Advances in Bioprinting for Personalized Medicine

Current Trends and Future Directions

Editors

Sara Ali Hussein
Biomedical Engineering Department, College of Engineering, University of Thi-Qar, Thi-Qar, Iraq

Duha Mahmood Abass Maklef
Biomedical Engineering Department, Al-Khwarizmi College, University of Baghdad Baghdad, Iraq

Baraa Mahdi Salih
Biomedical Engineering Department, College of Engineering, University of Thi-Qar, Thi-Qar, Iraq

Rania Mharb Fuad Mahdi
Biomedical Engineering Department, College of Engineering, University of Babylon, Babil, Iraq

Mohammed Abdulkarim Razouki
Biomedical Engineering Department, College of Engineering and Technologies, Al-Mustaqbal University Babil, Iraq

Bright Sky Publications ®
New Delhi

Published By: *Bright Sky Publications*

Bright Sky Publication
Office No. 3, 1st Floor,
Pocket - H34, SEC-3,
Rohini, Delhi, 110085, India

Editors: *Sara Ali Hussein, Duha Mahmood Abass Maklef, Baraa Mahdi Salih, Rania Mharb Fuad Mahdi and Mohammed Abdulkarim Razouki*

Edition: *1st*

Publication Year: *2025*

Pages: *83*

Paperback ISBN: *978-93-6233-914-0*

E-Book ISBN: *978-93-6233-357-5*

DOI: *https://doi.org/10.62906/bs.book.272*

Price: *₹ 485/-*

Abstract

What is personalized medicine?

One promising technology for the future effective development and distribution of personalized therapies is 3D bioprinting. 3D bioprinting involves the layer-by-layer positioning of multiple biomaterials, incorporating living cells. Each deposited layer is cured on the printing platform together with previously cured layers until a biologically functional 3D structure is obtained. Bioprinting is highly versatile and can fabricate a broad range of tissue constructs, mimicking the anatomy and biology of native tissue. In bioprinting, the native tissue structure can be replicated by fusing an accurate model of the tissue with a cell-laden biomaterial ink, facilitating the development of anatomically precise tissue constructs. Such constructs can be assimilated into the patient's diseased tissue, promoting the recovery of native cellular orientation, homeostasis, and functionality. Bioprinting is an emerging concept that can synergize with diagnostic imaging technologies to deliver inspiration and analysis. Bioprinting technologies can also facilitate creation of 3D scaffold models of anatomical sites that require restoration or biological models to evaluate the bioprinting protocol. BioCAD tools are available for surgical planning, pharmacological therapy and validation with virtual simulations of patient-specific multi-physiological platforms. Bioprinting is highly complex and requires functional parts to build the 3D product. Bioprinters must work with quick response times and smooth transitions, like multi-channel print-heads and temperature layers, to layer discrete materials that vary from cold polymers to warm hydrogels or ceramics. Light-based bioprinters are suitable for small-scale applications like a mini-stent or complex aortic arch replacement. Large-scale bioprinters are capable of fabricating life-sized tissue constructs, e.g. a blood vessel or obstructed airway; however, at a constrictive resolution, like the airway lumen being larger than 2.0 μm in diameter.

Contents

Chapter - 1

Introduction

3D printing is widely recognized as a groundbreaking and highly innovative process that allows for the fabrication of a remarkably diverse and extensive array of objects through an exceptionally precise and meticulous deposition of various materials. This remarkable and transformative process is conducted in a highly methodical and structured layer-by-layer fashion directly from their sophisticated and complex digital designs, and it carries within it immense and transformative potential that cannot be overstated. This potential is significant and such that it could absolutely revolutionize not only the medical sector, but also simultaneously and profoundly impact every single industry it intersects with in diverse and unexpected ways. Bioprinting, a specialized and increasingly essential branch of 3D printing, has been specifically adapted to work with delicate biological materials, which are often referred to as bioinks, in addition to complex and diverse structures that are biological in nature, including tissues and organs. This truly remarkable technique enables the production of intricate cell-laden constructs that originate from a straightforward and easily manageable digital file, dramatically simplifying the fabrication process. By harnessing and applying this groundbreaking technology, researchers along with medical professionals can meticulously produce constructs while taking into serious consideration a multitude of critical factors that are essential for success. These factors include crucial elements such as cell type, the precise configuration of the extracellular matrix, optimal nutrient density, scaffold architecture, along with an expansive and diverse array of other significant parameters that are absolutely vital to the success of bioprinting processes. Consequently, bioprinting currently stands firmly and prominently at the forefront of what many experts and thought leaders are calling the next major medical revolution. This revolution represents a beacon of hope for advancements in healthcare practices and transformative treatments that can significantly benefit a wide variety of patients in meaningful ways. Moreover, the incredible potential of bioprinting opens up a remarkable and exciting array of possibilities that seem almost limitless. It offers the thrilling and unparalleled opportunity for on-demand therapeutic fabrication that directly addresses the intricate and specific needs of at-risk or defective patient anatomies. This encompasses the custom and tailored fabrication of advanced pharmacological implants, the controlled and precise delivery of vital pharmaceutical drugs, the innovative creation of missing surgical components, and even the sophisticated development of tissue models that can play a vital and crucial role in drug discovery efforts. The technology possesses the astonishing potential to effectively eliminate the necessity for traditional medical devices like stents, which are commonly utilized in today's medical practices, and would dramatically reduce reliance on conventional metal orthopedic implants that often require lengthy and difficult recovery times. Furthermore, it could very well be utilized on an expansive industrial scale to 3D print intricate and highly specialized spinal discs that are specifically tailored to meet individual patient needs and requirements in a personalized manner. Notably, 3D printed pharmaceuticals have already received crucial regulatory approval from various health authorities, effectively paving the way for bioprinted pharmaceutical implants to become a reality. These implants are expected to face a considerably less burdensome regulatory pathway when compared to their conventional counterparts, which often undergo lengthy and complex approval processes that delay innovation. This advancement stands to greatly accelerate innovation in medical science and expand access to cutting-edge medical solutions that can potentially transform patient outcomes in substantial and meaningful ways, improving overall quality of life for many individuals who may desperately need such interventions [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9].

Refinements that have recently been made in various advanced technologies distinguishing platforms, including digital light processing, innovative inkjet techniques, cutting-edge extrusion, and sophisticated laser-assisted bioprinting, are anticipated to unlock a vast and exciting array of bioprinting applications. These developments are expected to fundamentally transform our approach to medical treatments as well as significantly influence the ever-evolving field of regenerative medicine. Although bioprinting holds enormous promise to dramatically revolutionize healthcare as we currently know it, the timeline for such a significant transformation remains an active topic of speculation, ongoing debate, and fervent discussion among leading experts in the field. This uncertainty is influenced by numerous critical factors, such as the relatively nascent stage of bioprinting as a scientific discipline, the intricate and highly complex nature of the human body, as well as the rigorous necessity to maintain stringent and effective regulatory frameworks that govern these groundbreaking technologies. Several specific constructs-including, but certainly not limited to, innovative rings, biocompatible patches, intricate tubules, simple yet effective lattice designs, and hallux valgus scaffolds-should ideally progress to the market prior to the year 2030. This progression would reflect notable advancements in bioprinting capabilities and practical applications, highlighting the technology's potential to address real-world healthcare challenges. Conversely, larger and more intricate bioprinted structures are anticipated to become commercially available at a later stage, with simple organs and tissue models expected to find their way into the market within the 2030s. In terms of the development and creation of complex organs, these biologically engineered constructs are anticipated to make their entrance into clinical use and treatment in the 2040s, while vascularized organs might ultimately become accessible to the public by the 2050s. To achieve these ambitious and visionary target timelines, the bioprinting discipline must witness extensive growth and revolutionary development across various critical areas that are currently under serious exploration and research. Given the ethical concerns that surround the transplantation of materials, organs, or tissues that have not yet received official approval from relevant regulatory authorities, it is intrinsically important to understand that within this sensitive domain of regulatory oversight, the most noteworthy advancements become essential and absolutely indispensable to the future of healthcare. The overall feasibility of all the aforementioned projections precariously hinges on the timely acceptance and official approval of bioprinted materials by authoritative regulatory bodies. Such bodies will undeniably play a crucial and defining role in shaping the progress, evolution, and eventual success of bioprinting technologies in the broader context of healthcare enhancement and improvement, ensuring that innovations translate into viable treatment options for patients in need [10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17].

1.1 Overview of Bioprinting

In the present age characterized by remarkably rapidly advancing technology and increasingly sophisticated automation, the expansive field of tissue engineering has distinctly blurred the lines between our imaginative realities and what previously seemed like pure science fiction, a realm that once felt far removed from practical application and tangible outcomes. This advanced and dynamic field of study has achieved this breathtaking and transformative metamorphosis largely through groundbreaking and innovative advancements in the ever-evolving and rapidly progressing realm of bioprinting technologies. This cutting-edge technology enables the precise designing and meticulous manufacturing of highly intricate and complex 3D constructs of tissues and organs that carefully and closely mimic the multifaceted biological structure of their human counterparts, which are integral to so many life functions. The sophisticated architecture of these elaborate constructs is accomplished with an astonishing and remarkable level of precision by employing the formulation of predefined locations and intricate structures that are created within specialized computer-aided design software (CAD). These elegantly and meticulously preconstructed digital models are consequently translated into tissue-like organizations through the rigorous and highly controlled layer-by-layer deposition of various biomaterials, each of which plays an essential and multifaceted role in the overall process and design. The first living cell bioprinter was ingeniously engineered and brought to life during the transformative early 2000s, marking an incredibly significant and pivotal milestone in the ongoing development of this revolutionary field. The layer-by-layer biofabrication process is executed with extraordinary and impeccable precision through either extrusion-based or droplet-based microextrusion techniques, ensuring that the highest standards are consistently upheld in fabrication quality and reliability. Current cutting-edge technologies have already achieved remarkable and noteworthy success in printing dense cellular multilayered constructs that possess highly precise geometries, showcasing the immense potential and promise for numerous future applications and advancements. Furthermore, the remarkable ability to fabricate made-to-order, customize implantable tissues or organs that meet specific and individualized medical requirements can now be best accomplished through a sophisticated gradient of mechanical properties or bioactive molecules that are inherent to the unique tissue being replicated. Consequently, the enthralling possibility for personalized solutions on both a plant cell and organ scale could soon transform into a practical and realizable reality, primarily due to the introduction of patient-specific routing made feasible through advanced imaging technologies such as computer tomography (CT) or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), enhancing the accuracy and efficacy of designs. The core biomaterial that serves as the foundational basis for 3D printing and is commonly referred to as bioink comprises living cells that are to be deposited, often fully and completely enveloped in a supportive carrier matrix that aims to imitate the physical and biochemical environment of native tissues, facilitating key biological functions. This supportive matrix is crucial in facilitating adhesion, promoting cell proliferation, encouraging differentiation, and advancing morphogenesis while tightly regulating and harmonizing various essential biological functions and activities. The ultimate success of utilizing the bioprinting method hinges fundamentally on the functionality and practical usability of the resulting structures, which can encompass a broad spectrum ranging from cartilage and bone to liver tissues, all of which exhibit complex hierarchical compositions and heterogeneous microstructures that significantly enhance their functionality and applicability. These various levels of variability and complexity must also be interactable, either directly or indirectly, to successfully maintain the vital homeostatic condition of native tissues while simultaneously supporting critical cellular behaviors and regulating essential cellular functions and interactions. Given the plethora of multifaceted aspects that need to be meticulously and comprehensively considered, the necessary design considerations must be judiciously made based on three fundamental variables that are crucial to the success and further development of this transformational technology-bioactive components, cellular interactions, and the performance dynamics of the bioprinting device itself, each of which plays an indispensable and critical role in the overarching quest to innovate and enhance the future prospects of tissue engineering [18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29].

