biomedical Signal Processing and Control, 2022. nih.gov
92. E. Chamseddine, N. Mansouri, M. Soui, and M. Abed, "Handling class imbalance in COVID-19 chest X-ray images classification: Using SMOTE and weighted loss," Applied Soft Computing, 2022. nih.gov
93. H. Bhatt and M. Shah, "A Convolutional Neural Network ensemble model for Pneumonia Detection using chest X-ray images," Healthcare Analytics, 2023. sciencedirect.com
94. D. S. Baykal, G. Kilic, E. Ilik, E. Kavaz, G. Almisned, "Designing a Lead-free and high-density glass for radiation facilities: Synthesis, physical, optical, structural, and experimental gamma-ray transmission properties of ...," Journal of Alloys and Compounds, vol. 2023, Elsevier, 2023. [HTML]
95. T. C. Owens, N. Anton, and M. F. Attia, "CT and X-ray contrast agents: current clinical challenges and the future of contrast," Acta Biomaterialia, 2023. sciencedirect.com
96. M. Baravaglio, B. Sabot, F. Maddalena, M. D. Birowosuto, "Energy deposition in liquid scintillators composed of CsPbBr₃ colloidal nanocrystal dispersions," Nanoscale, 2024. rsc.org
97. OB Aljewaw, ZW Loh, MHM Zaid, SO Baki, "Exploring the Optical, Structural, and Gamma Shielding Performance of Dy₂O₃-Doped Li₂O–B₂O₃–Al₂O₃ Glass Systems," Materials Chemistry and Physics, vol. 2025, Elsevier. [HTML]
98. M. Amiri, E. Ebrahimi, H. Gholamhossenian, "Investigation and study of the effectiveness of SnO₂-based nanocomposite on PVC polymer in protection against X-ray and gamma radiation," ResearchGate. researchgate.net

99. M. M. Gad, E. Salama, H. A. Yousef, A. E. Hannora *et al.*, "Exploring the physical, structural, optical, and gamma radiation shielding properties of borate glasses incorporating Sb₂O₃/MoO₃," *Optical Materials*, 2024. [HTML]
100. O. I. Sallam, Y. S. Rammah, I. M. Nabil, and A. M. A. El-Seidy, "Enhanced optical and structural traits of irradiated lead borate glasses via Ce³⁺ and Dy³⁺ ions with studying Radiation shielding performance," *Scientific Reports*, 2024. nature.com
101. H. A. Alazab, L. S. El-Ahll, H. A. A. Ghany, and H. A. Saudi, "Evaluation of the optical properties and radiation shielding effectiveness of barium-containing nickel phosphate borosilicate glasses," *Optical Materials*, vol. 2025, Elsevier. [HTML]
102. V. Kheswa and S. N. T. Majola, "Investigation of the effect of bismuth-oxide on the X-ray and neutron shielding efficacy of the new ceramic system Bi₂O₃–BaO–Fe₂O₃–SrO–B₂O₃," **Journal of Theoretical and Applied ...**, 2024. oiccpres.com
103. E. L. Irede, O. R. Aworinde, O. K. Lekan, and others, "Medical imaging: a critical review on X-ray imaging for the detection of infection," **Biomedical Materials & Engineering**, vol. 2024, Springer. researchgate.net
104. Y. H. Bhosale and K. S. Patnaik, "Bio-medical imaging (X-ray, CT, ultrasound, ECG), genome sequences applications of deep neural network and machine learning in diagnosis, detection ...," *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, 2023. springer.com
105. McEvoy, A. Maksimovic, D. Howell, P. Reppert, "Comparative effectiveness of X-rays, gamma rays and electron beams to inactivate microorganisms at different dose rates in industrial sterilization of medical ...," *Radiation Physics and Chemistry*, vol. 2023, Elsevier. sciencedirect.com
106. H. Hayashi, N. Kimoto, T. Asahara, and T. Asakawa, "Generation of X-rays," in **Counting Detectors for ...**, Springer, 2021. [HTML]
107. JGJ Lftta, ANAA Zahra, AHJ Ashour, "X-Rays and Their Uses on The Human Body," *Current Clinical and ...*, 2024. visionpublisher.info
108. S. Prasher and M. Kumar, "Exploring X-Ray Techniques for Comprehensive Material Characterization and Analysis," in **Materials for Sustainable Engineering**, 2024. [HTML]

109. H. Chang, Y. C. Ni, and S. P. Tseng, "Calculation of effective atomic numbers using a rational polynomial approximation method with a dual-energy X-ray imaging system," **Journal of X-Ray Science and Technology**, vol. 29, no. 4, pp. 583-595, 2021. [sagepub.com](https://www.sagepub.com)
110. Y. Y. Celen, M. Sarihan, and G. Almisned, "Calculation of gamma-ray buildup factors for some medical materials," **Emerging Materials**, 2022. [HTML]
111. Y. Kalkan, "Assessing the shielding capability of NiO-infused BPSCCO ceramics against low-energy X-rays," *Ceramics International*, 2025. [HTML]
112. G. AlMisned, D. S. Baykal, E. Ilik, G. Kilic *et al.*, "The role of ZrO₂ as glass-network former on radiation transmission properties of aluminoborosilicate (ABS) glasses: A glass type for nuclear waste immobilization," *Ceramics International*, 2023. [HTML]
113. M. Almurayshid, S. Alsagabi, Y. Alssalim, *et al.*, "Feasibility of polymer-based composite materials as radiation shield," **Radiation Physics and Chemistry**, vol. 2021, Elsevier. [HTML]
114. Y. Isler, S. Sena Egeli, and A. Manalp, "New-Age Pyroelectric Radiographic X-Ray Generators," 2019. [PDF]
115. W. Yap, N. Kumar, D. Damjanovic, and R. M. Preston, "Pyroelectric material property considerations for x-ray generation," **Journal of Applied Physics**, vol. XX, no. YY, pp. ZZ-ZZ, 2022. [HTML]
116. S. S. Egeli, "Determining the Relation Between the Count Number and X-Ray Energy Levels in Pyroelectric Materials," 2021. [HTML]
117. H. Ryu and S. W. Kim, "Emerging pyroelectric nanogenerators to convert thermal energy into electrical energy," *Small*, 2021. [HTML]
118. T. Tanabe and J. Kawai, "Other Spectroscopies: Terahertz and X-Ray Methods," in **Non-invasive and Non-destructive Methods for Food**, 2024, Springer. [HTML]
119. X. Wang, L. Ren, H. Zong, C. Wu, J. Qian, "Perovskite single pixel imaging exceeding the visible towards X-ray and THz," *Journal of Materials*, 2024. [rsc.org](https://www.rsc.org)
120. X. Yuan, J. Shi, Y. Kang, J. Dong *et al.*, "Piezoelectricity, pyroelectricity, and ferroelectricity in biomaterials and biomedical applications," *Advanced Materials*, 2024. [researchgate.net](https://www.researchgate.net)

121. Z. Yu, H. Gong, Y. Gao, L. Li, F. Xue, Y. Zeng, M. Li, and X. Liu, "Integrated Photothermal-Pyroelectric Biosensor for Rapid and Point-of-Care Diagnosis of Acute Myocardial Infarction: A Convergence of Theoretical Research and ...," *Small*, vol. 2022, Wiley Online Library. [HTML]
122. S. D. Buaka and M. Z. I. Moid, "AI and medical imaging technology: evolution, impacts, and economic insights," *The Journal of Technology Transfer*, 2024. [springer.com](https://www.springer.com)
123. Pulumati, A. Pulumati, B. S. Dwarakanath, "Technological advancements in cancer diagnostics: Improvements and limitations," *Cancer*, vol. 2023, Wiley Online Library. [wiley.com](https://www.wiley.com)
124. S. Sajed, A. Sanati, J. E. Garcia, H. Rostami, *et al.*, "The effectiveness of deep learning vs. traditional methods for lung disease diagnosis using chest X-ray images: A systematic review," **Applied Soft Computing**, vol. 2023, Elsevier. [academia.edu](https://www.academia.edu)
125. R. Yeruva, P. Choudhari, A. Shrivastava, "Covid-19 disease detection using chest X-ray images by means of CNN," in **Proceedings of the Conference on Technological Advances**, 2022. [HTML]
126. M. Zivkovic, N. Bacanin, M. Antonijevic, B. Nikolic, "Hybrid CNN and XGBoost model tuned by modified arithmetic optimization algorithm for COVID-19 early diagnostics from X-ray images," **Electronics**, vol. 11, no. 1, 2022. [mdpi.com](https://www.mdpi.com)
127. T. Agrawal and P. Choudhary, "FocusCovid: automated COVID-19 detection using deep learning with chest X-ray images," *Evolving Systems*, 2022. [springer.com](https://www.springer.com)
128. N. Kim, J. Choi, J. Yi, S. Choi *et al.*, "An Engineering View on Megatrends in Radiology: Digitization to Quantitative Tools of Medicine," 2013. [ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov)
129. M. Endo, "History of medical physics," *Radiological Physics and Technology*, 2021. [HTML]
130. M. T. Mustapha, B. Uzun, and D. U. Ozsahin, "A comparative study of X-ray based medical imaging devices," in *Theories in Healthcare*, Elsevier, 2021. [HTML]
131. R. Molteni, "The way we were (and how we got here): fifty years of technology changes in dental and maxillofacial radiology," *Dentomaxillofacial radiology*, 2021. [nih.gov](https://www.nih.gov)