Chapter - 2

Bioprinting Technologies

Three-dimensional (3D) printing, which is often referred to as Additive Manufacturing (AM), is an extraordinary and transformative technology possessing a highly interesting and rich history that traces its origins back to the year 1986. This revolutionary method fundamentally relies on the utilization of photo-polymers as its essential foundational material for the manufacturing process. The journey of evolution and improvement in the expansive field of 3D printing saw a major turning point, primarily due to the groundbreaking contributions and visionary insights of S. Scott Crump, who is known as the founder of Stratasys. His influential and pioneering work has been pivotal in the widespread adoption, adaptation, and continuous refinement of Fused Deposition Modeling (FDM) technology. This advanced state-of-the-art technique addresses, tackles, and effectively mitigates countless limitations that have traditionally plagued earlier manufacturing methods, notably by significantly reducing the overall volume of material consumed during the intricate production process. It achieves this while minimizing the associated equipment costs remarkably, decreasing waste generation to a significant extent, lessening the environmental footprint considerably, and overcoming various other disadvantages that were inherent in prior manufacturing technologies that had been in use for many years. 3D printing operates through an innovative and highly effective approach that employs material, which often takes the specific form of filament or wire, made up of numerous tiny pellets. These pellets are gradually melted at high temperatures with an incredible level of precision to create a model that closely and accurately adheres to a specific digital file or design. This advanced and highly effective technique is remarkably powerful and efficient, allowing for the printing of objects that possess intricate shapes and complex designs that are both varied and diverse, utilizing an expansive range of materials, which include polymers, composites, ceramics, and various types of metals, thereby significantly broadening the spectrum of potential applications across different fields. One particularly significant development that emerged within this dynamic and ever-evolving field was the invention of a stereolithographic apparatus, which polymerizes the surface of a liquid using a concentrated light source to obtain the desired forms and shapes with remarkable precision. Another noteworthy method, known as vat photopolymerization, operates by utilizing a photosensitive liquid resin that undergoes polymerization through direct exposure to ultraviolet (UV) light as the object being printed ascends vertically through the resin, thus allowing for the creation of intricate and detailed designs. The landscape of 3D printing underwent a significant and pivotal evolution during the year 2009 when a new and particularly exciting domain known as bioprinting emerged, garnering increasing attention, interest, and enthusiasm from researchers and practitioners actively involved in the field. Tissue engineering, which serves as the primary focus of the rapidly growing bioprinting technology, has made remarkable and notable strides, particularly in producing heart constructs that may serve as supplementary solutions for heart transplantation in the near future, potentially offering complete heart replacements as a revolutionary breakthrough. The theoretical construction of a fully functioning 3D heart has emerged as a conceivable and tangible possibility due to the astounding advancements in bioprinting techniques that are currently being utilized. This rapid and transformative progression in the field has also prompted a critical re-evaluation of prior regulations surrounding bioprinting, which has, in turn, catalyzed a swift and fruitful development in bioprint technologies and their overall capabilities, opening new pathways for exploration. Bioprinting presents an astounding myriad of diverse options that are highly dependent on the specific characteristics of the materials employed, as well as their intended applications within the expansive domains of medical and scientific research. One illustrative application lies within the intricate realm of drug studies, where highly detailed replicas of renal models are meticulously created to effectively mimic the complex structure of kidney nodules. Given the intricate and geometric nature of these advanced models, they are constructed using sophisticated and highly complex bioprinting technology that allows for exceptional accuracy and intricate detail. Furthermore, the in vitro toxicological mechanisms of certain anticancer drugs are rigorously subjected to comprehensive testing using these innovative and bioprinted models. It is well-documented among researchers that one notable adverse effect of a particular drug is its potential to induce tumorigenicity, a hypothesis that has been further solidified through empirical evidence demonstrating the formation of tumor masses within renal models that have been systematically subjected to lower doses of the drug over a significant period of time. Moreover, the ongoing trend of further innovation within this rapidly advancing field has given rise to the development of a hybrid bio-printed chip, specifically engineered to predict adverse tissue reactions resulting from exposure to a variety of medications. This cutting-edge tool represents a substantial advancement in the bioprinting domain, yielding essential and invaluable insights into the effects of pharmaceuticals at the tissue level, thereby significantly enhancing our understanding of their potential impacts on human health and opening new and exciting avenues for the development of safer therapeutic interventions for patients. Through the continued exploration, refinement, and enhancement of these groundbreaking and innovative technologies, the future of both 3D printing and bioprinting looks remarkably promising and full of potential to transform numerous industries in the years ahead [30, 31, 32, 10, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40].

2.1 Inkjet Bioprinting

Inkjet bioprinting stands as a truly fascinating and revolutionary technology that presents a unique paradigm shift in the field of biotechnology, creating connections to traditional 2D drop-on-demand inkjet printing systems, while simultaneously engaging with a significantly more sophisticated and intricate operational process that requires detailed precision and understanding. This innovative bioprinting technique functions by the highly meticulous deposition of biocompatible materials through a specialized and precisely engineered nozzle, which allows for the creation of complex structures that can closely mimic natural tissues. During this complex and dynamic printing process, finely controlled droplets are skillfully expelled and must be directed with great precision towards a substrate, ensuring that each droplet remains accurately in place and maintains its structural integrity throughout the entire procedure, which is crucial for the success of the printing endeavor. The term “drop-on-demand” aptly defines these advanced systems, which are equipped with a series of densely packed nozzles designed to optimize printing performance significantly, enhance the overall efficacy of the bioprinting process, and facilitate the fabrication of intricate and functional biological constructs. This technology opens up new avenues for research and application in fields such as regenerative medicine, tissue engineering, and personalized medicine, highlighting its immense potential to transform the landscape of medical therapies and biological research in the near future [3, 41, 42, 43, 44]. It is essential to emphasize that despite its potential advantages, considerable biocompatibility concerns arise regarding the materials used, in addition to the risks that accompany nozzle blockage, which make this inkjet printing technique generally unsuitable for a majority of bioprinting applications. Nevertheless, it is noteworthy that a burgeoning body of cutting-edge research has been dedicated to exploring this technology further, leading to the emergence of some truly compelling and insightful findings from these studies. Typically, drop-on-demand printers come equipped with one or several ink cartridges containing specialized bioinks. During operation, these advanced printers eject droplets at meticulously defined intervals, and a sophisticated mechanism is activated to effectively select different print heads, thereby facilitating the seamless exchange of used cartridges for fresh ink nozzles. This intricate and well-coordinated system ensures ongoing efficiency and overall effectiveness throughout the printing process. When discussing its method of deposition, it is vital to recognize that ink cannot be carelessly sprayed haphazardly onto sensitive cells or materials that require careful and deliberate handling. Such reckless application could potentially lead to their destruction and result in the materials becoming completely unusable. In addition, the formulation of the ink must be meticulously designed to avoid high viscosity levels; excessively thick inks can disrupt the delicate energy balance during the printing process, leading to unintended distortions and significant reductions in print resolution. A remarkably wide range of materials can indeed be deposited successfully using viscous jets, thus allowing for diverse applications and groundbreaking innovations in the rapidly advancing field of bioprinting. Given the paramount importance of selecting the appropriate ink used-especially the bioinks-these inks have been categorized further based on their varying and diverse properties. On one end of the spectrum, one may deposit raw materials directly during the bioprinting process. Nevertheless, care must be taken in this regard, as cultivation processes can inadvertently introduce contaminants into the delicate cell medium. This concern often necessitates the implementation of a robust supporting scaffold to maintain structural integrity and stability within the printed construct. Furthermore, significant advancements have been noted in the dynamically changing field of bioink development, which has led to an ever-expanding variety of bioink types now available for various bioprinting applications. It is essential to properly mix powders with a suitable solvent to create a uniform slurry, which may take on multiple forms, including paste, resin, gel, or solution before transitioning into a solidified three-dimensional scaffold. This solidification occurs through processes such as curing or crosslinking, allowing for the desired structural properties to be impeccably achieved. Numerous slurry deposition methods are prevalent within this technology, with most of them being based on the highly efficient layer-by-layer approach, which significantly enhances control over the printing process and ultimately improves the quality of the final bioprinted constructs substantially. The meticulous nature of this innovative approach underscores the remarkable advancements that inkjet bioprinting introduces to the ever-evolving realms of biotechnology and tissue engineering. This progression not only paves the way for potential breakthroughs in medical science but also exemplifies the boundless possibilities that such technologies hold for the future of human health and regenerative medicine [45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51].

The careful and deliberate positioning of the machine has been meticulously designed to ensure that it accurately deposits the slurry onto the substrate, thereby guaranteeing that each application is both precise and incredibly efficient. The movement of this specific component can vary significantly among the diverse range of systems and various configurations that are readily available in the market today, which is certainly noteworthy to consider across different contexts. In this much broader context, machine heads are recognized as essential deposition units that play an immensely crucial role in the entire overlay process involving materials. Material extrusion models can generally be categorized into two primary types, each based on their specific operational principles:

1) Pneumatic-head systems, which ingeniously utilize the principles of pressurized air for effective and reliable operation.
2) Piston-head systems, which operate on the mechanical movement of a piston to facilitate the careful dispensing of materials.

The various substances that are utilized in this intricate and thoroughly managed process are stored within a tank that is meticulously designed to maintain the integrity, quality, and stability of the materials during their critical storage. To facilitate the effective transfer of the material, specialized pressurized treatment techniques are strategically employed throughout the entire operation to enhance performance. This involved process incorporates the transfer of gas, which ensures that the feed tube remains firmly and securely attached to the piston tank, thereby reinforcing its reliability and integrity. As a result, the connected tank works efficiently to retract the piston rod, effectively managing the regulated flow of the material in a controlled manner. Following this pivotal retraction, the substance is compelled to travel to the machine head, where it is subsequently expelled and skillfully deposited as it erodes the slurry onto the scaffold with exceptional precision and accuracy that is crucial. Given the critical need for high operational pressure in this specific process, the design and overall functionality of the nozzles become immensely significant and paramount to the success of the operation involved. These nozzles are uniquely engineered and distinctly constructed, setting them apart from other conventional deposition devices, ensuring optimal performance, reliability, and superior quality outputs. The heads themselves are arranged in thoughtfully designed smart configurations along both a multi-joint head axis and a linear head axis, which is essential for versatility and ensuring adaptability in complex scenarios. This thoughtful design approach results in a notable dimensional gap that greatly contributes to the operational efficiency and overall effectiveness of the intricate system in place. The spikes that are an integral and vital part of this sophisticated system respond dynamically and responsively to distinct force inputs, reflecting the underlying principles of their intricate working mechanism crafted for consistent performance. The method of mimicking rapid prototyping, commonly referred to as 3D printing, has indeed served as a foundational and pivotal technique for the majority of contemporary printing approaches that are currently in widespread use today. In this specific scenario, bioink is meticulously extruded onto a plate that is positioned directly adjacent to a numerical control robotic machining axis printer, ensuring an unparalleled level of precision, consistency, and ease of use throughout the printing process while enhancing the overall quality of the output meticulously [52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61].

2.2 Extrusion Bioprinting

One of the most-celebrated and widely utilized biofabrication strategies in the expansive and continually evolving field of tissue engineering, prominently known as bioprinting, involves the meticulous and precise deposition of living cells in a highly pre-defined and organized fashion to effectively build intricate and complex structures. This innovative and cutting-edge biofabrication technique enables the construction of three-dimensional (3D) constructs in a layer-by-layer manner, leveraging advanced computer-aided design (CAD) that is based on detailed digital modeling of a specific organ or type of tissue. An important aspect to consider in this particular context is that blood vessels or capillaries can only effectively nutrify and oxygenate tissues that are located within a very limited range of approximately 100-200 µm. This critical proximity becomes a high-priority consideration of the entire bioprinting process, as it is absolutely crucial for the viability, longevity, and overall functionality of the engineered tissues. Nevertheless, advanced 3D bioprinting techniques possess the incredible capability to replicate complex tubular biological architectures, including intricate vascular-like constructs, with an exceptional degree of geometrical accuracy and precision that is remarkable. Among the various bioprinting techniques that have emerged over time, all of which revolve around the essential concept of printing resolution-which includes droplet-based, extrusion-based, or laser-assisted methods-the coaxial extrusion setup has been identified and widely acknowledged as one of the most suitable approaches for the effective and efficient printing of filaments in a significant manner. A noteworthy aim of this ongoing and dedicated research was to design, innovate, and develop a miniaturized bioprinter that is equipped with advanced microfluidic mixing potential, specifically optimized for coaxial extrusion bioprinting purposes. This thorough exploration aimed to focus on assessing, refining, and enhancing its overall functionality for the bioprinting of capillary-based muscle tissue, which represents a crucial advancement in the rapidly progressing and dynamic field of tissue engineering as a whole. To facilitate this groundbreaking research endeavor, a pneumatic coaxial cartridge was meticulously machined and expertly integrated into the bioprinting system, enhancing its overall potential. Furthermore, a customized assembly was skillfully crafted, comprising various essential components such as a micromixer, an adaptor, a supportive frame, a check valve, a sophisticated bioprinter cartridge, as well as advanced control software that utilizes precise pressure regulators along with an air compressor for optimal performance and efficiency. The intricate extrusion process successfully involved the innovative printing of microgel-laden bioinks, alternating between structures that closely mimic skin-like capillaries and those specifically designed for the formation of muscle tissue, across a variety of different hydrogel schemes that were meticulously selected for their unique properties. This ambitious endeavor highlights the remarkable features, versatility, and enhanced capabilities of the microfluidic system, firmly demonstrating its ability to significantly improve the overall printing assembly and efficiency on multiple levels. As a direct result, the research contributes valuable insights and substantial advancements to the field of bioprinting and tissue engineering, showcasing the potential for further innovations and enhancements in the creation of functional, viable tissue constructs that could greatly benefit future medical applications, therapies, and research initiatives in an ever-evolving medical landscape [62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69].

Recently, a highly significant and noteworthy study was presented with the primary aim of optimizing an innovative miniaturized bioprinter setup that is specifically designed for extrusion systems employed in advanced bioprinting applications. This innovative and cutting-edge setup possesses the capability to simultaneously accommodate intricate microfluidic channels, which are essential for a multitude of diverse mixing applications spanning numerous scientific and industrial fields. The study involved comprehensive and rigorous testing of this advanced bioprinting technique, explored in the intricate context of creating a sophisticated and complex skeletal muscle structure that is carefully wrapped by a specialized layer of blood vessels. This innovative approach not only ensures improved integration but also enhances functionality in a variety of biological applications, effectively paving the way for substantial advancements in the fields of tissue engineering and regenerative medicine. The results and findings of this meticulous research could prove vital in understanding how engineered tissues can interact more effectively and harmoniously with the human body. This understanding ultimately holds the potential to lead to groundbreaking breakthroughs in medical treatments and therapies that could have a lasting impact on patient care and health outcomes [70, 15, 23, 21, 71, 72].

2.3 Laser-Assisted Bioprinting

Over the past decade, the innovative and rapidly evolving field of 3D bioprinting has notably emerged as an essential and transformative manufacturing technique that plays a pivotal role in the continuous advancement of regenerative medicine. In the initial stages of this groundbreaking technology, the primary focus of 3D bioprinting centered on an extensive exploration, experimentation, and careful utilization of various materials, specifically aimed at the creation and construction of highly intricate three-dimensional structures designed to mimic biological tissues. However, in more recent years, substantial progress has been made, particularly with respect to the sophisticated transplantation of bioprinted cell layers that are meticulously integrated within a thoughtfully designed scaffolding material that supports and enhances cellular growth and function. This remarkable and exciting development signifies a clear shift towards even more complex and sophisticated applications in the medical field. Looking ahead to the future, it is entirely conceivable that we may eventually possess the advanced capability to create fully bioprinted organs that are composed entirely of living cells, boasting a high degree of functionality and seamless integration. Such advanced structures, when realized, would fundamentally differ from traditional biological constructs, as they would not depend on a conventional cell delivery device for implantation or healing. This innovative approach has been rigorously considered and explored in several detailed studies that investigate the in vivo outcomes of these bioprinted constructs within living organisms. Furthermore, when considering that laser-assisted bioprinting (LAB) offers the remarkable capability to intricately print both cells and biomolecules with extraordinary precision, we can eagerly anticipate that future in vivo studies involving these printed organs will yield groundbreaking insights and significant advancements in the ever-evolving field of medical science. The potential implications of this revolutionary technology could radically transform the landscape of organ transplantation and the treatment of various complex diseases, ultimately leading to enhanced patient outcomes, substantially improved recovery times, and a better overall quality of life for individuals affected by critical health issues. As we progress, the integration of these bioprinted advancements into clinical practices will surely pave the way for new treatment protocols and the potential to alleviate some of the most pressing medical challenges faced by humanity [31, 38, 73, 74, 10, 75].

Laser-assisted bioprinting (LAB) provides an exceptionally groundbreaking and innovative methodology that is transforming the field of tissue engineering through the highly detailed and precise fabrication of porous structures that achieve remarkable line dimensions, reaching as small as 10 μm in size. This outstanding capability ensures an exceptionally high-resolution output that remains unparalleled in the dynamic and rapidly evolving field of bioprinting. As it stands today, this cutting-edge technology is residing firmly at the very forefront of bioprinting advancements, effectively paving the way for the production of extremely small and intricate pores that bear striking similarities to those produced through traditional foam processing techniques. These tiny but significant features can be effectively and efficiently integrated into various biodegradable polymer surfaces, showcasing tremendous potential and versatility across the expansive realms of tissue engineering and regenerative medicine. Extensive and rigorous research studies have demonstrated conclusively that cells which do not come into direct contact with the surface of these advanced and highly engineered porous structures can not only survive but also proliferate effectively within the supportive and nurturing environment that these structures inherently create. By employing such highly biocompatible three-dimensional (3D) scaffolds strategically placed upon extracellular matrix (ECM) surfaces, it becomes entirely feasible to generate large, complex, and intricately designed 3D stereo-cell configurations that hold great importance for various applications in numerous areas of biomedical research and development. The unique and innovative design of these structures provides essential pathways through which the lower layers of cells can gain vital access to indispensable nutrients, utilizing the natural diffusion that occurs within the porous bulk structure to ensure robust cell viability, sustained growth, and overall health and performance. Nevertheless, it is crucial to acknowledge that the intricate and multifaceted process of creating these complex and sophisticated 3D biostructures using LAB, particularly in isolation, presents a set of significant challenges that cannot be overlooked or underestimated. Therefore, it is of paramount importance for future trends in LAB research to strategically focus on the seamless integration of LAB systems with other advanced and complementary printing technologies. At present, there exists a multitude of standalone LAB solutions available in the marketplace that have made it entirely feasible to print intricate nano- and micron-scale lines and structures at competitive prices, rendering such revolutionary technology accessible to various research institutions and organizations looking to enhance their capabilities, reach, and overall effectiveness. However, the real and transformative innovation lies in the vast potential benefits of merging LAB with other bioprinting methods, such as extrusion or inkjet technologies, which can effectively unlock entirely new dimensions of capability, versatility, and functionality in bioprinting endeavors. For instance, by skillfully combining extrusion printing techniques with LAB, it becomes possible to produce sophisticated and complex 3D nonplanar geometric biostructures, thus significantly expanding the range of potential applications and enhancing the overall effectiveness, efficiency, and impact of bioprinted constructs, as previously highlighted in the introduction. The collaborative potential and synergy of these advanced technologies promise to revolutionize the entire landscape of bioprinting, opening up new and exciting avenues for innovative research, application, and exploration in diverse and transformative fields across the medical and scientific communities, ultimately driving progress and discovery in ways previously thought unattainable [76, 77, 78, 33, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83].

Chapter - 3

Materials for Bioprinting

Different categories of polymer-based materials, irrespective of whether they are derived from natural sources or meticulously engineered synthetically in state-of-the-art laboratories, find extensive utilization in a vast plethora of applications. This is especially evident in the highly innovative and rapidly evolving field associated with the creation of biodegradable three-dimensional (3D) structures that are both environmentally friendly and supremely sustainable. These exceptional structures are intricately conceived and meticulously manufactured using established and widely accepted techniques prevalent in computer-aided design, which encompasses a diverse array of methods that include casting, welding, folding, and spinning, alongside numerous other inventive approaches that have become increasingly prevalent within the manufacturing sector. Despite their notable effectiveness and reliability in producing high-caliber 3D models, recent innovations aimed at crafting intricately designed scaffold architectures frequently pose considerable and significant challenges. These challenges primarily arise due to the inherent limitations and constraints associated with these traditional manufacturing techniques, which can sometimes hinder the achieving of desired outcomes. In stark contrast to these conventional methodologies, 3D bioprinting emerges as a truly pioneering and comparatively modern manufacturing technique that adeptly amalgamates advanced knowledge and expertise drawn from various domains, including state-of-the-art materials science, groundbreaking biological research, and the foundational principles of sophisticated computer-aided design. Fundamentally, this avant-garde and highly advanced technique provides a unique capability, which facilitates the systematic and precise arrangement of an exact three-dimensional configuration. This configuration includes living cells meticulously combined with supporting biomaterials, all while exercising meticulous control over the intricate geometry and the comprehensive physical structure of the 3D object that is being precisely and carefully fabricated. This exceptional and groundbreaking accomplishment is achieved through the careful and intentional deposition of bioinks-a specifically formulated, thoughtfully crafted, and rigorously prepared blend of living cells alongside matrix materials. The entire printing process is guided by a sophisticated and advanced computer-assisted design protocol that guarantees the most optimal and favorable outcomes in terms of both structural integrity and biological functionality. After the intricate and complex printing procedure has been successfully executed to completion, the living cells possess an extraordinary and remarkable ability to develop highly sophisticated, functional, and well-organized in vitro tissue structures that closely mirror the native organs found within a wide and vast array of diverse organisms. To assure the overall success and efficiency of this intricate and delicate bioprinting process, the bioprinting apparatus is further equipped with specialized and highly effective bioreactors that play a critically vital and indispensable role in the operation. These bioreactors are absolutely crucial in maintaining the ideal environmental conditions that are fundamentally required for successful cell printing, diligently supplying the necessary temperature, humidity levels, and other essential parameters at the nozzle head's precise printing axis. Such meticulous and stringent regulation of environmental factors is not just beneficial but is absolutely imperative for safeguarding cell viability throughout the entire printing duration, thereby significantly enhancing the efficacy, effectiveness, and expansive potential applications of the bioprinting procedure across contemporary science and biotechnology. Furthermore, the advancements in bioprinting technology hold the promise of revolutionizing the medical field by effectively facilitating the development of customized tissue constructs that could ultimately lead to groundbreaking breakthroughs in regenerative medicine, innovative drug testing, and many other critical areas that significantly impact health and wellness in our rapidly advancing society [84, 85, 36, 86, 87, 25, 88, 89, 3, 10].

Extrusion bioprinting is an exceptionally prominent technique and method among the numerous bioprinting technologies available in the ever-evolving and advancing industry today. This specific approach has gained a remarkable level of popularity, primarily due to its relatively low cost, as well as its outstanding compatibility with bioinks that exhibit high viscosity characteristics, a crucial factor for ensuring successful and effective printing outcomes. In its most basic and fundamental configuration, this innovative bioprinting technique comprises several key components: a printer, an advanced and sophisticated feeding system that is intentionally designed to effectively deliver prepared hydrogels or other comparable materials, and a specialized nozzle that plays an essential and critical role in forming filaments in an exact and precise manner that aligns perfectly with a specified digital design or blueprint. Despite having numerous advantages, the overall applicability and effectiveness of extrusion bioprinting are somewhat constrained and limited by the shear stress that inevitably occurs during the intricate and meticulous printing process. This shear stress can severely impair the viability of the cells involved, consequently leading to detrimental and adverse effects on their behavior, integrity, and overall functionality. To effectively combat and address these pressing challenges that have emerged within the field, further advancements in the equipment and technology employed in this innovative technique have been actively explored and researched within the scientific community. For instance, the incorporation of pneumatically regulated temperature control systems for maintaining optimal conditions for the bioink, or engaging in the mechanical alteration of viscosity levels of the hydrogels, has shown considerable promise in significantly reducing shear stress during the actual printing process itself, making it a vital area of ongoing research and development. However, it continues to be a complex and challenging objective to entirely eliminate shear stress in the extrusion bioprinting process in order to maximize the potential benefits it can offer. Therefore, while extrusion bioprinting presents exciting and notable opportunities for applications in numerous fields such as tissue engineering and regenerative medicine, ongoing and dedicated research efforts are fundamentally required to further optimize its parameters and enhance its capabilities for practical use in a myriad of medical and scientific applications. This will ensure that the full potential of this innovative technology is fully realized, paving the way for its broader adoption and integration into the ever-expanding healthcare and biotechnology sectors. Ultimately, such progress could lead to groundbreaking advancements in patient treatment and care, thereby significantly improving outcomes in various clinical settings and enhancing the overall quality of life for individuals receiving advanced medical interventions [90, 47, 91, 92, 93, 59, 20, 94, 95, 96].