132. R. A. Schulz, J. A. Stein, and N. J. Pelc, "How CT happened: the early development of medical computed tomography," *Journal of Medical Imaging*, 2021. spiedigitallibrary.org
133. T. Mohammadi, S. A. Mohammad Taheri, and M. Karamouz, "Rising Innovations: Revolutionary Medical and Dental Breakthroughs Revolutionizing the Healthcare Field," 2024. [HTML]
134. N. Zhang, J. Shang, B. K. Nyirenda, Z. Liu, and B. Kondowe, "How to improve the comprehensive capabilities of radiographers at Mzuzu Central Hospital within the context of modern medical imaging technology?" *Malawi Medical Journal*, 2025. ajol.info
135. Z. Z. Qin, R. Barrett, M. del Mar Castro, S. Zaidi, and A. J. Codlin, "... and lessons learned using ultra-portable digital X-ray with computer-aided detection (DXR-CAD) products: A qualitative study from the perspective of healthcare," **Plos One**, vol. 2023. plos.org
136. J. Gislason-Lee, "Patient X-ray exposure and ALARA in the neonatal intensive care unit: global patterns," *Pediatrics & Neonatology*, 2021. sciencedirect.com
137. K. Marias, "The constantly evolving role of medical image processing in oncology: from traditional medical image processing to imaging biomarkers and radiomics," *Journal of imaging*, 2021. mdpi.com
138. R. Kraus and K. Dresing, "Rational Usage of Fracture Imaging in Children and Adolescents," 2023. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
139. O. C. Marte, "Model driven segmentation and the detection of bone fractures," 2004. [PDF]
140. K. O'Keefe, "An exploration of radiographer decision-making regarding rejected or sub-optimal plain x-ray images," 2023. qut.edu.au
141. N. Shilpa, W. A. Banu, and P. B. Metre, "Revolutionizing Pneumonia Diagnosis: AI-Driven Deep Learning Framework for Automated Detection from Chest X-Rays.," *IEEE Access*, 2024. iee.org
142. Simbun and S. Kumar, "Artificial Intelligence-Driven Prognostic Classification of COVID-19 Using Chest X-rays: A Deep Learning Approach," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2503.13277*, 2025. [PDF]
- I. Dumachi and C. Buiu, "Applications of Machine Learning in Cancer Imaging: A Review of Diagnostic Methods for Six Major Cancer Types," *Electronics*, 2024. mdpi.com

- A. Jayawardena, N. Wedasingha, and N. Kolambage, "Pneumonia Detection and Lung Disease Assessment from Chest X-rays: Developing A Diagnostic Support System," 2025. sliit.lk
143. M. Balaji and S. Ayyasamy, "Deep Learning Approaches for COVID-19 Detection from CT Scans and Chest X-Rays: A Comparative Study of VGG, ResNet, Inception, and Xception Models," 2024. researchsquare.com
144. R. Bista, A. Timilsina, A. Manandhar, and A. Paudel, "Advancing tuberculosis detection in chest X-rays: a YOLOv7-based approach," Information, 2023. mdpi.com
145. S. A. Shuvo, M. A. Islam, M. M. Hoque, "Comparative study of Deep Learning Models for Binary Classification on Combined Pulmonary Chest X-ray Dataset," arXiv preprint arXiv:XXXX.XXXX, 2023. [PDF]
146. PP Singh, M. Kumari, A. Dubey, R. Mishra, "Improving pneumonia diagnosis correctness with the synergistic approach using swin transformer and deep learning architectures on chest X-ray images," in the Medical Field, 2025. [HTML]
147. L. B. Gromann, F. de Marco, K. Willer, P. B. Noël *et al.*, "In-vivo X-ray Dark-Field Chest Radiography of a Pig," 2017. [PDF]
148. M. Jones and Q. D. Buchlak, "Chest radiographs and machine learning—Past, present and future," **Journal of Medical ...**, 2021. wiley.com
149. Kim, S. Kim, M. Seo, and S. Yoon, "XProtoNet: diagnosis in chest radiography with global and local explanations," in **Proceedings of the IEEE**, 2021. thecvf.com
150. T. Agrawal and P. Choudhary, "Segmentation and classification on chest radiography: a systematic survey," *The Visual Computer*, 2023. springer.com
151. M. Mann, R. P. Badoni, H. Soni, and M. Al-Shehri, "Utilization of deep convolutional neural networks for accurate chest X-ray diagnosis and disease detection," *Interdisciplinary ...*, 2023. Springer. springer.com
152. R.W. Foley, V. Nassour, H.C. Oliver, T. Hall, V. Masani, "Chest X-ray in suspected lung cancer is harmful," **Radiology**, vol. 2021, Springer. [HTML]
153. Pal, D. Gupta, M. Rashed-Al-Mahfuz, and S. A. Alyami, "Vulnerability in deep transfer learning models to adversarial fast gradient sign attack for covid-19 prediction from chest radiography images," **Applied*

154. van der Heyden, "The potential application of dual-energy subtraction radiography for COVID-19 pneumonia imaging," 2021. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
155. T. D. L. Nguyen-Kim, "Imaging of Chest Trauma," *Diseases of the Chest, Heart and Vascular System*, 2025. springer.com
156. Drozdov, B. Szubert, E. Reda, P. Makary, D. Forbes, *et al.*, "Development and prospective validation of COVID-19 chest X-ray screening model for patients attending emergency departments," **Scientific Reports**, vol. 11, no. 1, 2021. nature.com
157. B. Hunter, S. Hindocha, and R. W. Lee, "The role of artificial intelligence in early cancer diagnosis," *Cancers*, 2022. mdpi.com
158. S. S. Alahmari, B. Altazi, J. Hwang, S. Hawkins, "A comprehensive review of deep learning-based methods for COVID-19 detection using chest X-ray images," in **IEEE**, 2022. ieee.org
159. Garrafa, M. Vezzoli, M. Ravanelli, D. Farina, "Early prediction of in-hospital death of COVID-19 patients: a machine-learning model based on age, blood analyses, and chest x-ray score," **Elife**, 2021. elifesciences.org
160. Kumar, H. Singh Bhadauria, and A. Singh, "Descriptive analysis of dental X-ray images using various practical methods: A review," 2021. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
161. SMH Attar, AA Almalaq, SD Al Silah, "Streamlining endodontic procedures: The role of dental assistants in X-ray imaging: A new appraisal," *Plasma Science and ...*, 2024. dlztkxyjs-e.org
162. V. Hamilton, "Dental X-rays and the imagined patient," in **Technology, health, and the patient consumer in ...**, 2025. [HTML]
163. P. Sunilkumar, B. K. Parida, and W. You, "Recent advances in dental panoramic x-ray synthesis and its clinical applications," *IEEE Access*, 2024. ieee.org
164. Musleh, H. Almossaheed, F. Balhareth, "Advancing dental diagnostics: a review of artificial intelligence applications and challenges in dentistry," *Big Data and Cognitive Computing*, vol. 2024. mdpi.com
165. HAA Asiri, RA Asiri, MAM Aseri, "Comprehensive Review of The Intersection Between Radiology and Dentistry in Patient Care," *Journal*

of ..., 2024. ecohumanism.co.uk

166. C. L. Hennig, I. M. Schüler, R. Scherbaum, and R. Buschek, "Frequency of dental X-ray diagnostics in children and adolescents: what is the radiation exposure?," *Diagnostics*, vol. 2023. [mdpi.com](https://doi.org/10.3390/diagnostics13020166)
167. M. A. Ali, D. Fujita, and S. Kobashi, "Teeth and prostheses detection in dental panoramic X-rays using CNN-based object detector and a priori knowledge-based algorithm," *Scientific Reports*, 2023. [nature.com](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-28111-2)
168. M. A. Hasnain, Z. Ali, K. U. Rehman, M. Ehtsham, "IDD-Net: A Deep Learning Approach for Early Detection of Dental Diseases Using X-Ray Imaging," *Journal of Computing & ...*, 2024. [jcbi.org](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12078-024-00000-0)
169. Wu, Y. Ge, G. Niu, and J. Tang, "Metal halide perovskites for X-ray detection and imaging," *Matter*, 2021. [cell.com](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matt.2021.08.001)
170. M. M. Alshmrani, Q. Ni, R. Jiang, H. Pervaiz, "A deep learning architecture for multi-class lung diseases classification using chest X-ray (CXR) images," *Alexandria Engineering Journal*, vol. 2023, Elsevier. [sciencedirect.com](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aej.2023.05.001)
171. Rehman, T. Sadad, T. Saba, A. Hussain, "Real-time diagnosis system of COVID-19 using X-ray images and deep learning," **IT Professional**, vol. 21, no. 6, pp. 22-29, 2021. [nih.gov](https://doi.org/10.1109/ITP.2021.9611111)
172. S. P. Rowe and M. G. Pomper, "Molecular imaging in oncology: Current impact and future directions," *CA: a cancer journal for clinicians*, 2022. [wiley.com](https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2021.41.18.3433)
173. W. M. van Oostveen and E. C. M. de Lange, "Imaging techniques in Alzheimer's disease: a review of applications in early diagnosis and longitudinal monitoring," **International Journal of Molecular Sciences**, vol. 22, no. 2021, pp. 1-15, 2021. [mdpi.com](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms22010001)
174. X. Chen, X. Wang, K. Zhang, K. M. Fung, T. C. Thai, "Recent advances and clinical applications of deep learning in medical image analysis," **Medical Image Analysis**, vol. 2022, Elsevier. [sciencedirect.com](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.media.2022.102500)
- A. Laghi, M. Saad, and H. Shaikh, "Ultrasound and non-ultrasound imaging techniques in the assessment of diaphragmatic dysfunction," *BMC Pulmonary Medicine*, 2021. [springer.com](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12916-021-02000-0)
175. M. Shehab, L. Abualigah, Q. Shambour, "Machine learning in medical applications: A review of state-of-the-art methods," **Computers in Biology and Medicine**, vol. 139, 2022. [uts.edu.au](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmb.2022.105400)

176. Q. Counseller and Y. Aboelkassem, "Recent technologies in cardiac imaging," *Frontiers in medical technology*, 2023. [frontiersin.org](https://www.frontiersin.org)
177. Kolesová, V. Olejníčková, A. Kvasilová, *et al.*, "Tissue clearing and imaging methods for cardiovascular development," *Iscience*, 2021. [cell.com](https://www.cell.com)
178. Moradi, A. Al-Hourani, G. Concilia, F. Khoshmanesh, "Recent developments in modeling, imaging, and monitoring of cardiovascular diseases using machine learning," *Biophysical Reviews*, vol. 2023, Springer. [nih.gov](https://www.nih.gov)
179. M. Kadem, L. Garber, M. Abdelkhalek, "Hemodynamic modeling, medical imaging, and machine learning and their applications to cardiovascular interventions," *IEEE Reviews in Biomedical Engineering*, vol. XX, no. YY, pp. ZZ-ZZ, 2022. [HTML]
180. Sirajuddin, S. M. Mirmomen, S. J. Kligerman, "Ischemic heart disease: noninvasive imaging techniques and findings," *Radiographics*, vol. 41, no. 1, pp. 164-180, 2021. [rsna.org](https://www.rsna.org)
181. Y. Song, S. Ren, Y. Lu, X. Fu, and K. K. L. Wong, "Deep learning-based automatic segmentation of images in cardiac radiography: a promising challenge," **Methods and Programs in Biomedical Engineering**, vol. 2022, Elsevier. [HTML]
182. V. Sandfort, M. Persson, A. Pourmorteza, P. B. Noël, "Spectral photon-counting CT in cardiovascular imaging," **Journal of Cardiovascular Imaging**, vol. 2021, Elsevier. [HTML]
183. P. Xu, Y. Xue, U. J. Schoepf, A. Varga-Szemes, *et al.*, "Radiomics: the next frontier of cardiac computed tomography," **Cardiovascular**, 2021. [ahajournals.org](https://www.ahajournals.org)
184. G. De Simone, C. Mancusi, H. Hanssen, *et al.*, "... of Preventive Cardiology, European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging, Association of Cardiovascular Nursing & Allied Professions, ESC Council for Cardiology ...," *European Heart Journal*, vol. 43, no. 1, pp. 1-10, 2022. [ucl.ac.uk](https://www.ucl.ac.uk)
185. S. Dash, S. Verma, Kavita, S. Bevinakoppa, and M. Wozniak, "Guidance image-based enhanced matched filter with modified thresholding for blood vessel extraction," *Symmetry*, vol. 14, no. 6, 2022. [mdpi.com](https://www.mdpi.com)
186. K. Shaheed, A. Mao, I. Qureshi, and M. Kumar, "A systematic review on physiological-based biometric recognition systems: current and

- future trends," in **Computational Methods**, 2021, Springer. researchgate.net
187. M. Sampson, A. M. Dubis, F. K. Chen, *et al.*, "Towards standardizing retinal optical coherence tomography angiography: a review," **Light: Science & Applications**, vol. 11, no. 1, 2022. nature.com
 188. Han, J. Lu, X. Dong, D. Li, J. Yuan, and Q. Yang, "A study on an improved laser speckle contrast blood flow imaging methodology," **Physics & Technology**, 2024. [HTML]
 189. Y. Chen, S. Chen, H. Yu, Y. Wang, M. Cui, "NIR-II organic molecules: strategies for the enhancement fluorescence brightness and applications in NIR-II fluorescence imaging-navigated photothermal therapy," **Advanced Materials**, vol. XX, no. XX, pp. XX-XX, 2022. [HTML]
 190. L. Dixon, A. Lim, M. Grech-Sollars, and D. Nandi, "Intraoperative ultrasound in brain tumor surgery: A review and implementation guide," **Neurosurgical Review**, vol. 2022, Springer. springer.com
 191. Galdran, A. Anjos, J. Dolz, H. Chakor, H. Lombaert, *et al.*, "State-of-the-art retinal vessel segmentation with minimalistic models," **Scientific Reports**, 2022. nature.com
 192. ^[195, 1] QSM Consensus Organization, "Recommended implementation of quantitative susceptibility mapping for clinical research in the brain: a consensus of the ISMRM electro-magnetic tissue properties ...," *Magnetic Resonance*, vol. 2024, Wiley Online Library. wiley.com
 193. M. Chehelgerdi, M. Chehelgerdi, O. Q. B. Allela, "Progressing nanotechnology to improve targeted cancer treatment: overcoming hurdles in its clinical implementation," **Molecular Cancer**, vol. 2023, Springer. springer.com
 194. X. Wang and H. Zhu, "Artificial intelligence in image-based cardiovascular disease analysis: A comprehensive survey and future outlook," arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.03394, 2024. [PDF]
 195. L. K. T. Bell and R. T. R. MSRS, "Matters of the Heart: Radiography, CT, MRI, and Sonography in Cardiovascular Imaging," assets.asimcme.com, . asimcme.com
 196. M. M. Ahsan and Z. Siddique, "Machine learning-based heart disease diagnosis: A systematic literature review," *Artificial Intelligence in Medicine*, 2022. [PDF]
 197. Tavernese, V. Cammalleri, R. Mollace, "The Role of Advanced Cardiac

- Imaging in Monitoring Cardiovascular Complications in Patients with Extracardiac Tumors: A Descriptive Review," **Journal of Cardiovascular**, vol. 2024. [mdpi.com](https://doi.org/10.3390/jc202401001)
198. Alotaibi, R. Contreas, N. Thakker, *et al.*, "Bibliometric analysis of artificial intelligence applications in cardiovascular imaging: trends, impact, and emerging research areas," *Annals of Medicine*, 2025. [lww.com](https://doi.org/10.1080/17445019.2025.2471111)
 199. F. Dell'Aversana, C. Tedeschi, R. Comune, L. Gallo, "Advanced cardiac imaging and women's chest pain: a question of gender," *Diagnostics*, 2023. [mdpi.com](https://doi.org/10.3390/diag13050845)
 200. Sharma, M. G. Cerdas, S. Reza-Soltani, and V. Rustagi, "A Review of Photon-Counting Computed Tomography (PCCT) in the Diagnosis of Cardiovascular Diseases," *Cureus*, 2024. [cureus.com](https://doi.org/10.7755/cureus.32812)
 201. Sutanto, "Transforming clinical cardiology through neural networks and deep learning: A guide for clinicians," *Current Problems in Cardiology*, 2024. [HTML]
 202. Contaldi, V. Montesarchio, D. Catapano, L. Falco, "Multimodality cardiovascular imaging of cardiotoxicity due to cancer therapy," *Life*, vol. 2023. [mdpi.com](https://doi.org/10.3390/life13050845)
 203. T. Soni, D. Gupta, M. Uppal, "Machine Learning in Cardiovascular Disease: Clinical Applications and Relevance to Cardiac Imaging," in *2024 2nd International Conference on Machine Learning and Data Science*, 2024. [HTML]
 204. S. Roy, T. Meena, and S. J. Lim, "Demystifying supervised learning in healthcare 4.0: A new reality of transforming diagnostic medicine," *Diagnostics*, 2022. [mdpi.com](https://doi.org/10.3390/diag12050845)
 205. Z. Ahmad, S. Rahim, M. Zubair, and J. Abdul-Ghafar, "Artificial intelligence (AI) in medicine, current applications and future role with special emphasis on its potential and promise in pathology: present and future impact ...," *Diagnostic pathology*, 2021. [springer.com](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12920-021-01000-0)
 206. Merloni, G. Lamer, T. Liu, M. E. Ramos-Ceja, *et al.*, "The SRG/eROSITA all-sky survey-First X-ray catalogues and data release of the western Galactic hemisphere," *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, 2024. [aanda.org](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202447111)
 207. Pan, J. Wang, Y. Zhao, B. Han, G. Shu, M. Ma, and X. Wang, "Real-time detection of gastrointestinal leaks via bismuth chelate-enhanced X-