3.1 Natural Polymers

Three-dimensional (3D) printing, often referred to as bioprinting, stands as an extraordinary and groundbreaking advance in the realm of manufacturing technology, which is rapidly evolving at an astonishing pace. This innovation provides an impressive degree of flexibility in the fabrication processes that can be applied to an extensive range of uses. Particularly noteworthy is its significance in tissue engineering and biomedicine, where it is proving to be transformative. Traditionally, conventional 3D printers were primarily confined to generating objects made only from non-living materials, a limitation that significantly restricted their functionality and the realms in which they could be effectively applied. However, the advent of bioprinting technology has sparked exciting new possibilities by enabling the direct printing of living cells. This breakthrough offers a unique opportunity to construct intricate three-dimensional multiplexed structures composed of living tissue constructs, which were previously unattainable. A bioprinter can be succinctly defined as a device meticulously engineered to generate complex 3D forms utilizing a liquid, gel, or hydrogel suspension. These suspensions inherently encompass not only biomaterials but also living cells and a diverse variety of additional biologically active components, all seamlessly integrated in a synchronous and simultaneous manufacturing process. In just the past two decades, this revolutionary technology has undergone phenomenal advancements and has established itself as an indispensable and cutting-edge tool within both the fields of tissue engineering and personalized medicine. Within the elaborate and multifaceted bioprinting workflow, bioinks act as the primary printable medium; within these bioinks, a mixture of biological components, which include living cells and biomaterials, is skillfully combined to optimize the entire printing process. There exists a vast array of distinct types of bioinks that can be employed, often dependent on the specific printing methodologies being utilized. Hydrogels constitute a prominent and significant class of materials frequently used in bioinks. These hydrogels are usually designed with meticulous care to replicate the extracellular matrix (ECM), thus effectively creating a supportive 3D scaffold microenvironment that is conducive to the growth and development of tissues. A wide variety of hydrogels, including both natural and synthetic polymers, emerge as favored candidates to serve as bioinks across various contexts. Natural polymers consistently emerge as the most sought-after materials for biomedical applications, owing to their intrinsic qualities, such as biocompatibility and bioactivity. These attributes render them especially suitable for effectively supporting living tissues throughout integration processes. In contrast, synthetic polymers are typically employed as complementary bioinks, which aim to enhance the construction of stable three-dimensional structures when used alongside natural polymers. Among the most widely applied natural polymers used in the formulation of hydrogels deemed appropriate for 3D bioprinting scaffolding are polysaccharides, which prominently include starch, alginate, and agarose, in addition to glycosaminoglycans like hyaluronic acid. Moreover, additional derivatives of polysaccharides-like cellulose, chitosan, pectin, and dextran-are frequently incorporated into bioink formulations as well. All of these materials play a crucial and impactful role in driving bioprinting technology forward into new frontiers, significantly enhancing its ability to revolutionize the methodologies we adopt for crafting living tissue constructs intended for a vast array of medical applications. The continuous advancements within this innovative discipline are heralding a bright and promising future where personalized medicine could be profoundly augmented through the groundbreaking approaches enabled by bioprinting techniques. This technology is, without question, paving the way for transformative solutions in patient care and treatment practices, potentially redefining the landscape of healthcare as we know it [36, 97, 3, 98, 99, 100, 101, 102, 103, 104].

3.2 Synthetic Polymers

The term "3D bioprinting" specifically defines the innovative and groundbreaking process known as additive manufacturing, a remarkable evolution in the field of industrial and medical technology. This advanced technology creates intricate and complex bioactive structures with remarkable precision that was previously unattainable, allowing for a deep dive into a new frontier of biomedical engineering that holds immense promise for the future. These unique and sophisticated structures not only offer the potential for the successful and efficient fabrication of advanced tissues but also open up possibilities for specialized medical devices that are specifically designed to support the intricate maturation and developmental processes of engineered tissue. This, in turn, paves the way for significant medical advancements that can transform and revolutionize patient care and treatment protocols, creating an entirely new approach to healthcare [105, 10, 32, 106]. The continued and rapid advancement of 3D bioprinting significantly enhances our existing capabilities and applications in this domain, ensuring a promising and transformative transition towards more affordable, personalized, and effective therapeutic schemes that can cater to the specific needs of individual patients. These innovative schemes are meticulously tailored to individual patient needs, taking into account their unique biological frameworks and conditions, thereby ensuring personalized care. This transformative transition is effectively achieved through a much deeper understanding and thorough exploration of the intricate design processes involved, as well as the sophisticated technologies that are employed in the development and functioning of various types of wearable bioreactors and an expansive array of regenerative devices [3, 107, 100, 97]. Such advanced devices are not only pivotal in dramatically enhancing patient outcomes but also play an essential and multifaceted role in the continual progression of regenerative medicine and tissue engineering, influencing the entire landscape of biomedical research. Within the expansive and dynamic landscape of 3D bioprinting, a diverse range of compounds is actively utilized, extensively researched, and meticulously experimented with in order to optimize the outputs and effectiveness of bioprinted structures for diverse applications. There is a particular emphasis on various types of polymers that can be effectively categorized into specific groups for clarity:

a) Injectable polymers, which are meant specifically for use in advanced and cutting-edge medical devices; these typically include materials such as PEBAX and glycopolymers, both of which are widely recognized and known in the industry as GTs due to their outstanding properties [49, 108, 47, 109].

b) Biocompatible polymers, which are absolutely vital for effective surgical planning and execution; many innovative projects commonly involve notable materials like PLA, PLGA, and PCL, which exhibit favorable characteristics for medical applications, and their interaction with biological tissues is crucial for surgical success. This categorization is critical as it determines how these materials interact with biological tissues, influencing their acceptance and functionality in medical scenarios and contributing to overall procedural success.

c) Multifunctional drug-liberating archetypes of biocompatible polymers, which include cutting-edge designs such as tri-matrices that enable significantly enhanced performance in biological environments, thereby expanding their potential and applications tremendously.

The thorough exploration, meticulous analysis, and innovative application of these various compounds play a critical role in significantly enhancing the overall functionality, performance, and adaptability of 3D bioprinted products. This ensures their effectiveness in diverse medical applications and procedures that ultimately benefit human health, providing novel solutions and treatments for various medical conditions across different sectors. The advancements achieved through 3D bioprinting not only contribute to innovative therapeutic strategies but also lead to markedly improved patient outcomes in the healthcare field, harmonizing cutting-edge technology with tangible medical needs seamlessly and effectively, thus fostering an era of unprecedented medical breakthroughs [110, 111, 112, 113, 114, 115, 100].

The most substantial segment of synthetic materials currently employed in the captivating and swiftly progressing domain of 3D printing predominantly relies on the cutting-edge methodologies and intricate techniques found within sophisticated Fused Filament Fabrication environments. These remarkable and innovative environments have graciously advanced to not only accommodate but also robustly support a diverse and expansive array of highly innovative applications that ignite the creativity and boundless imagination of engineers and designers alike. The emergence of such an array fosters a landscape ripe for exploration, individual creativity, and groundbreaking invention. When these remarkable materials are exposed to an appropriate and meticulously controlled melting temperature, various polymers can, indeed, be skillfully integrated and thoughtfully combined with reinforcing and eco-friendly materials that align with sustainability principles, thereby yielding uniquely and precisely 3D-formed items. These items possess not only the complex geometries that are essential for contemporary applications but also the requisite mechanical characteristics necessary for robust, durable, and reliable implementations across varied sectors and industries. These advanced and sophisticated materials have found extensive application in the 3D production of a myriad of vital and life-saving medical products that play pivotal roles in the realm of healthcare. This includes highly specialized spinal disc implants that are intricately designed, ingenious coronary stents that effectively enhance blood flow, and judiciously crafted acetabular cups that are absolutely crucial for hip replacements. Each of these critical components is integral for executing various essential medical procedures and life-saving interventions that can significantly and positively alter patient outcomes. Although several of the polymers employed in commercial 3D printers have already undergone rigorous testing and certification processes to affirm their safety and effectiveness, the application of these materials within progressive cells or tissues remains markedly limited and presents significant challenges. This complex issue necessitates and demands further thorough investigation to completely unlock the comprehensive potential that this advanced technology carries in the sphere of medical applications, thereby enhancing its overall utility and broadening its application spectrum. The additive manufacturing process, which encompasses the sophisticated utilization of multi-component types within a single cohesive procedure, holds remarkable promise for the advancement and customization of personalized pharmaceutical mixtures that are tailored precisely to individual patient needs. These unique mixtures are ingeniously determined by their modified active ingredients, which are thoughtfully tailored to meet the specific and often multifaceted needs of individual patients based on their unique and diverse health profiles. One particularly remarkable application involves the innovative design of tablets that showcase multiple architectural configurations seamlessly integrated within a singular dosage formulation. This specific and strategic design is intentionally intended to guarantee specific active resource diffusion periods, thus ensuring optimized therapeutic effects and significantly improved patient compliance over time. Ultimately, this optimized approach could lead to substantially better treatment outcomes, which is a primary and essential objective in modern pharmaceutical care and patient treatment methodologies. Another promising application that has the potential to revolutionize this vital field pertains to the advanced development of personalized polymeric implants. These sophisticated implants are meticulously tailored for individual patients, employing a variety of polymer mixtures to adapt precisely the necessary mechanical characteristics of implants while simultaneously enhancing their bioactivity and compatibility with human tissues and biological systems. Such remarkable advances directly contribute to improved outcomes in medical treatments and yield a substantial enhancement in the overall quality of life for patients as they navigate the myriad challenges associated with their diverse health conditions. The exciting future of 3D printing in medical applications holds unprecedented potential, and the journey toward realizing these futuristic innovations is as compelling as the groundbreaking technologies themselves, setting the stage for transformative advances in healthcare effectively and efficiently [116, 117, 118, 119, 120, 121, 122, 123, 124, 125].

3.3 Hydrogels

Over the past few years, the captivating and groundbreaking field of bioprinting technologies has emerged as a significant and focal point of intensive, extensive research and development within the dynamic, innovative, and rapidly evolving biomedical field. Although conventional materials, such as thermoplastics and metals, have been widely applied in an extensive variety of established techniques, including common processes like injection molding and casting that are prevalent in many industries, the innovative scope and potential for bioprinting has dramatically amplified beyond the conventional boundaries that previously existed. This remarkable progress has enabled the generation of highly sophisticated 3D constructs that possess tissue-like characteristics, closely mimicking the intricate architecture, structure, and function of natural biological tissues that are vital for numerous physiological processes. Hydrogel materials are extensively employed across diverse biofabrication technologies, which encompass various methods, including inkjet bioprinting, extrusion-based bioprinting, and stereolithography processes that establish new frontiers in biomedical engineering. These cutting-edge techniques are utilized to produce essential scaffolds, functional inks, or intricate post-processing structures that are crucial for a vast array of biomedical applications, scientific exploration, and advancements in healthcare technologies. The burgeoning field of 3D bioprinting technology has found itself at the intersection of an extraordinary array of new possibilities and potential breakthroughs, fueled and enriched by a wide range of available printable materials that significantly enhance versatility and applications across many domains of medicine and biotechnology. Due to their excellent biocompatibility, as well as their adjustable or tunable mechanical properties, hydrogels are frequently employed as a critical and indispensable type of bio-ink in various bioprinting technologies, which makes them essential for researchers, developers, and practitioners engaged in this evolving and transformative field. Moreover, hydrogels possess the unique and invaluable capability to encapsulate a wide range of therapeutic agents and drugs, which allows for infinitely more effective localized and controlled therapeutic release. This ability further enhances their extensive utility in diverse medical applications, therapeutics, and treatment strategies. However, despite their remarkable advantages and promising characteristics observed in laboratory settings, hydrogel-based materials have also introduced several significant difficulties and challenges that hinder their widespread implementation, particularly in resource-limited settings where access to advanced technologies and specialized equipment may be severely restricted. These challenges are particularly pronounced in developing regions, where healthcare systems may struggle with limited resources and outdated methodologies. Therefore, there exists an urgent need to actively design, innovate, and develop new hydrogel materials that could effectively and efficiently be employed for such complex fabrications and processes. This aim is critical in overcoming existing limitations, thereby promoting accessibility, feasibility, and adaptability in diverse healthcare environments throughout the globe, ultimately leading to improved patient outcomes and broader technology dissemination [126, 48, 127, 28, 128, 129, 108, 130].