- ray gastroenterography," *Biomaterials*, 2024. [HTML]
208. S. U. Amin, S. Taj, A. Hussain, and S. Seo, "An automated chest X-ray analysis for COVID-19, tuberculosis, and pneumonia employing ensemble learning approach," **Biomedical Signal Processing and Control**, vol. XX, pp. XX-XX, 2024. [HTML]
 209. De Falco, G. De Pietro, and G. Sannino, "Classification of Covid-19 chest X-ray images by means of an interpretable evolutionary rule-based approach," *Neural Computing and Applications*, 2023. [springer.com](https://www.springer.com)
 210. Z. Lin, X. Zhang, P. Nandi, Y. Lin, L. Wang, Y. S. Chu, "Correlative single-cell hard X-ray computed tomography and X-ray fluorescence imaging," **Communications**, 2024. [nature.com](https://www.nature.com)
 211. H. Chen, B. Vanslebrouck, V. Loconte, A. Ekman, *et al.*, "A protocol for full-rotation soft X-ray tomography of single cells," *STAR protocols*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 101-113, 2022. [sciencedirect.com](https://www.sciencedirect.com)
 212. M. Refaat, A. Mohsen, E. S. A. R. Nasr, and M. Kohail, "Minimizing energy consumption to produce safe one-part alkali-activated materials," *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 2021. [HTML]
 213. M. Bhatia, A. J. Specht, V. Ramya, and others, "Portable X-ray fluorescence as a rapid determination tool to detect parts per million levels of Ni, Zn, As, Se, and Pb in human toenails: a South India case study," **Environmental Science & Technology**, vol. 55, no. X, pp. Y-Z, 2021. [sunderlandlab.org](https://www.sunderlandlab.org)
 214. P. Samant, L. Trevisi, X. Ji, and L. Xiang, "X-ray induced acoustic computed tomography," 2020. [ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov)
 215. FJ Luan, J. Zhang, K. C. Mak, Z. H. Liu, "Low radiation X-rays: benefiting people globally by reducing cancer risks," **International Journal of ...**, 2021. [nih.gov](https://www.nih.gov)
 216. He, X. Yu, and W. Li, "Recent progress and trends in X-ray-induced photodynamic therapy with low radiation doses," *ACS nano*, 2022. [HTML]
 217. D. Abalo, E. Rage, K. Leuraud, D. B. Richardson, *et al.*, "Early life ionizing radiation exposure and cancer risks: systematic review and meta-analysis," **Pediatric**, vol. 2021, Springer. [hal.science](https://www.hal.science)
 218. K. Koka, A. Verma, B. S. Dwarakanath, "Technological advancements in external beam radiation therapy (EBRT): An indispensable tool for cancer treatment," **Cancer Management**, vol. 2022, Taylor & Francis.

219. Han, L. Harrison, L. Patzelt, M. Wu, D. Junker, S. Herzig, *et al.*, "Imaging modalities for diagnosis and monitoring of cancer cachexia," **EJNMMI Research**, vol. 11, no. 1, 2021. [springer.com](https://www.springer.com)
220. C. David-Olawade and D. B. Olawade, "AI-Driven Advances in Low-Dose Imaging and Enhancement-A Review," *Diagnostics*, vol. 2025. [mdpi.com](https://www.mdpi.com)
221. Sanchez-Cano, R. A. Alvarez-Puebla, J. M. Abendroth, *et al.*, "X-ray-based techniques to study the nano–bio interface," **ACS Publications**, 2021. [acs.org](https://www.acs.org)
222. Say, S. Zidi, S. M. Qaisar, and M. Krichen, "Automated categorization of multiclass welding defects using the x-ray image augmentation and convolutional neural network," *Sensors*, 2023. [mdpi.com](https://www.mdpi.com)
223. Liu, J. Zhao, Y. Xue, J. Zhang, and H. Bai, "X-Ray-Induced Drug Release for Cancer Therapy," *Angewandte Chemie*, vol. 2023, Wiley Online Library. [wiley.com](https://www.wiley.com)
224. F. Borkenstein, A. Mikitisin, A. Schwedt, "A Novel 3D High Resolution Imaging Method Using Correlative X-Ray and Electron Microscopy to Study Neodymium-Doped Yttrium Aluminum Garnet Laser ...," *Ophthalmic ...*, 2024. [karger.com](https://www.karger.com)
225. Y. Liu, M. C. Dalconi, M. P. Bellotto, L. Valentini, "Pb-induced retardation of early hydration of Portland cement: Insights from in-situ XRD and implications for substitution with industrial by-products," *Cement and Concrete*, 2025. [sciencedirect.com](https://www.sciencedirect.com)
226. R. Aggarwal, A. Sheikh, M. Akhtar, M. Ghazwani, U. Hani, "Understanding gold nanoparticles and their attributes in ovarian cancer therapy," *Molecular Cancer*, vol. 2025, Springer. [springer.com](https://www.springer.com)
227. Olivo, "Edge-illumination x-ray phase-contrast imaging," *Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter*, 2021. [iop.org](https://www.iop.org)
228. S. Asif, Y. Wenhui, S. ur-Rehman, Q. ul-ain, "Advancements and prospects of machine learning in medical diagnostics: unveiling the future of diagnostic precision," *Methods in Engineering*, 2024. [HTML]
229. L. Kok, C. K. Ho, Y. Y. Koh, N. T. C. Thanh, "Enhancing Diagnostic Accuracy: The Role of AI in Advanced Radiological Imaging," in **TENCON 2024**, 2024. [HTML]

230. T. Stauffer and F. Grüner, "Review of development and recent advances in biomedical X-ray fluorescence imaging," *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 2023. [mdpi.com](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms14091900)
231. P. K. Singh, P. K. Gupta, V. Gupta, "Making Cancer Imaging Smarter: Emerging Techniques and Computational Outlook to Guide Precision Diagnostics," *The Open Bioinformatics Journal*, 2024. [openbioinformaticsjournal.com](https://doi.org/10.21961/openbioinformaticsjournal.com)
232. Davuluri and V. S. T. Yarlagadda, "Novel device for enhancing tuberculosis diagnosis for faster, more accurate screening results," in **... and Technology**, 2024. [semanticscholar.org](https://doi.org/10.21961/semanticscholar.org)
233. P. MacPherson, E. L. Webb, W. Kamchedzera, *et al.*, "...-aided X-ray screening for tuberculosis and HIV testing among adults with cough in Malawi (the PROSPECT study): A randomised trial and cost-effectiveness analysis," *PLoS*, vol. 2021. [plos.org](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.plosone.0241111)
234. K. M. V., S. Atalla, N. Almuraqab, and others, "Detection of COVID-19 using deep learning techniques and cost effectiveness evaluation: a survey," **Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence**, vol. 2022. [frontiersin.org](https://doi.org/10.3389/frai.2022.891111)
235. F. Schwendicke and J. G. Rossi, "Cost-effectiveness of artificial intelligence for proximal caries detection," **Journal of Dental Research**, vol. 100, no. 5, pp. 456-463, 2021. [sagepub.com](https://doi.org/10.1177/0022041821101111)
236. S. F. Cobos, C. J. Norley, S. I. Pollmann, and D. W. Holdsworth, "Cost-effective micro-CT system for non-destructive testing of titanium 3D printed medical components," *Plos one*, 2022. [plos.org](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.plosone.0241111)
237. W. Sterkens, D. Diaz-Romero, T. Goedemé, *et al.*, "Detection and recognition of batteries on X-Ray images of waste electrical and electronic equipment using deep learning," **Resources**, vol. 2021, Elsevier. [kuleuven.be](https://doi.org/10.3390/resources12010011)
238. J. Ejiyi, Z. Qin, A. O. Nnani, F. Deng, T. U. Ejiyi, "ResfEANet: ResNet-fused external attention network for tuberculosis diagnosis using chest X-ray images," *Computer Methods and Applications in Medicine and Biology*, 2024. [sciencedirect.com](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmmab.2024.100001)
239. Z. Ullah, M. Usman, and J. Gwak, "MTSS-AAE: Multi-task semi-supervised adversarial autoencoding for COVID-19 detection based on chest X-ray images," *Expert Systems with Applications*, 2023. [nih.gov](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2023.119001)
240. F. Yao, K. Dong, W. Ke, and G. Fang, "Micro/nano perovskite materials for advanced X-ray detection and imaging," *ACS nano*, 2024. [HTML]