In the context of the continuously evolving and rapidly shifting landscape that is shaped by a series of transformative technology trends, which are significantly influencing and ultimately reshaping our world today in various intricate ways, this current study has unequivocally concluded that 3D structures can indeed be successfully bioprinted utilizing cost-effective and biocompatible hydrogel-based materials that offer a multitude of benefits. This remarkable and quite affordable acetic alginate-based hydrogel, in particular, possesses incredible potential to form constructs that are not just simple but also exceptionally effective, which are especially advantageous in low-resource settings. In these settings, access to advanced materials and cutting-edge technologies may frequently be quite limited, challenging, or even an insurmountable hurdle for various stakeholders who are profoundly involved in the realm of research and development. Furthermore, there are various innovative and meticulously designed post-processing regimes, such as the careful and intricately detailed freeze gelation of printed tissue constructs, that have been thoroughly optimized and finely tuned in order to provide substantial scaffolding advantages. These enhancements greatly improve the overall effectiveness, performance, and functionality of the printed structures that are put to use. Such advancements not only contribute significantly to the burgeoning and rapidly advancing field of bioprinting but also pave the way for numerous innovative applications across multiple dynamic disciplines, clearly highlighting the critical role of affordable solutions in enabling wider accessibility as well as fostering groundbreaking research in understudied and emerging areas. As we continue to explore and relentlessly push the boundaries of bioprinting technologies, the implications of these notable developments could profoundly reshape our approaches to tissue engineering, regenerative medicine, and personalized healthcare solutions. The rapid and highly dynamic field of bioprinting research has indeed surged remarkably and proliferated exponentially over recent years, driven by an enthusiastic, relentless pursuit to meticulously recapitulate, represent, and reconstruct the intricate and highly complex tissue structures that naturally exist within biological systems along with their myriad functionalities. This ambitious and innovative research not only aims to faithfully replicate these sophisticated natural structures but also seeks to deepen our comprehensive understanding of their multifaceted functional roles and implications, explored in a thorough and detailed manner, which is crucial for developing effective biomedical applications both now and well into the future. However, medium-viscosity hydrogels present various inherent limitations and serious obstacles that can impede their diverse applications within the expansive and rapidly evolving domain of bioprinting. Additionally, there are various significant, often complex challenges associated with the fabrication process through traditional casting methods, which can result in substantial hindrances to progress and innovation while potentially stifling creative developments in this important field. To adequately tackle these pressing and multifaceted challenges and to effectively push the boundaries of bioprinting technology even further, an innovative and highly versatile crosslinking strategy has been meticulously developed, skillfully designed, and thoroughly optimized. This cutting-edge approach is intended to efficiently retain the printed structure while ensuring its overall integrity and functionality are not compromised, even under a wide range of varying environmental, physiological, and operational conditions. By doing so, it significantly enhances the overall viability, stability, and effectiveness of bioprinted constructs, paving the way for numerous transformative and practical applications that are applicable across diverse fields. These fields include, but are by no means limited to, tissue engineering, regenerative medicine, and drug delivery systems that hold great promise for future advancements in healthcare and impactful therapeutic interventions. This groundbreaking and innovative new design distinctly employs an advanced water-soluble sacrificial template that has been specifically tailored for the intricate and complex post-printing process, which significantly addresses and adequately satisfies the rapidly growing and increasingly critical demand for highly specialized microfluidic applications. These applications necessitate unparalleled precision, reliability, as well as efficiency in operation. The noteworthy advancements achieved through this innovative process not only greatly enhance the multifunctionality, flexibility, and overall versatility of the bioprinted materials but also extensively open up exciting new avenues for further detailed research and practical applications in the ever-expanding and vital fields of tissue engineering and regenerative medicine. The far-reaching implications of these groundbreaking findings are both profound and impactful, as they effectively pave the way for potential innovations that bridge the critical gap between the latest-generation technologies and real-world applications. This remarkable development, therefore, holds the promise of positively impacting a multitude of fields and sectors that extend far beyond the immediate scope of bioprinting itself, including pharmaceutical development, diagnostics, and personalized medicine. Ultimately, this could redefine our approaches to healthcare and treatment methodologies, ensuring that solutions are not only cutting-edge and innovative but also practical and directly applicable to everyday scenarios that matter significantly to people in their daily lives [131, 132, 21, 133, 134, 135, 136, 137, 138, 139, 140, 141, 49, 142, 143, 144, 145, 146, 129, 147, 131, 137, 148, 40, 136, 149].

Chapter - 4

Applications of Bioprinting in Personalized Medicine

Since the advent of 3D printing technology in the 1980s, an astonishingly substantial and noteworthy amount of progress has been achieved in the fascinating and rapidly evolving field of bioprinting, which primarily targets the intricate and complex creation of three-dimensional biological constructs for a diverse array of medical applications and other potential uses. The sophisticated and innovative bioprinting technology is specifically designed with an emphasis on being fully compatible with liquid-state bioinks that serve as the vital foundation for building these incredibly impressive and architecturally complex structures. These visionary bioinks exhibit physical properties that are remarkably different and distinct from those of the three-dimensional bioprinted constructs that ultimately emerge at the culmination of the intricate and complex printing process. The primary aim of this innovative and transformative approach, which bears striking resemblance to the air-stabilized 3D bioprinting method utilizing a unique paste-like consistency and formulation, is to effectively stabilize the FRESH constructs both during the intricate printing operation and after the entire process has been completed, while also achieving a significantly higher resolution capability than was previously deemed possible or achievable within the extensive realm of bioprinting. In recent and cutting-edge studies and investigations, a diverse variety of pioneering techniques enabling the direct writing of freestanding hydrogel walls have been thoroughly reviewed and meticulously analyzed in the scientific literature, with the ability of these walls varying significantly in size from the microscopic scale to the millimeter scale, reflecting the vast potential for applications in various fields. These contemporary and groundbreaking techniques incorporate an array of advanced and sophisticated methods, such as the detailed process of printing followed by crosslinking methods that utilize ultraviolet and visible light sources, Ca2+-induced gelation, and even the careful and precise regulation of temperature, which leads to the facilitation of sol-gel transitions that enhance overall quality. These impressive and highly effective methods allow for the attainment of sufficient wall stiffness that can adequately support and stabilize intricate and complex structures during their practical applications across diverse contexts and scenarios. Furthermore, there are several traditional approaches that employ fiber drawing alongside direct heating techniques, which are intriguingly facilitated by a capillary-based volumetric heating system, allowing for the precise local curing of the printed components, hence significantly enhancing their structural integrity and overall functionality in real-world scenarios. One particularly promising and innovative approach in the rapidly growing realm of bioprinting involves the meticulous printing of sacrificial walls, which are composed of a carefully formulated semisolid bioink that can effectively be utilized for various intricate designs. Following the intricate and specialized printing process, these sacrificial walls can be subsequently removed or effectively dissolved, resulting in the creation of sophisticated vessel walls that can achieve various diameters, impressively small, even as fine as 200 µm, offering an extensive range of applications in the vital and ever-evolving field of tissue engineering. Other groundbreaking and innovative methods that have recently surfaced within this field include the intriguing technique involving ink drawing within biocompatible liquids, the efficient utilization of liquid coaxial needles for enhanced multi-material printing capabilities, as well as the substantial advancement of drop-on-demand phase-changing extrusion-based techniques, which open new and exciting possibilities in the customization of bioprinting processes across various contexts and applications. In conclusion, the overall development of vessel walls has witnessed considerable and noteworthy innovation in recent years, with methods such as mixed-mode bioprinting that intriguingly combine extrusion processes with thermoplastic procedures, in addition to the innovative thermoplastic fabrication of glassy-state materials, which is subsequently followed by advanced thermal annealing processes to substantially enhance material properties and usability. This compelling combination of advanced techniques marks an exciting new frontier in the thoughtful creation of complex tissue structures using cutting-edge bioprinting methods and technologies, paving the way for future breakthroughs and significant advancements within the fields of regenerative medicine and biomanufacturing that hold the potential to fundamentally change medical practices while enhancing healthcare outcomes for countless patients [150, 151, 33, 81, 152, 42, 153, 154, 155, 156, 157, 158].

4.1 Tissue Engineering

The successful and ongoing creation of soft, hard, or composite tissue types represents an exceptionally intricate and complicated process that deeply relies on a precise and harmonious combination of various cell types along with specifically tailored bio-inks. This multifaceted and complex procedure initiates with the crucial and foundational step of crosslinking, which is expertly accomplished through the usage of inline light exposure to highly specialized printable-thickness gels, leading to the solidification of these materials and the ultimate formation of the desired structures. At this advanced and critical stage within the bioprinting process, the incorporated human mesenchymal stem cells, working in conjunction with the bio-ink, are expertly guided toward differentiation into osteogenic lineages that are fundamentally essential for effective bone tissue engineering and regeneration. The formation of new tissue, whether categorized as hard tissue, soft tissue, or any other type, ultimately arises from a well-formulated and meticulously executed concoction of both cells and bio-ink, achieved through extensive planning and detailed execution that never overlooks any detail or nuance. Furthermore, these light-activated tissues are subjected with precision to crosslinking within the carefully controlled confines of the bioprinter itself, ensuring that each step of the process is meticulously managed to achieve the best results. Looking ahead to future research and innovative endeavors in this rapidly evolving and dynamic area, scientists and researchers are likely to explore the incorporation of a more sophisticated and higher-resolution laser device capable of enabling more precise control over the bioprinting process. Such an important advancement would significantly facilitate the side-by-side co-culturing of multiple types of light-activated tissues, thus markedly enhancing both the versatility and utility of bioprinting techniques across a variety of applications, fields, and potential clinical uses. Moreover, more advanced bioprinting systems are progressively being designed to integrate a specialized and exceptionally sophisticated dispensing head that allows for the multilayering of various tissue types, thereby dramatically expanding the complexity and functionality of the printed constructs to a level that was previously thought unattainable in the field of tissue engineering. It is worth noting that the bioprinter is strategically positioned within a sterile cell culture hood, ensuring that all operations are diligently conducted under sterile and controlled conditions to prevent any risk of cross-contamination whatsoever, a factor that is crucial for maintaining the integrity and reliability of the experimental results gathered. For each specific tissue type generated throughout this intricate and detail-oriented process, gels are printed meticulously onto Alizarin Red S, chitosan, and mTG mounted petri dishes, which are further dosed under inline EMSL conditions, and are carefully maintained within standard T-flasks to ensure optimal conditions for each detailed experiment being carried out. A series of thoughtfully designed experiments are systematically conducted to compare the effects of various light doses, specifically a 505 nm light dose of 10, 60, or even 200 Maz/cm^2, utilizing pure red and near-infrared LEDs to effectively irradiate the samples and meticulously analyze their response to the varying light conditions evaluated in the context of their performance and growth. Additionally, a six-degree-of-freedom articulated organic arm has been ingeniously reengineered to function as a highly capable and efficient 3D printer, significantly broadening its operational capabilities for the construction of complex and diverse tissue structures that require precise control. Furthermore, a specifically tailored and finely optimized recipe has been painstakingly developed and systematically fine-tuned, comprising concentrated human osteogenic mesenchymal stem cells meticulously combined with a bio-ink that is precisely formulated to contain a specific and well-documented composition of 10% w/v gelatin, 15% w/v fibrinogen, and 0.3% w/v photo-initiator. This carefully prepared blend creates a robust and versatile foundation for effective and innovative tissue engineering, marking an important and significant step forward in the ever-evolving field of biomaterials and regenerative medicine [84, 159, 160, 161, 162, 163, 164, 165, 166, 167].

4.2 Drug Screening and Testing

3D printing has garnered considerable attention in recent years due to a wide and diverse range of applications that continue to grow and evolve within the pharmaceutical industry, capturing the imaginations of both researchers and practitioners alike. One of the most fascinating and rapidly emerging technologies in this dynamic and innovative field is indeed 3D bioprinting, which has been widely celebrated and introduced as a revolutionary method capable of constructing not just simple tissues but also the most complex living tissues or even entire organs using a vast variety of biological components. This innovative and truly exciting technique of bioprinting is fundamentally based on the tremendous advancements of 3D printing technology. This advancement allows for the precise creation of freeform, intricate 3D structures that can be meticulously designed to meet a wide array of specific therapeutic needs. These intricate and highly specialized structures are primarily composed of bioink, which encompasses vital elements like living cells, essential growth factors, and numerous types of biomaterials-all being specifically designed and painstakingly engineered to closely mimic the properties and functions of native biostructures found within the complex and highly dynamic environment of the human body. The significance of bioprinted tissue models is indeed truly noteworthy as they can be applied across multiple critical drug-related applications, which include but are not limited to drug screening, extensive drug testing, and sophisticated disease modeling. They also play a critical role in tissue regeneration and offer invaluable insights for evaluating toxicology, presenting opportunities for remarkable advancements in the understanding of drug interactions and effects. This innovative and forward-thinking approach to bioprinting may very well pave the way for the next exciting wave of personalized medicine development, providing individualized, tailored therapies that effectively cater to the unique, specific needs of each patient based on their individual biological makeup. In the rapidly growing and evolving field of regenerative medicine, the groundbreaking technologies surrounding bioprinting hold immense promise and potential for producing bio-organs and fully functional tissues that could be potentially utilized for transplantation in the near future, dramatically changing the landscape of organ donation and transplantation processes. Moreover, it is worth mentioning that bioprinted tissues can significantly streamline the production of micromolds for traditional tissue engineering, particularly in cases where the tissue size is less than 1 mm. The incredible precision of bioprinting possesses extraordinary potential, not just to offer any treatment but also to provide highly targeted, precise, and personalized treatment and medical care that can evolve seamlessly and adapt alongside patient needs over time, leading to better management of chronic conditions and improving quality of life. Additionally, the culture of patient-derived cells, which particularly encompasses the remarkably challenging cancer stem cells, alongside the formation of tumors in specialized tumoroids using bioprinted tissue, can serve as a significant application for delivering cutting-edge cancer treatment methodologies that possess the potential to revolutionize care and improve survival rates for patients battling different forms of cancer. Furthermore, the 3D bioprinted tissue, which consists of various components that are harmoniously integrated into its sophisticated structure, could function as a vital in vitro model for studying the intricate and complex immune system. This model can be judiciously utilized for essential drug evaluations or serve as a powerful and effective platform for innovative immunotherapy strategies that may enhance patient outcomes significantly. Drug assays performed on these highly sophisticated models would greatly facilitate the development of optimized pharmaceutical dosages and allow for comprehensive cytotoxicity evaluations of candidate medicines, thereby improving the efficiency and accuracy of drug discovery processes. To further enhance research efforts even more into this promising area of exploration, the pharma-on-chip system can be strategically employed for the dynamic assay of preclinical studies, thereby offering an innovative and contemporary approach to streamline the typically lengthy and intricate process of drug development and safety testing, reducing time to market for new therapies. In conclusion, the remarkable advancements seen in 3D bioprinting technology are actively driving a new and exciting era in medicine, one where personalized treatment options could very well become the new norm. This paradigm shift is leading to improved patient outcomes and holds the potential for truly revolutionary medical breakthroughs that can transform lives. As the field continues to progress and evolve, it is essential to explore the wide-ranging implications and applications of bioprinting, thereby opening up numerous avenues for further understanding and innovation in the ever-expanding realm of healthcare, ensuring that future generations receive the best possible medical care tailored to their specific needs [168, 23, 169, 170, 88, 171, 120, 172, 149, 173, 27].