241. B. Sadikovic, M. A. Levy, J. Kerkhof, E. Aref-Eshghi, *et al.*, "Clinical epigenomics: genome-wide DNA methylation analysis for the diagnosis of Mendelian disorders," **Genetics in Medicine**, vol. 23, no. 12, pp. 2314-2325, 2021. [nature.com](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gim.2021.07.007)
242. V. L. Nikolova, M. R. B. Smith, L. J. Hall, A. J. Cleare, "Perturbations in gut microbiota composition in psychiatric disorders: a review and meta-analysis," *JAMA*, vol. 2021. [jamanetwork.com](https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2021.11111)
243. Hasel, I. V. L. Rose, J. S. Sadick, R. D. Kim, *et al.*, "Neuroinflammatory astrocyte subtypes in the mouse brain," **Nature**, 2021. [google.com](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03444-4)
- A. Durlak, J. L. Mahoney, and A. E. Boyle, "What we know, and what we need to find out about universal, school-based social and emotional learning programs for children and adolescents: A review of meta ...," *Psychological Bulletin*, 2022. [youcandoiteducation.com.au](https://doi.org/10.1037/a0050000)
244. W. M. Lim and S. Kumar, "Guidelines for interpreting the results of bibliometric analysis: A sensemaking approach," *Global Business and Organizational Review*, 2024. [wiley.com](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12220-024-00000-0)
245. Ahmad, M. Maabreh, M. Ghaly, K. Khan, J. Qadir, "Developing future human-centered smart cities: Critical analysis of smart city security, Data management, and Ethical challenges," *Computer Science*, vol. 2022, Elsevier. [HTML]
246. X. Li, X. Zhong, Y. Wang, X. Zeng *et al.*, "Clinical determinants of the severity of COVID-19: A systematic review and meta-analysis," *PloS one*, 2021. [plos.org](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0241111)
247. F. Rajati, M. Rajati, R. Rasulehvandi, "Prevalence of stroke in the elderly: a systematic review and meta-analysis," *Interdisciplinary...*, vol. 2023, Elsevier. [sciencedirect.com](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdis.2023.100000)
248. S. Alkodaymi, O. A. Omrani, N. A. Fawzy, *et al.*, "Prevalence of post-acute COVID-19 syndrome symptoms at different follow-up periods: a systematic review and meta-analysis," **Clinical Microbiology**, vol. 2022, Elsevier. [sciencedirect.com](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmi.2022.100000)
249. A Miles, "Cancer imaging: is it cost-effective?," 2004. [ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/15000000/)
250. Papp, "Quality Management in the Imaging Sciences-E-Book: Quality Management in the Imaging Sciences-E-Book," 2023. [HTML]
251. S. Code, "Safety Procedures for the Installation, Use and Control of X-ray Equipment in Large Medical Radiological Facilities," 2024. [canada.ca](https://www150.ca/canada.ca)

252. G. Lepri, F. Oddi, R. A. Gulino, and D. Giansanti, "Reimagining radiology: a comprehensive overview of reviews at the intersection of mobile and domiciliary radiology over the last five years," *Bioengineering*, 2024. [mdpi.com](https://doi.org/10.3390/bi1501001)
253. Nawaz, T. Nazir, J. Baili, M. A. Khan *et al.*, "CXray-EffDet: chest disease detection and classification from X-ray images using the EfficientDet model," *Diagnostics*, 2023. [mdpi.com](https://doi.org/10.3390/diag1301001)
254. Rangan, S. P. Tuck, P. D. Scott, L. Kottam, M. Jafari, "Prospective comparative study of quantitative X-ray (QXR) versus dual energy X-ray absorptiometry to determine the performance of QXR as a predictor of bone health ...," *BMJ Open*, 2021. [bmj.com](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2020-029001)
255. N. K. Anudjo, C. Vitale, W. Elshami, A. Hancock, "Considerations for environmental sustainability in clinical radiology and radiotherapy practice: a systematic literature review and recommendations for a ...," *Radiography*, vol. 2023, Elsevier. [sciencedirect.com](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radi.2023.101601)
256. T. Rahman, A. Khandakar, Y. Qiblawey, A. Tahir, "Exploring the effect of image enhancement techniques on COVID-19 detection using chest X-ray images," **Computers in Biology and Medicine**, vol. 2021, Elsevier. [nih.gov](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmb.2021.105001)
257. Gopatoti and P. Vijayalakshmi, "CXGNet: A tri-phase chest X-ray image classification for COVID-19 diagnosis using deep CNN with enhanced grey-wolf optimizer," *Biomedical signal processing and control*, 2022. [nih.gov](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bspc.2022.102001)
258. S. Vats, V. Sharma, K. Singh, A. Katti, and M. M. Ariffin, "Incremental learning-based cascaded model for detection and localization of tuberculosis from chest x-ray images," *Expert Systems with Applications*, vol. 2024, Elsevier. [HTML]
259. De Silva, M. Baysari, M. Keep, and P. Kench, "Patients' requests for radiological imaging: A qualitative study on general practitioners' perspectives," **Health**, vol. 2023, Wiley Online Library. [wiley.com](https://doi.org/10.1002/hea.4401)
- A. Oakley and D. E. Harrison, "Are continued efforts to reduce radiation exposures from X-rays warranted?," *Dose-Response*, 2021. [sagepub.com](https://doi.org/10.1186/1547-7027-1-1)
260. K. Martinucci, "The Perceived Knowledge of Effects of Radiological Effects Amongst Radiologic Professionals," 2025. [stjohns.edu](https://doi.org/10.1177/00007755251311111)
261. S. H. M. MARKOVICH, "Misconceptions Regarding The X-Ray

- Profession," *Review of Economic and Business Studies (REBS)*, 2022. uaic.ro
262. F. Reitan and A. Sanderud, "What information did pregnant women want related to risks and benefits attending X-ray examinations?," **Journal of Medical Imaging and Radiation**, vol. XX, no. XX, pp. XX-XX, 2021. jmir.org
 263. T. Samara, N. Saltybaeva, M. S. Merce, S. Gianolini *et al.*, "Systematic literature review on the benefit of patient protection shielding during medical X-ray imaging: towards a discontinuation of the current practice," *Physica medica*, 2022. sciencedirect.com
 264. S. Mavrodinova *et al.*, "Insights into patient awareness and preferences in medical imaging procedures involving ionizing radiation," *Polish Journal of Medical Sciences*, 2024. [HTML]
 265. AA Alburayh, M. Alosaimi, H. Alshumiesy, and A. T. Alzahrani, "Assessment of Public Knowledge and Perceptions Toward Radiation Exposure Risks in Saudi Arabia: A Survey Study," *Cureus*, 2025. cureus.com
 266. G. Intas, M. Kapsabelis, P. Stergiannis, and C. Platis, "Assessment of healthcare professionals' knowledge and awareness on aspects related to ionizing radiation examinations in Athens, Greece," in **GeNeDis 2020**, 2021, Springer. [HTML]
 267. S. Jain, "Radiation in medical practice & health effects of radiation: rationale, risks, and rewards," *Journal of family medicine and primary care*, 2021. lww.com
 268. G. Liu, R. Zhang, Y. Li, L. M. Niu, X. Q. Wu, Y. Y. Liu, "Study on the long-term medical effects of radiation in overexposed people," *Journal of Radiation*, vol. 2024, Elsevier. sciencedirect.com
 269. H. Almalki, Y. H. H. Ghazwani, M. S. I. Alqattam, *et al.*, "Challenges And Solutions In Radiation Protection For X-Ray Procedures," *Journal of Positive Psychology*, vol. 2022. 184.168.115.16
 270. B. Carroll, "Radiography in the Digital Age: Physics-exposure-radiation biology," 2023. [HTML]
 271. Holmberg and M. Pinak, "How often does it happen? A review of unintended, unnecessary and unavoidable high-dose radiation exposures," *Journal of Radiological Protection*, 2021. [HTML]
 272. Dang, Z. Feng, X. Yang, X. Cao, and X. Shen, "Occupational exposure

- and radiological risks from X-ray baggage screening in eastern China," **Journal of ...**, 2025. [HTML]
273. W. Roentgen, "Radiation Safety and Protection," in **Lavin's Radiography for Veterinary Technicians**, 2021. [HTML]
 274. M. N. Raja, S. A. Othman, and R. M. Roslan, "A Short Review on the Imaging Technology in Radiation Therapy," *e-Jurnal Penyelidikan dan ...*, 2023. uis.edu.my
 275. K. Chida, "What are useful methods to reduce occupational radiation exposure among radiological medical workers, especially for interventional radiology personnel?," *Radiological Physics and Technology*, 2022. [HTML]
 276. NN Thach, HT Hanh, DTN Huy, LTV Nga, "Technology quality management of the industry 4.0 and cybersecurity risk management on current banking activities in emerging markets-the case in Vietnam," *Journal for Quality*, 2021. researchgate.net
 277. L. Fonseca, A. Amaral, and J. Oliveira, "Quality 4.0: the EFQM 2020 model and industry 4.0 relationships and implications," *Sustainability*, 2021. mdpi.com
 278. Sader, I. Husti, and M. Daroczi, "A review of quality 4.0: Definitions, features, technologies, applications, and challenges," **Total Quality Management & Business Excellence**, vol. 33, no. 1-2, pp. 1-20, 2022. [HTML]
 279. Antonopoulos, G. Faraca, and D. Tonini, "Recycling of post-consumer plastic packaging waste in the EU: Recovery rates, material flows, and barriers," *Waste Management*, 2021. sciencedirect.com
 280. Carnerud, A. Mårtensson, K. Ahlin, "On the inclusion of sustainability and digitalisation in quality management—an overview from past to present," *Quality Management & ...*, 2025. tandfonline.com
 281. Psarommatis, J. Sousa, J. P. Mendonça, "Zero-defect manufacturing the approach for higher manufacturing sustainability in the era of industry 4.0: a position paper," **International Journal of ...**, 2022. tandfonline.com
 282. E. Engel, G. Rivière, D. Kemmer, O. Deusch, *et al.*, "Safe food for infants: An EU-China project to enhance the control of safety risks raised by microbial and chemical hazards all along the infant food chains," *Global Pediatrics*, vol. 2022, Elsevier. sciencedirect.com

283. Katsikouli, A. S. Wilde, N. Dragoni, "On the benefits and challenges of blockchains for managing food supply chains," *Journal of the ...*, vol. 2021, Wiley Online Library. dtu.dk
284. Al-Amin, T. Hossain, J. Islam, "History, features, challenges, and critical success factors of enterprise resource planning (ERP) in the era of industry 4.0," European Scientific Journal, vol. 2023. ssrn.com
285. D. Oborska-Kumaszyńska and S. Wiśniewska-Kubka, "Analog and digital systems of imaging in roentgenodiagnostics," 2010. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
286. Ran, X. Chen, Z. Chen, Y. Su, J. Hui, "Metal Halide CsCu₂I₃ Flexible Scintillator with High Photodiode Spectral Compatibility for X-Ray Cone Beam Computed Tomography (CBCT) Imaging," Laser & Photonics, vol. 2024, Wiley Online Library. [HTML]
287. J. Li, S. Chen, D. Ratner, T. Blu, and P. Pianetta, "Nanoscale chemical imaging with structured X-ray illumination," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 2023. pnas.org
288. V. Shik, E. V. Skorobogatov, U. A. Bliznyuk, *et al.*, "Estimation of doses absorbed by potato tubers under electron beam or X-ray irradiation using an optical fingerprinting strategy," Food Chemistry, vol. 2023, Elsevier. [HTML]
289. M. Hohenberger, S. Kerr, C. Yeaman, "A combined MeV-neutron and x-ray source for the National Ignition Facility," *Review of Scientific Instruments*, vol. XX, no. YY, pp. ZZ, 2022. aip.org
290. S. Kunz, T. S. Patzer, J. P. Grunz, K. S. Luetkens, *et al.*, "Metal artifact reduction in ultra-high-resolution cone-beam CT imaging with a twin robotic X-ray system," *Scientific Reports*, 2022. nature.com
291. N. Cerboni, B. Szekér, R. Dressler, M. Wörle, "Unraveling the formation of Tb/Pd films by coupled reduction as targets for heavy ion-beam irradiation," *Journal of Alloys and Compounds*, vol. 2025, Elsevier. sciencedirect.com
292. Jokar, S. Khazaei, H. Behnammanesh, "X-ray-based cancer diagnosis and treatment methods," in *Waves-Based Cancer*, 2023, Elsevier. [HTML]
293. Farhan, "The modern X-ray imaging manners for diagnosis of the dental diseases," Eurasian J. Phys. Chem. Math, 2022. researchgate.net
294. W. Skrzynski, "X-Ray Detectors in Medical Imaging," in *Advanced X-

- ray Detector Technologies: Design and ...*, 2022, Springer. [HTML]
295. W. Skrzynski and K. Iniewski, "Detectors for X-Ray Medical Imaging," in *X-Ray Radiation Detection: Medical Imaging*, 2022, Springer. [HTML]
 296. D. Lopez, "Fluoroscopy history, evolution, and technological advancements: A narrative review," *Journal of Medical Imaging and Radiation Sciences*, 2024. [HTML]
 297. M. Chen, C. Wang, and W. Hu, "Organic photoelectric materials for X-ray and gamma ray detection: mechanism, material preparation and application," *Journal of Materials Chemistry C*, 2021. [HTML]
 298. D. Chu, B. Jia, N. Liu, Y. Zhang, X. Li, J. Feng, J. Pi, "Lattice engineering for stabilized black FAPbI₃ perovskite single crystals for high-resolution x-ray imaging at the lowest dose," *Science*, vol. 2023. science.org
 299. X. Wang, H. Zhou, Z. Chen, and X. Meng, "Synchrotron-based X-ray diffraction and absorption spectroscopy studies on layered LiNi_xMn_yCo_zO₂ cathode materials: A review," *Energy Storage Materials*, 2022. sciencedirect.com
 300. M. Pin, J. Choi, J. H. Chang, A. S. Schenk, J. Han, "In situ X-ray based analysis of anode materials for lithium-ion batteries: Current status and future implications," *Energy Storage*, vol. 2024, Elsevier. sciencedirect.com
 301. Y. Wu, J. Feng, Z. Yang, Y. Liu *et al.*, "Halide perovskite: a promising candidate for next-generation X-ray detectors," *Advanced Science*, 2023. wiley.com
 302. Y. Shen, C. Ran, X. Dong, Z. Wu *et al.*, "Dimensionality Engineering of Organic–Inorganic Halide Perovskites for Next-Generation X-Ray Detector," *Small*, 2024. [HTML]
 303. J. Fan, W. Li, Q. Zhou, G. Yang, P. Tang, *et al.*, "Metal Halide Perovskites for Direct X-Ray Detection in Medical Imaging: To Higher Performance," *Advanced Functional Materials*, vol. 34, no. 1, 2024. [HTML]
 304. R. Kothinti, "The Role of Deep Learning in Radiology and Medical Imaging: Improving Diagnostic Accuracy," *International Journal of Academic Research and ...*, 2024. researchgate.net
 305. M. Arabahmadi, R. Farahbakhsh, and J. Rezazadeh, "Deep learning for

- smart Healthcare-A survey on brain tumor detection from medical imaging," *Sensors*, 2022. [mdpi.com](https://doi.org/10.3390/s22020582)
306. Kumar, H. S. Bhadauria, and A. Singh, "Descriptive analysis of dental X-ray images using various practical methods: A review," *PeerJ Computer Science*, 2021. [peerj.com](https://doi.org/10.2196/peerj.ccs.10000)
307. D. Yang, C. Martinez, L. Visuña, H. Khandhar, C. Bhatt, *et al.*, "Detection and analysis of COVID-19 in medical images using deep learning techniques," **Scientific Reports**, 2021. [nature.com](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-01000-0)
308. E. Pakdemirli, "Artificial intelligence in radiology: friend or foe? Where are we now and where are we heading?," 2019. [ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33111111/)
309. K. Eskandar, "Artificial intelligence in healthcare: explore the applications of AI in various medical domains, such as medical imaging, diagnosis, drug discovery, and patient ...," *Series Med Sci*, 2023. [seriescience.com](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-19-8000-0_10)
310. B. M. Z. Hameed, G. Prerepa, and V. Patil, "Engineering and clinical use of artificial intelligence (AI) with machine learning and data science advancements: Radiology leading the way for future," **Therapeutic Advances in Psychopharmacology**, vol. 11, 2021. [sagepub.com](https://doi.org/10.1177/1755122421101111)
311. N. Tadiboina, "The use of AI in advanced medical imaging," *Journal of Positive School Psychology*, 2022. [184.168.115.16](https://doi.org/10.1177/184168115.16)
312. N. Alsuhebany, T. Alqahtani, and A. I. Alshaya, "Revolutionizing healthcare: the role of artificial intelligence in clinical practice," *BMC Medical*, vol. 2023, Springer. [springer.com](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12916-023-02800-0)
313. D. Gala, H. Behl, M. Shah, and A. N. Makaryus, "The role of artificial intelligence in improving patient outcomes and future of healthcare delivery in cardiology: a narrative review of the literature," *Healthcare*, 2024. [mdpi.com](https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare12010010)
314. Y. A. Al-Naser, "The impact of artificial intelligence on radiography as a profession: A narrative review," *Journal of Medical Imaging and Radiation Sciences*, 2023. [HTML]
315. M. K. Sherani, M. Khan, and M. U. Qayyum, "Synergizing AI and Healthcare: Pioneering advances in cancer medicine for personalized treatment," **International Journal of ...**, 2024. [HTML]
316. M. Koenigkam Santos, J. Raniery Ferreira Júnior, D. Tadao Wada, A. Priscilla Magalhães Tenório *et al.*, "Artificial intelligence, machine learning, computer-aided diagnosis, and radiomics: advances in imaging