4.3 Organ Transplantation

Organ transplantation stands as an extraordinarily dynamic and rapidly evolving realm within the expansive field of medicine, holding immense potential and promise for the treatment of a vast array of serious health conditions that affect individuals across the globe in numerous and varying ways. This remarkably significant medical intervention can be comprehensively evaluated as an effective solution for individuals who are grappling with the severe challenges and tribulations posed by end-stage organ failure, thereby significantly aiding in the ongoing efforts to save or extend the precious lives of patients who are intensely suffering from debilitating disorders instigated by organ failure. Despite the overwhelmingly positive and promising outcomes that have consistently been observed and meticulously documented with such medical interventions, the stark and unfortunate unavailability of suitable organs for transplantation remains a substantial and critical challenge that is encountered on a truly global scale. In particular, the continual and pressing need for viable donor organs persists as a formidable barrier to achieving optimal patient outcomes and improving the overall quality of life for those in dire need of such life-saving treatments. The technological advancements that are taking place in the vital, ever-important field of biogenerative engineering are progressing at an unprecedented and remarkably rapid pace, showcasing the significant potential to regenerate damaged tissues, along with the possibility of creating entirely new tissues and organs. These advancements can drastically and positively change the lives of patients for the better in profoundly impactful and transformative ways that are truly remarkable. As research in this area continues to advance and flourish, we find ourselves witnessing major breakthroughs occurring in the interconnected and complementary domains of tissue engineering and regenerative medicine. This is particularly true regarding the innovative and cutting-edge techniques that are associated with bioprinting three-dimensional tissues and organs that are functional, viable, and ultimately suitable for transplantation. Bioprinting, which is characterized as an advanced and sophisticated method of additive biofabrication, is a groundbreaking technique that facilitates the intricate recreation of highly detailed and complex three-dimensional biological structures through the precise and deliberate deposition of living cell-laden bioinks. These bioinks are absolutely crucial for the development of viable organs that could potentially be utilized in transplantation procedures, thereby offering a revolutionary and transformative alternative to the traditional methods long employed in this important field of medicine. Furthermore, the intricate and meticulous process of decellularization-where organs are carefully stripped of their cellular components to create usable scaffolds-followed by the subsequent utilization of these acellular organs as scaffolds for the generation of new organs through a method known as recellularization, is yielding incredibly promising results along with valuable insights regarding the processes involved. This innovative approach, characterized by its potential and ambition, is inspiring a renewed sense of hope and optimism for the future of organ transplantation as well as regenerative therapies that could potentially transform the entire landscape of medical treatments available to patients. Such advancements would undoubtedly pave the way for a new era where the critical need for donor organs may be significantly reduced, thereby greatly benefitting countless patients who find themselves in dire need of effective treatments capable of restoring their health dramatically while enhancing their quality of life in a sustainable manner over the long term. [174, 175, 176, 177, 178, 179, 180, 181, 182]

Among the numerous and diverse array of acellular biofabricated structures that have been meticulously developed and expertly refined in recent years, one of the most remarkable achievements is the engineering of the liver, which has been crafted with extraordinary precision. This engineered liver was subsequently implanted within the intricate and complex cavity of the abdomen of nephrectomized rats, marking a significant milestone in the field of medical technology. This innovative and groundbreaking procedure revealed, with great interest, that the engineered liver maintained its remarkable functionality for a notable duration of just a few days after implantation into the biological system of the host organism. Following a successful and carefully orchestrated engraftment procedure, which was carried out by a dedicated team of highly skilled researchers deeply passionate about pushing the boundaries of medical technologies, the liver was observed to engage actively and efficiently in the intricate biochemical processes involved in the production of both urea and indocyanine green. The impressive and significant production of these vital biomolecules indicates that the engineered liver had indeed begun to take on its intended biological functions within a living organism, thereby demonstrating its viability and effectiveness in contributing to vital bodily functions that are essential for life and health. [183, 184, 185, 186, 187, 188]This truly remarkable achievement undeniably showcases and underscores the fact that this particular organ has been extensively regarded, meticulously studied, and widely recognized as a successfully developed and finely crafted somatic organ, utilizing an array of advanced and cutting-edge biofabrication techniques that carry substantial potential for a plethora of promising future medical applications. These applications are particularly relevant in the ever-evolving and rapidly advancing area of regenerative medicine, as well as organ replacement therapies that are becoming increasingly vital and lifesaving. Further detailed immunological examination, which was conducted with great attention to detail and meticulous care concerning the acellular biofabricated walking kidney, revealed no signs of complement deposition, showed no evidence of any immunogenic response whatsoever, and noted no presence of macrophages in the surrounding tissue. These critical findings are essential for ensuring compatibility and acceptance within the biological system, as they provide compelling and strong evidence of the engineered structure's favorable interaction and seamless integration with the host environment. This compelling and notable outcome strongly suggests that the engineered structure was exceptionally well tolerated by the host organism, illustrating a significant breakthrough and unprecedented leap forward in the field of bioengineering and organ transplantation, potentially changing the landscape of medical therapies for patients in dire need of organ replacements or regenerative treatments and offering hope for a brighter future in healthcare. [189, 190, 191, 192, 193]

This line of research vividly showcases that a successfully recellularized version of the walking organ has been effectively operated upon, paving the way for a multitude of future advancements in the rapidly evolving and dynamic fields of organ bioengineering and transplantation techniques that continue to gain traction and recognition in the scientific community. These fields hold immense promise, offering exciting possibilities for progressive medical breakthroughs that not only can reshape the understanding of organ function and regeneration but can also push the frontiers of what we perceive as achievable within the limits of contemporary medicine. Such remarkable advancements are critically important because they provide new and transformative hope as well as unparalleled opportunities for individuals who are in dire need of organ transplants-a significant dilemma that persists and continues to complicate the intricate and multifaceted realms of modern medicine as well as global healthcare systems. The implications of this groundbreaking work are indeed profound and far-reaching, as they not only serve to advance the current state of medical science by providing novel insights, innovative approaches, and incremental knowledge that expands the horizon of what is possible but also significantly enhance the prospects for patients who are eagerly awaiting lifesaving organ transplants with bated breath. Such research is vital and indispensable in addressing the overwhelming and daunting gap that exists between the desperate demand for organ donations and the limited supply available to meet it, thus alleviating the burdens faced by healthcare providers and patients alike. Ultimately, this critical and impactful research could indeed transform the future landscape of medicine and various therapeutic possibilities, potentially saving countless lives while improving healthcare outcomes for individuals and communities around the world-areas where the starvation for effective treatment options remains a pressing and critical concern that demands innovative, thoughtful solutions in the face of adversity, suffering, and need [194, 195, 196, 197, 198, 199, 200, 201].

Chapter - 5

Challenges and Limitations in Bioprinting

Currently, the bioink is extruded into an intricate gelation bath, a critical environment where it must exhibit the essential capability of crosslinking to maintain both the desired three-dimensional shape and the delivery of crucial mechanical properties during this complex process. This entire process is absolutely vital and critically important for the success of the application, because if it takes more than an hour, it becomes increasingly unlikely that it will successfully achieve the necessary outcomes within the stringent time constraints imposed by a variety of clinical applications. Another pressing and notably significant issue that arises during this delicate procedure is the potential damage that can occur to the cells involved in the bioink formulation, which is particularly concerning given the inherent complexities and difficulties of the entire process. Throughout the intricate printing process, the bioink is subjected to multiple shear forces, clearly indicating that the faster the ink is printed, the lesser the time that is available for any potential cell damage to occur to the sensitive biological components. A prolonged gelation time that extends beyond the one-hour mark would most likely lead to a severe and detrimental depletion in cell viability, a scenario that is decidedly far from acceptable in the context of any and all biomedical applications. For any materials research endeavors, as well as for the development of innovative and novel materials that are suitable for use as bioinks, there exists a significant and challenging journey that lies ahead for the scientific community to undertake before anything is discovered that meets the stringent criteria deemed absolutely essential for safe and effective utilization in patient care. The materials meticulously chosen for bioink production must possess an elevated level of biocompatibility, which stands as a fundamental requirement for any biomedical application catering to human use. Many of these bioink materials are sourced from non-human organisms, such as alginate derived from seaweed and gelatin that originates from porcine materials, each posing its own unique challenges in terms of safety and compatibility. However, for these materials to be safely utilized in humans, they must endure years of rigorous and comprehensive quality assessments, as well as thorough safety evaluations, to ascertain their suitability for clinical use. Engaging in bioprinting with any materials that fall into categories not already established through this extensive testing and evaluation would represent a considerable risk to patient safety, an aspect of paramount importance that absolutely cannot be overlooked or taken lightly in any situation. This growing concern also extends to biodegradable materials, which are rapidly becoming increasingly relevant and vital in the evolving field of tissue engineering and regenerative medicine. The surge in the production of degradable biomaterials since the emergence of advanced bioprinting technology has attracted significant research interest within the scientific community, primarily due to their remarkable potential capability to create individualized, patient-specific scaffolds that can resorb as new, healthy tissue progressively forms to ultimately replace the existing scaffold. However, it is absolutely crucial to recognize and thoroughly acknowledge that as these materials undergo degradation, there may be a substantial risk of toxicity if harmful by-products are released into the bloodstream, thus posing potential and serious threats to patient health and safety. Given their relatively recent introduction and development in the market, there has not yet been adequate time to conduct comprehensive and thorough testing on these materials to ensure that they are entirely safe for patient use. Therefore, the question of toxicity associated with biodegradable materials as they proceed through the degradation process will undoubtedly raise substantial and significant concerns regarding their clinical application moving further into the future, thus requiring careful evaluation, thorough analysis, and meticulous consideration from researchers and practitioners alike [1, 19, 202, 203, 204, 205, 206, 207, 208, 209, 210].

5.1 Biocompatibility

Bioprinting represents an extraordinarily advanced technique in the expansive and continuously evolving field of additive manufacturing, a domain that has witnessed rigorous exploration and groundbreaking research over the last several years. This remarkable progression has naturally led to the emergence of a wide array of numerous practical, innovative, and impactful applications in the highly important and ever-evolving arena of tissue engineering. This cutting-edge and transformative process systematically permits the intricate and precise creation of complex three-dimensional constructs through a careful and meticulous layer-by-layer deposition of specialized bioink materials. These innovative and biocompatible bioinks, which serve as the foundation of bioprinting technology, hold living cells that are essential for forming functional tissues, and their optimization is ongoing. This pivotal aspect adds an exhilarating layer of possibilities to the capabilities inherent in bioprinting technology, significantly expanding its tremendous potential to address numerous medical needs. One of the most exciting and promising features of bioprinting is undoubtedly its remarkable potential to produce spatially controlled, multi-material constructs that can convincingly replicate the intricate architecture and biological complexity typically found in human tissues. Moreover, the avenues for complete automation in the production process render bioprinting not only intriguing but also particularly beneficial for implementing both scaffold-based and scaffold-free constructs across an impressively wide spectrum of medical applications. This dynamic capability is genuinely paving the way for novel and innovative therapeutic interventions that could revolutionize patient care. However, despite the myriad achievements and significant progress made in this fast-evolving domain, a range of considerable challenges and complex obstacles must be diligently tackled by researchers and practitioners alike. For instance, the processes concerning post-printing maturation and vascularization of the printed structures have emerged as paramount obstacles limiting full realization. These particular challenges require effective and innovative solutions to enhance both the functionality and viability of these engineered tissues to a considerable degree, making them suitable for real-world applications. Nonetheless, biopolymer-based three-dimensional constructs have shown outstanding competence in providing essential mechanical support while dramatically facilitating tissue integration. This integration is a vital element for their eventual success in a broad range of various clinical settings and therapeutic scenarios, thereby illustrating their increasing importance. Given their distinctive properties and adaptable characteristics, these engineered structures can be employed either as implantable tissue substitutes or utilized as highly pertinent in vitro tissue equivalents. This unique relevance is particularly significant for applications in the critical areas of drug testing and toxicity assessments. This unique versatility of bioprinting technology underscores their extensive potential and significant impact in the realms of regenerative medicine and beyond. It firmly establishes them as a crucial area for future research, exploration, and innovative endeavors as the entire field continues to advance and mature. The depth and breadth of bioprinting technology symbolize a transformative leap forward in medicine and engineering, promising profound implications woven intricately into the very fabric of healthcare delivery and patient outcomes [84, 211, 91, 212, 27, 213, 75, 214, 215, 23].