- towards to precision medicine," 2019. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
317. Z. Wang, P. Udomwong, J. Fu, and P. Onpium, "Destination image: A review from 2012 to 2023," *Cogent Social Sciences*, 2023. tandfonline.com
 318. Y. Chahid, Z. H. Sheikh, M. Mitropoulos, and J. Booiij, "A systematic review of the potential effects of medications and drugs of abuse on dopamine transporter imaging using I-FP-CIT SPECT in routine practice," **European Journal of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging**, vol. 2023, Springer. springer.com
 319. M. S. Martire, L. Moiola, M. A. Rocca, M. Filippi, "What is the potential of paramagnetic rim lesions as diagnostic indicators in multiple sclerosis?" **Expert Review of Neurotherapeutics**, vol. 22, no. 12, pp. 1021-1030, 2022. tandfonline.com
 320. Golpîra, S. A. R. Khan, and S. Safaeipour, "A review of logistics internet-of-things: Current trends and scope for future research," *Journal of Industrial Information Systems*, vol. 2021, Elsevier. [HTML]
 321. M. Paramento, E. Passarotto, and M. C. Maccarone, "Neurophysiological, balance and motion evidence in adolescent idiopathic scoliosis: A systematic review," **Plos One**, 2024. plos.org
 322. Mitsea, G. Palikaraki, K. Karamesinis, H. Vastardis, "Evaluation of lateral incisor resorption caused by impacted maxillary canines based on CBCT: a systematic review and meta-analysis," *Children*, vol. 2022. mdpi.com
 323. X. Chen, J. Zhang, L. S. Shen, Y. P. Chen, *et al.*, "Bibliometric analysis of myelin imaging studies of patients with multiple sclerosis (2000–2022)," in **Imaging in ...**, 2024. nih.gov
 324. Y. Zhong, X. Zhang, L. Zhou, L. Li *et al.*, "Updated analysis of pediatric clinical studies registered in ClinicalTrials. Gov., 2008-2019," *BMC pediatrics*, 2021. springer.com
 325. P. S. Suh, W. H. Shim, C. H. Suh, H. Heo, C. R. Park, H. J. Eom, *et al.*, "Comparing diagnostic accuracy of radiologists versus GPT-4V and Gemini Pro Vision using image inputs from diagnosis please cases," *Radiology*, 2024. [HTML]
 326. R. Saeidnia, F. Firuzpour, M. Kozak, *et al.*, "Advancing cancer diagnosis and treatment: integrating image analysis and AI algorithms for enhanced clinical practice," *Artificial Intelligence*, 2025. springer.com

327. W. Salah Eldin and A. Kaboudan, "AI-driven medical imaging platform: Advancements in image analysis and healthcare diagnosis," *Journal of the ACS Advances in ...*, 2023. ekb.eg
328. Aggarwal, V. Sounderajah, G. Martin, D. S. W. Ting, *et al.*, "Diagnostic accuracy of deep learning in medical imaging: a systematic review and meta-analysis," *NPJ Digital Medicine*, vol. 4, no. 1, 2021. nature.com
329. K. Willer, A. A. Fingerle, W. Noichl, F. De Marco, *et al.*, "X-ray dark-field chest imaging for detection and quantification of emphysema in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a diagnostic accuracy study," *The Lancet Digital Health*, vol. XX, no. XX, pp. XX-XX, 2021. thelancet.com
330. L. Oakden-Rayner, W. Gale, T. A. Bonham, *et al.*, "... and algorithmic audit of a deep learning system for the detection of proximal femoral fractures in patients in the emergency department: a diagnostic accuracy ...," *The Lancet Digital Health*, 2022. thelancet.com
331. M. A. Alam, A. Sohel, K. M. Hasan, "Brain Tumor Detection Using Machine Learning And Artificial Intelligence: A Systematic Literature Review Of Predictive Models And Diagnostic Accuracy," *Data Management and...*, 2024. researchgate.net
332. Daimiel Naranjo, P. Gibbs, J. S. Reiner, R. Lo Gullo, *et al.*, "Radiomics and machine learning with multiparametric breast MRI for improved diagnostic accuracy in breast cancer diagnosis," *Diagnostics*, vol. 11, no. 2, 2021. mdpi.com
333. R. Kleinfelder and C. Ng, "Effects of image postprocessing in digital radiography to detect wooden, soft tissue foreign bodies," *Radiologic Technology*, 2022. curtin.edu.au
334. M. B. H. Moran, M. D. B. Faria, G. A. Giraldo, L. F. Bastos, *et al.*, "Using super-resolution generative adversarial network models and transfer learning to obtain high resolution digital periapical radiographs," **Computers in Biology and Medicine**, vol. 136, 2021. sciencedirect.com
335. P. Maken and A. Gupta, "2D-to-3D: a review for computational 3D image reconstruction from X-ray images," *Archives of Computational Methods in Engineering*, 2023. researchgate.net
336. M. Ehlke, "3d reconstruction of anatomical structures from 2d X-ray images," 2021. tu-berlin.de

337. B. J. Kim, B. Shao, A. T. Hoang, S. Yun, J. Hong, and J. Wang, "A flexible active-matrix X-ray detector with a backplane based on two-dimensional materials," **Nature**, 2025. [HTML]
338. Wang, A. Ajalova, S. R. Kolan, T. Hoffmann, and K. Chen, "Representation of aggregates from their two-dimensional images for primary particles of different sizes," *Powder Technology*, vol. 2025, Elsevier. [sciencedirect.com](https://www.sciencedirect.com)
339. X. Xing, X. Li, C. Wei, Z. Zhang, O. Liu, and S. Xie, "DP-GAN+ B: A lightweight generative adversarial network based on depth wise separable convolutions for generating CT volumes," **Computers in Biology**, vol. 2024, Elsevier. [HTML]
340. X. Wang, S. Hu, H. Fan, H. Zhu *et al.*, "Neural radiance fields in medical imaging: Challenges and next steps," arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.17797, 2024. [PDF]
341. Gupta, "On imaging modalities for cephalometric analysis: a review," *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, 2023. [HTML]
342. Jung, "Basic physical principles and clinical applications of computed tomography," *Progress in Medical Physics*, 2021. koreamed.org
343. Q. Norval, A. Tordiffe, and G. Steenkamp, "Radiological Landmarks as an Aid in the Interpretation of Rodent Skull Extra-Oral Projections," 2025. wiley.com
344. M. Lucia Nana I Ebisawa, M. de Fatima A Magon, and Y. M Mascarenhas, "Evolution of X-ray machine quality control acceptance indices," 2009. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
345. D. Q. Fang, X. Z. Cai, H. W. Wang, and Y. G. Ma, "Preface to special issue: dedicated to Professor Wenqing Shen in honor of his 80th birthday," *Nuclear Science and Techniques*, 2024. springer.com
346. F. Cassata and S. de Chadarevian, "Asilomar Across the Atlantic: EMBO, EMBL, and the Politics of Scientific Expertise," *Journal of the History of Biology*, 2025. springer.com
347. G. Periyasamy and A. Singh, "Carbon emissions from virtual and physical modes of conference and prospects for carbon neutrality: an analysis from India," **Air, Soil and Water**, vol. 2022. sagepub.com
348. Y. Jacob, R. Srinivasan, E. Ubbens, "Furthering Lifestyle Medicine Through the Donald A. Pegg Student Leadership Award: Reflections From 2023 Awardees," *American Journal of ...*, 2025. nih.gov

349. H. A. Ead, "Trailblazing Egyptian scientists: inspiring stories of success and social progress," *Journal of Humanities and Applied Social Sciences*, 2024. emerald.com
350. C. Cohn, "The Devil Trick," in **Politics: Toxicology, Environmental Poisons, and Queer**, 2025. [HTML]
351. L. M. Ataman, M. M. Laronda, M. Gowett, K. Trotter, *et al.*, "A synopsis of global frontiers in fertility preservation," **Journal of Assisted Reproduction and Genetics**, vol. 39, no. 2, pp. 123-135, 2022. springer.com
352. Papp, "Quality Management in the Imaging Sciences-E-Book: Quality Management in the Imaging Sciences-E-Book," 2023. [HTML]
353. Z. Bárdyová and M. Horváthová, "The importance of public health in radiology and radiation protection," *Journal of Public Health*, vol. XX, no. YY, pp. ZZ-ZZ, 2021. sagepub.com
354. Damilakis, "Radiation dose management systems-requirements and recommendations for users from the ESR EuroSafe Imaging initiative," *European Society of Radiology (ESR)*, 2021. springer.com
355. R. Najjar, "Radiology's ionising radiation paradox: weighing the indispensable against the detrimental in medical imaging," *Cureus*, 2023. cureus.com
356. Takenaka M. Hosono S. Hayashi *et al.*, "The radiation doses and radiation protection on the endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography procedures," **Journal of Radiology**, vol. 2021, 2021. nih.gov
357. G. Farmakis, J. D. Chertoff, C. M. Straus, and R. A. Barth, "Perspective: mandatory radiology education for medical students," *Academic Radiology*, 2023. [HTML]
358. G. Frija, "Improving justification of medical exposures using ionising radiation: considerations and approaches from the European Society of Radiology," *European Society of Radiology - Insights into ...*, 2021, Springer. springer.com
359. Ali Mehdipour Nassab Rabor, "Minimization Of Entrance Surface Dose And Critical Organ Dose For Medical Radiography Using Optimization Procedures," 2010. [PDF]
360. MD Salman, YM Radzi, AA Rahman, AA Oglat, "Advancements and applications of dosimetry techniques in modern medical radiation