There is currently a significant and highly active exploration taking place regarding new and innovative materials that are particularly suitable for a diverse range of bioprinting processes. This ongoing and dynamic research primarily focuses on achieving improved cell viability, enhanced biodegradation, superior printability, and an increased spatiostructural resemblance to the native extracellular matrix (ECM). As a direct consequence of this ongoing and dedicated research, extracellular matrice-based bioinks are now widely regarded as essential, extensively utilized, and are becoming indispensable within numerous state-of-the-art and cutting-edge research projects increasingly surrounding the expanding field of bioprinting. In addition to this, several advanced formulations of bioinks, which are based on various effective combinations such as alginate-gelatin, collagen-fibrin, or hyaluronic acid, have been thoroughly presented, meticulously characterized, and studied in-depth across multiple rigorous scientific studies. These studies have garnered considerable interest from eager researchers and professionals alike who are striving to push the ambitious boundaries of bioprinting technology and its innovative applications. Furthermore, other emerging materials that are currently gaining notable attention within the scientific community include bioactive glasses, various types of silk fibroin, innovative formulations of polyurethane, as well as naturally-derived dyed silk filaments. These various materials are all exhibiting promising properties and potential applications that could revolutionize certain critical aspects of the field of bioprinting. Additionally, the cytocompatibility of these exciting cutting-edge materials is rigorously evaluated through a systematic and comprehensive application of both biocompatible substances and electroseeded electrogenic cells. This detailed and thorough evaluation process is carefully accomplished through a sophisticated impedance measurement-based quality assurance analysis, which is additionally accompanied by detailed fluorescence imaging of manually seeded labeled cells to ensure precision and efficacy. This multifaceted methodology enables researchers to gain deeper insights into the complex cellular behavior and interactions that are vital for the development of truly effective and innovative bioprinting strategies and techniques. This entire investigative process is conducted prior to the planned and methodical seeding experiments to ensure utmost accuracy and reliability in the results obtained. The ultimate aim of this ambitious research effort is to enhance our understanding of how these various innovative and groundbreaking materials interact with biological systems in significant and impactful ways. This enriched knowledge has the potential to lead to breakthrough applications in the exciting and rapidly evolving realms of tissue engineering and regenerative medicine, offering new solutions and strategies that could dramatically change the landscape of healthcare as we know it. As such, the continuous exploration of these advanced and promising materials is absolutely crucial for unlocking the full potential of bioprinting technologies to benefit society as a whole [216, 217, 218, 219, 220, 59, 221].

Here we present compelling and robust evidence that convincingly demonstrates, with both clarity and precision, that the bioprinted raw or post-processed resin 3D structures exhibited a profoundly fascinating and exceptional profile of non-toxicity, which remained well within the carefully and clearly defined limits of sensitivity that were meticulously established by the rigorous exposure assay utilized in our extensive and comprehensive study. Through our extensive and in-depth research, it was found that following the thorough and meticulous removal of the cytocompatible bioprinting material residuals from the intricately and thoughtfully designed 3D structures-either by implementing effective and thorough resin post-processing techniques or by skillfully applying an additional sophisticated and biocompatible hydrogel coating-the resulting bioprinted 3D structures effectively supported the spontaneous spread and proliferation of electrogenic cells, thereby showcasing their remarkable and significant potential in the ever-evolving and dynamic field of regenerative medicine. Notably, each of the three distinct procedures we painstakingly investigated exhibited a coherent and notable improvement in the biocompatibility of the bioprinted 3D structures, which is of utmost importance for their intended applications in modern medicine and healthcare. This significant improvement was strongly indicated by the consistently lower shell indices observed with neurospheres derived from either the renowned SH-SY5Y neuroblastoma cell line or the innovative CTX0E16 cell line, which collectively highlighted the exceptionally favorable conditions conducive to cellular growth, healthy development, and overall well-being. Overall, the collective findings strongly suggest a positive correlation between the applied innovative methods and the enhanced cellular compatibility of these 3D structures, thereby underscoring the significant potential of these bioprinted structures for a variety of innovative, cutting-edge biomedical applications, including tissue engineering and regenerative medicine, where high biocompatibility is absolutely critical for successful integration and optimal function-particularly in those challenging environments and scenarios commonly encountered in various medical settings. The significance of these findings cannot be overstated, as they reveal the groundbreaking potential for improving patient outcomes through advanced bioprinting technologies that clearly pave the way for transformative treatments in a variety of complex clinical situations [222, 223, 224, 80, 225, 226, 227, 228, 229, 230, 231].

5.2 Resolution and Speed

4. Bioprinter and 5. Practical Design for 3D Bioprinting have seamlessly merged into a singular, coherent, and comprehensive concept that significantly

enhances and deepens our understanding of the intricate bioprinting process as a whole. Within the fascinating and ever-evolving realm of bioprinting, it is abundantly clear that both resolution and speed emerge as extremely important and crucial considerations that cannot be overlooked under any circumstances or conditions. Resolution is typically defined in precise terms, specifically regarding the minimum physical size that a bioprinter is fully capable of laying down during the complex and sophisticated printing process. This particular characteristic stands as a vital determinant and foundational element when aiming for the utmost precision in the construction and assembly of intricate and delicate biological structures. The thoughtful and careful design of the microstructure is of the utmost importance because it directly dictates not only the arrangement, organization, and spatial distribution of various cells but also the method and manner in which different materials and forces are effectively distributed and managed within that intricate and complex structure. Furthermore, resolution is deeply intertwined and intricately linked to the speed at which a bioprinter can effectively fabricate a construct, highlighting the significant interplay and complex relationship between these two critical and essential factors. While it is undeniably true that the simplest geometries can indeed be produced at remarkably high resolutions, this often results in considerably slower fabrication times, which can complicate the overall manufacturing process and add to the numerous challenges faced by engineers. Essentially, the overall print time scales inversely with the size of the features being printed; therefore, a delicate and careful balance and harmony must be managed and maintained for successful and optimal bioprinting outcomes. This balance is essential not only for the creation of viable, functional biological constructs but also for the achievement of high viability and performance standards that meet the requisite expectations of the field. The process of bioprinting therefore necessitates a multidimensional approach, where innovation and creativity must be harnessed to address and solve these challenges while still providing the quality output required. This pursuit of excellence in bioprinting invites continuous research and development efforts to refine techniques, adapt materials, and enhance technology, all aiming to elevate the precision and speed of the bioprinter's operations to achieve even greater breakthroughs in biomedical applications [232, 80, 47, 233, 234, 235, 94, 29].

In the innovative and ever-evolving field of bioprinting, a sophisticated and highly refined layer-by-layer technique is meticulously employed, wherein intricate two-dimensional patterns are printed successively with utmost precision and remarkable attention to detail. This intricate and methodical process ultimately culminates in the formation of a complex and multifaceted three-dimensional structure that showcases outstanding detail along with remarkable precision in its design and execution. The speed of this advanced bioprinting process is substantially influenced by numerous technological limitations that are inherent in the available methodologies and specialized equipment utilized within the field. A significant issue arises from the common occurrence of nozzle clogging, which frequently occurs as a direct result of the shear-thinning properties of various bioinks that are employed throughout the process to achieve the desired printing results for specific applications. This perplexing phenomenon significantly undermines manufacturability when the printing speed exceeds a certain threshold, thus creating formidable challenges that are often difficult to navigate and overcome effectively in practical scenarios. Consequently, these technological obstacles underscore the critical need to carefully and thoughtfully consider both speed and resolution during the conceptual design phase of any functional organ. This needs to occur prior to the operational deployment and practical use of a bioprinter in clinical settings where precision and reliability are of utmost importance. This work methodically presents and elaborates on a practical and effective method that is specifically aimed at the design and fabrication of large and load-bearing constructs within the robust and dynamic realm of three-dimensional bioprinting technology. This innovative methodology can be adeptly utilized to construct both conventional tissues and vascularized tissues, each crafted with meticulous attention to detail, rigorous quality checks, and adherence to industry standards. Within the framework of the novel method developed, numerous intricate design considerations are rigorously applied to the construction and comprehensive development of a vascularized Meniscus, which is specifically subjected to static load conditions designed to accurately mimic real-world applications observed in clinical scenarios. The resulting object, meticulously crafted through this advanced method, is thoroughly manufactured and rigorously put to the test under carefully controlled conditions, providing substantial verification that the proposed methodology operates successfully within the well-defined parameters established as acceptable and effective for such complex applications in the burgeoning and rapidly advancing field of tissue engineering. In summary, this advancement in bioprinting techniques showcases how careful and innovative engineering solutions can address existing challenges while simultaneously paving the way for future developments in regenerative medicine and personalized healthcare solutions aimed at improving patient outcomes and overall quality of life [236, 237, 238, 239, 240, 241, 242, 243, 244].

5.3 Vascularization

Vascularization is an essential and critical point of ongoing consideration when designing larger tissues that possess a high cell density. The importance of effective vascularization cannot be understated, as it serves as a lifeline for the proper function and sustainability of the engineered tissues. Generally, cells that reside within a tissue fabricate and secrete their own vasculature through an intricate process known as self-assembly. This process allows for a natural formation of blood vessels that can adapt and respond promptly to the changing demands of the tissue. However, this self-assembly mechanism is not feasible when applied on a macroscale, as the diffusion of essential elements such as oxygen and the removal of metabolic waste can only efficiently occur over a maximal distance of about 100-200 μm. This limitation poses significant challenges in the successful creation and maintenance of larger tissue constructs. One innovative method to effectively address this limitation is through the incorporation of bioactive hydrogels that can effectively promote and support angiogenesis, thereby improving vascularization and nutrient supply to the cells. In relation to this specific tissue engineering project, a co-axial vessel structure was designed, which closely resembles the natural vessels found within the human body and is crafted to mirror their complex architecture. To create this vessel structure, fibroblasts combined with GelMA were meticulously pipetted into the outer concentric ring and then cross-linked using UV light, leading to the formation of a vascular structure akin to the tunica adventitia. Following this, the tunica media was then formed utilizing the same method, establishing a robust intermediary layer, after which HUVEC cells were introduced to endothelialize the internal lumen, successfully forming what is known as the tunica intima. Both the inner and outer rings were treatment printed at a significantly faster speed than the fibers to carefully attempt to stretch the fibers as necessary, thereby producing a more elongated tubular structure that more closely emulates actual blood vessels. Most of the initial vascular structures were incorporated into the collagen hydrogel to provide additional support and stability, but it was observed that only approximately 40% of fibers were accurately placed during each rotation, primarily due to inaccuracies in the positioning system employed during the printing process. This unfortunate inaccuracy would lead to significant overlap in some printed layers, resulting in the necessity of applying crosslinking with UV light, which inadvertently led to a significant weakening of the overall structure and reduced its robustness. Regardless, the adhesion capabilities of the structure to the bio-paper remained strong enough to retain a printed shape, even if it was no longer in a perfect tubular form and showed signs of structural challenges. Furthermore, it was observed that the volumes ranging from 15-30 uL of the pre-gel solution did not always adequately harden across the three tested pieces that resulted in a meaningful co-axial structure being formed; the successful crosslinked printed samples demonstrated excellent tubing properties, with minimal peeling occurring in two of the components from the vessels that had been endothelialized with HUVEC cells. On the flip side, pre-gel solution volumes that exceeded 35 uL consistently failed to yield a successful structure, which may have been potentially due to the blocking of UV light penetration necessary for proper crosslinking to occur. As was discussed earlier, the generation of a stable and viable vascular network continues to be an exceedingly challenging and complex task in tissue engineering, and overcoming these numerous obstacles is crucial for the advancement of this field. [245, 246, 247, 248, 249, 250, 251, 252, 253]

Constructing a co-planar pre-fabricated vascular network alongside various tissues and organs can be an exceptionally inefficient and complex process that presents numerous challenges. This intricate undertaking often necessitates the utilization of various bioreactors and extensive supplemental support to maintain the delicate intricacies of tissue architecture, which can inevitably become a daunting task for researchers, engineers, and medical professionals alike who are trying to navigate these multifaceted requirements. Consequently, several organ-bioreactor systems that are currently under development are functioning merely as partial models, or they are heavily reliant on the inherent contractile properties embedded within the vascular network to effectively move media throughout the entire system, which compromises their overall efficiency. Vascular networks are integral components that serve as a vital counterpart to the human body, very much like wiring does for a sophisticated computer system; in essence, one provides essential electrical connection points that are critical for controlling and implementing the intricate functions of the other. Moving forward, there will inevitably be a concentrated focus within both the scientific and medical fields toward the creation of either fully implantable organs that boast a complete and fully functional vascular network or the targeted development of advanced implantable vascular grafts specifically designed for this important purpose of addressing tissue and organ failures. It is in this context that the most significant advantages of 4D bioprinting truly become evident and remarkably worthwhile for a diverse array of applications. Scaffolds utilized in this innovative and groundbreaking process are printed with an excitingly broader range of cutting-edge materials, enabling remarkably rapid prototype speeds and boasting a substantially larger build volume compared to traditional methods that have often severely limited their efficiency in past endeavors.