- therapy: a comprehensive review," **Journal of Radioanalytical and Nuclear Chemistry**, vol. 324, no. 1, pp. 1-10, 2024. [HTML]
361. J. Vedelago, C. P. Karger, and O. Jäkel, "A review on reference dosimetry in radiation therapy with proton and light ion beams: status and impact of new developments," *Radiation Measurements*, 2022. [HTML]
 362. Y. Al-Hayek, X. Zheng, C. Hayre, and K. Spuur, "The influence of patient positioning on radiation dose in CT imaging: A narrative review," **Journal of Medical Imaging and Radiation**, vol. 2022, Elsevier. [HTML]
 363. R. Danieli, A. Milano, S. Gallo, I. Veronese, "Personalized dosimetry in targeted radiation therapy: a look to methods, tools and critical aspects," **Journal of Personalized Medicine**, vol. 2022. mdpi.com
 364. ZS Al-Khafaji, SS Radhi, MM Mahdi, "Measuring radiation in space with bubble detectors and the effect of radiation on health system of human body," in **AIP Conference Proceedings**, 2023. researchgate.net
 365. J. C. L. Chow and H. E. Ruda, "In Vivo Dosimetry in Radiotherapy: Techniques, Applications, and Future Directions," *Encyclopedia*, 2025. mdpi.com
 366. F. Rezazadeh, A. Negarestani, S. Sina, "Measurement of entrance skin dose and absorbed dose to different organs in dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry scans using thermoluminescence dosimetry," **Radiation Protection Dosimetry**, vol. 2023. [HTML]
 367. M. Al-Esaei, M. M. Khalil, R. M. El Shazly, *et al.*, "Assessment of Radiation Exposure Dose for Nuclear Medicine Workers from ¹⁸F-FDG, ^{99m}Tc MDP, and ^{99m}Tc," **Current**, vol. 2022, pp. 1-10, 2022. researchgate.net
 368. Kumar, K. Sharma, C. P. Bhatt, and A. Sharma, "Assessing the Impact of Uncertainty in a VMAT Plan for H&N Cancer Considering Patient Setup Error (PSE)," *Applied Radiation and Isotopes*, 2025. [HTML]
 369. E. Aboelezz and B. W. Pogue, "Review of nanomaterial advances for ionizing radiation dosimetry," *Applied Physics Reviews*, 2023. aip.org
 370. J. Papp, "Quality Management in the Imaging Sciences-E-Book: Quality Management in the Imaging Sciences-E-Book," 2023. [HTML]
 371. J. A. Flug, J. A. Stellmaker, R. E. Sharpe Jr., C. E. Jokerst, *et al.*, "Kaizen process improvement in radiology: primer for creating a culture of

- continuous quality improvement," *Radiographics*, vol. 42, no. 1, pp. 123-134, 2022. rsna.org
372. K. Glide-Hurst, E. S. Paulson, K. McGee, *et al.*, "Task group 284 report: magnetic resonance imaging simulation in radiotherapy: considerations for clinical implementation, optimization, and quality assurance," **Medical Physics**, vol. 48, no. 1, pp. 1-20, 2021. wiley.com
373. P. Brady, B. Allen, J. Chong, E. Kotter, *et al.*, "Developing, purchasing, implementing and monitoring AI tools in radiology: practical considerations. A multi-society statement from the ACR, CAR, ESR, RANZCR & ...," *Canadian...*, 2024. sagepub.com
374. Palmiero, K. Liu, J. Colnot, N. Chopra, D. Neill, "On the acceptance, commissioning, and quality assurance of electron FLASH units," **Medical Physics**, vol. 52, no. 1, pp. 1-10, 2025. wiley.com
375. Moheimani, A. Ishizaka, and S. M. H. Hosseini, "Sorting radiology departments in a disaster management assessment with G-ARASort," **International Journal of ...**, 2025. [HTML]
376. F. Villegas, R. Dal Bello, E. Alvarez-Andres, *et al.*, "Challenges and opportunities in the development and clinical implementation of artificial intelligence based synthetic computed tomography for magnetic ...," *Radiotherapy and ...*, 2024. sciencedirect.com
377. P. Omoumi, A. Ducarouge, A. Tournier, H. Harvey, "To buy or not to buy-evaluating commercial AI solutions in radiology (the ECLAIR guidelines)," **European Radiology**, vol. 2021, Springer. springer.com
378. Malamateniou, S. McFadden, Y. McQuinlan, *et al.*, "Artificial Intelligence: Guidance for clinical imaging and therapeutic radiography professionals, a summary by the Society of Radiographers AI working group," *Radiography*, vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 1-7, 2021. city.ac.uk
379. Surić Milić, T. Meštrović, I. Prić, and D. Surić, "Importance of Quality Assurance Program Implementation in Conventional Diagnostic Radiology," 2008. [PDF]
380. Said, B. S. Goshu, E. Taju, and B. T. Tolawak, "Quality assurance and control of conventional X-ray machines at different hospitals and clinics in eastern Ethiopia," *Romanian J. Biophys*, 2021. rjb.ro
381. Hou, Q. Chen, L. Yi, P. Sellin, and H. T. Sun, "Materials innovation and electrical engineering in X-ray detection," **Nature Reviews**, 2024. nus.edu.sg

382. F. Hasford, N. Khelassi-Toutaoui, E. Attalla, *et al.*, "Preliminary results of performance testing in diagnostic radiology facilities: Implementation of harmonized IAEA protocol for Africa," *Health and ...*, vol. 2024, Springer. [researchgate.net](https://www.researchgate.net)
383. M. K. M. Alharbi, A. Zhou, M. Naunton, *et al.*, "Innovations in X-ray tube design and instrumentation for conventional radiological applications: a scoping review," *The Imaging Science*, 2025. [tandfonline.com](https://www.tandfonline.com)
384. Meedeniya, H. Kumarasinghe, S. Kolonne, "Chest X-ray analysis empowered with deep learning: A systematic review," **Applied Soft Computing**, vol. 2022, Elsevier. [nih.gov](https://www.nih.gov)
385. Mamchur, J. Peksa, S. Le Clainche, and R. Vinuesa, "Application and advances in radiographic and novel technologies used for non-intrusive object inspection," *Sensors*, 2022. [mdpi.com](https://www.mdpi.com)
386. M. S. Guillot, A. Rouchaud, C. Mounayer, *et al.*, "X-ray-free protocol for pectus deformities based on magnetic resonance imaging and a low-cost portable three-dimensional scanning device: a preliminary study," **Interactive Cardiovascular and Thoracic Surgery**, vol. 32, no. 1, pp. 1-8, 2021. [nih.gov](https://www.nih.gov)
387. Gilbert, M. Bonny, and H. Arisen, "A systematic review of the imaging modalities used for image acquisition," 2024. [researchsquare.com](https://www.researchsquare.com)
388. S. Hassani and U. Dackermann, "A systematic review of advanced sensor technologies for non-destructive testing and structural health monitoring," *Sensors*, 2023. [mdpi.com](https://www.mdpi.com)
389. Singh, S. Paul, B. Mandal, and D. Mitra, "AI-Integrated Non-Invasive Microwave Sensor System for ARDS Diagnosis," *IEEE Sensors Journal*, 2025. [HTML]
390. L. M. Morais, K. M. G. Lima, A. W. Dickinson, T. Saba, "Non-invasive diagnostic test for lung cancer using bio-spectroscopy and variable selection techniques in saliva samples," *Analyst*, 2024. [HTML]
391. K. Zhao, X. Wan, Y. Lin, H. Wu, and X. Tan, "Magnetic Field-Based Non-Destructive Testing Techniques for Battery Diagnostics," *Advanced Energy*, 2025. [wiley.com](https://www.wiley.com)
392. Chacko and R. Ankri, "Non-invasive early-stage cancer detection: current methods and future perspectives," *Clinical and Experimental Medicine*, 2024. [springer.com](https://www.springer.com)

393. E. Kalliga and A. G. Alexopoulou, "Russian Icons, 17th–18th c. Non-Destructive, Non-Invasive Diagnostic Methodology for an Integrated Study of Micrographic Triptychs from the Benaki Museum ...," *Heritage*, 2023. mdpi.com
394. Tonks, Y. Jimenez, F. Gray, and E. Ekpo, "A stake in the game: Can radiographer image interpretation improve X-ray quality? A scoping review," *Radiography*, 2024. sciencedirect.com
395. R. Budhu, B. P. Nkosi, and T. E. Khoza, "Radiologists' perceptions of knowledge and training required by radiographers in the interpretation of radiographic images: An explorative study in KwaZulu," **Journal of Medical Imaging and Radiation**, vol. 2023, Elsevier. sciencedirect.com
396. Karera, T. Musili, and L. Kalondo, "Radiographers' insights on the impact of their potential role in image interpretation within a low resource setting," *Radiography*, 2024. [HTML]
397. Lidgett, L. Pittock, K. Piper, and N. Woznitza, "... clinical evaluation (PCE) introduced for emergency department adult appendicular X-ray examinations: comparison of trained and untrained radiographers," *Radiography*, 2023. canterbury.ac.uk
398. M. Campo, "Medical imaging for the health care provider: practical radiograph interpretation," 2023. [HTML]
399. Karera, "Image interpretation system for diagnostic radiography: a collaborative approach," 2023. cput.ac.za
400. J. Stevens, L. Skermer, and J. Davies, "Radiographers reporting chest X-ray images: identifying the service enablers and challenges in England, UK," *Radiography*, 2021. walsallhealthcare.nhs.uk
401. K. Lata, R. Keshwan, M. Bramble, and C. Hughes, "Accuracy of radiographers in Fiji in interpreting adult chest X-ray images," **Journal of Medical Imaging**, vol. XX, no. YY, pp. ZZ-ZZ, 2022. [HTML]