Remarkably, constructs created through 4D bioprinting possess the unique and impressive capability to evolve and adapt over time, potentially allowing them to dynamically alter their shape and function in direct response to various external stimuli, such as notable changes in temperature, light, or other environmental factors. This significant adaptive capacity adds a new dimension to their application and utility in a wide array of medical scenarios, promising a future filled with versatility and responsiveness. It appears that the potential of 4D technology will be most eagerly applied in diverse scenarios where flexible actions and responsiveness are paramount, adjusting accordingly to the dynamic needs of patients or specific chemical triggers within a constantly changing environment. Although there has been substantial discourse surrounding the exciting possibility of patient-specific cardiovascular models, the exploration of utilizing 4D printing behavior in response to external factors, like light or heat, remains in its infancy and lacks comprehensive development to date, signaling a critical area for future research. Within this dynamic and rapidly advancing arena of pioneering 4D technology, the inherent advantage of ease in achieving microscopic resolution and precision should certainly be emphasized, as it plays an integral and crucial role in enhancing the overall effectiveness and applicability of vascular networks in groundbreaking medical advancements that could revolutionize patient care in the near future. It is evident that ongoing research, exploration, and innovation are key to unlocking the full potential of these advanced technologies, ultimately leading to transformative solutions that could enormously benefit countless individuals facing complex medical challenges and improve their quality of life significantly [254, 255, 256, 257, 258, 42, 259, 41, 260].

Chapter - 6

Regulatory and Ethical Considerations

The production of personalized medicines stands on the cusp of undergoing a substantial and far-reaching expansion, driven by the remarkable advances we are experiencing in bioprinting technology within the contemporary healthcare landscape. The emergence of unique 3D-bioprinted tablets, for instance, signifies an evolutionary leap that is genuinely revolutionary and groundbreaking. These innovative tablets utilize a cutting-edge approach that facilitates the intricate and methodical layer-by-layer deposition of drug-infused materials. Such sophisticated processes effectively operate as advanced reservoir systems, meticulously designed for the controlled and gradual release of medication over a significant span of time. These meticulously engineered systems are explicitly developed to carefully manage the release profile of the drug, allowing it to maintain therapeutic effectiveness over an impressively extended duration. This remarkable capability not only significantly enhances the therapeutic effects of medications but also leads to improved patient outcomes across a diverse and extensive array of medical contexts, positively impacting the overall healthcare experience. Moreover, the cutting-edge 3D-bioprinting technology has already found successful application in the creation of advanced and highly effective drug screening platforms. This remarkable stride forward is accomplished through a multitude of complex methods, including extrusion, inkjet technology, and even light-assisted printing processes, which can accommodate a diverse variety of materials and biological components. Through the employment of such diverse and innovative techniques, it becomes possible to achieve the precise arrangement of bioink droplets or fibers that encompass living cells, creating an intricate tapestry of medical potential. This intricate process heavily relies on cutting-edge computer-aided design software, which enables meticulous planning and execution throughout the entire bioprinting process, ensuring accuracy, consistency, and efficiency. Additionally, an intriguing dimension of this groundbreaking technology includes the exciting potential of employing electrodeposition techniques. These advanced methods allow for the precise and highly controlled deposition of bioink onto the surface of various sample types, significantly broadening the scope of application and potential utility of bioprinting across a multitude of medical fields, helping pave the way for new treatments. The excitement does not stop there; moreover, 3D-bioprinted tissue models have advanced to a remarkable point where they can astonishingly replicate healthy and pathological tissues with exceptional accuracy within in vitro settings. This extraordinary ability equips researchers with access to therapeutically rich and realistic testing scenarios, ultimately leading to enhanced drug discovery and more streamlined development processes that can pave the way for innovative healthcare solutions. As a direct consequence of these phenomenal advancements in bioprinting technology, the materials that are bioprinted now necessitate a more specific and thorough safety and toxicological evaluation. This rigorous scrutiny is essential to ensure both patient safety and the effectiveness of the bioprinted products designed for clinical application. This commitment to stringent evaluation makes this field not only innovative but also responsible, instilling a reliable approach to advancing healthcare solutions effectively. Therefore, as we move forward, it is crucial that developments in personalized medicine not only remain at the forefront of innovation but also adhere steadfastly to the highest safety standards and efficacy benchmarks, ensuring we protect patients and profoundly enhance their quality of life [98, 3, 36, 100, 44, 107, 261, 262, 263, 101].

Just as the critical and highly scrutinized processes that have been meticulously established for the regulation of biomaterials in relation to organ procurement and donation are undeniably indispensable, it is fundamentally essential and absolutely crucial that a similarly structured, robust system of oversight, imbued with clearly defined and comprehensive protocols, will need to be effectively implemented for the rapidly expanding and continuously evolving field of bioprinted organs, which is quickly becoming increasingly significant in the landscape of modern medicine. This vital expansion goes far beyond merely technological advances; it comprehensively encompasses not only a pressing and urgent necessity for ongoing but also for continuous monitoring of all individual donors involved in this crucial field to ensure that the highest ethical standards are consistently upheld. Furthermore, it is critically important to ensure that the careful development and systematic creation of these innovative bioprinted organs is executed in a manner that is not only fair and equitable, but also entirely devoid of any form of bias or discriminatory practices that could directly undermine the integrity of the entire groundbreaking process. Given that the bioprinted tissues are painstakingly produced from an individual's own specific cells, which are inherently unique to them in order to maintain distinctive biological traits, it naturally follows that they are likely to achieve significantly enhanced rates of success that cannot be overlooked. This is because these cells will inherently align exceptionally well with the human leukocyte antigen, and this delicate and vital alignment is indispensable in ensuring optimal tissue compatibility. Such compatibility significantly diminishes the risk of rejection by the recipient's immune system, which is a critical factor that fundamentally affects the overall success rate of organ transplantation procedures. However, it is vitally important to recognize that the vast and intricate realm of bioprinting technology cannot simply be oversimplified, as it is a multifaceted and potentially controversial topic that truly deserves thorough scrutiny, in-depth analysis, and thoughtful consideration from all involved stakeholders, industry leaders, and regulatory entities alike. Therefore, a critical transition is absolutely essential to facilitate a comprehensive evaluation of the emerging technology's numerous, multifarious benefits, all while also establishing a robust framework of varying guidelines that are specifically designed to limit and mitigate any unethical or potentially harmful practices that may arise during the intricate process of bioprinting. This becomes particularly crucial when considering the imperative need for maintaining a keen sense of transparency and accountability within the regulatory framework, as well as overseeing the manufacture and systematic distribution of bioprinted products to ensure compliance with carefully set protocols that prioritize patient safety above all else and above any other competing interests. This essential system of oversight is absolutely necessary to guarantee not only the safety but also the efficacy of these innovative medical products for the potential patients who may heavily rely on them in critical and life-threatening situations. It is through these combined efforts, sustained diligent work, and proactive, forward-thinking measures that we can ensure a responsible, ethical, and innovative approach to the ongoing advancements and innovative breakthroughs in the increasingly sophisticated and nuanced arena of bioprinting technologies. Furthermore, fruitful and productive collaboration among scientists, ethicists, regulatory bodies, and healthcare leaders will prove indispensable to successfully navigate the intricate and complex ethical landscape that encompasses bioprinting, ensuring that all diverse voices are heard and that various perspectives are thoughtfully considered. Addressing the myriad of concerns and formidable challenges that arise in this ever-evolving field will not only promote scientific progress but will also significantly enhance public trust in the groundbreaking technologies that hold the remarkable potential to revolutionize the practice of medicine in ways we are only beginning to thoroughly grasp and fully understand. Ultimately, this advancement will undoubtedly pave the way for a brighter and more hopeful future in healthcare, which must remain accessible to all individuals, regardless of their background or circumstances, thus reinforcing the core values of equality and justice. As we move forward into this new era, it is crucial to actively engage in constructive dialogue and to establish strong partnerships that will enable a more seamless integration of bioprinted organs into the mainstream clinical practice of tomorrow. This will undoubtedly require the thorough implementation of rigorous educational programs that are thoughtfully aimed at both healthcare professionals and the general public, fostering a comprehensive understanding of the myriad implications and potential benefits stemming from cutting-edge bioprinting technologies. Such initiatives will greatly help to demystify the complex science that underlies these innovations, contributing to the broader appreciation of their relevance in addressing some of the most pressing health challenges faced today. In doing so, we must remain perpetually vigilant against the dangers of complacency by regularly reassessing regulatory measures and ethical standards as the technology continues to advance, ensuring that the rights and well-being of patients are consistently prioritized above all else, reflecting our unwavering commitment to their safety. At the very heart of this dynamic endeavor lies a steadfast commitment to innovation that is deeply grounded in compassion and responsibility, wherein the advancements made in bioprinting can be harnessed effectively to improve patient outcomes, enhance the overall quality of life, and ultimately save countless lives in need. By prioritizing collaboration and ethical oversight in every meticulous step taken, we can work together to create a sustainable future in which bioprinted organs are recognized not only as remarkable technological achievements but also as vital components of a holistic approach to medical care that empowers individuals and communities alike. Through this unwavering dedication to forward progress and ethical rigor, we can pave new pathways for healing and recovery, transforming the landscape of healthcare in profound ways and ensuring that the fruits of scientific inquiry and exploration are shared equitably across society for the benefit of all, while transcending barriers and fostering inclusivity within this promising frontier [264, 263, 265, 266, 11, 267, 33, 39, 40, 106].

Chapter - 7

Future Perspectives and Emerging Trends

It is abundantly clear that 3D bioprinting technologies will continue to advance and evolve dramatically, as researchers and professionals systematically address a wide array of complex tissue and organ biofabrication challenges that continually emerge within the rapidly growing field of regenerative medicine. The anticipated enhancements in print speed and accuracy will be notably accompanied by innovative post-processing techniques, which will significantly improve not only the functionality but also the customization of the printed structures. This growth trajectory will be further complemented by the progressive development of sophisticated advanced materials that are specifically tailored for a multitude of diverse bioprinting applications. These groundbreaking advancements are poised to greatly drive growth in this sector, potentially providing the essential foundation that could facilitate the realization of the inspiring vision of bioprinted implants. Such implants aim to achieve seamless and effective integration within the host's biological systems, ensuring they work harmoniously with the existing tissues and structures already present. Equally critical, however, is the pressing and unrelenting need for the simultaneous development of various aspects, coupled with substantial investments into robust legal and regulatory frameworks that govern these burgeoning bioprinting technologies. This multifaceted effort includes not just the formulation and development of technician training programs specifically designed to cultivate a skilled and knowledgeable workforce but also addressing the need to ensure that efficient logistics of the cold chain production process are sufficiently optimized for maximum efficiency and effectiveness. These crucial factors cannot be overlooked or underestimated if bioprinting technologies are to transition from being merely a much-trumpeted aspiration to becoming a completely feasible and highly successful technology within the vital context of restorative surgery and regenerative medicine practices. It is evident that these transformative technologies represent a remarkably innovative and groundbreaking example of additive manufacturing techniques that are intricately intertwined with biological sciences. This crucial intersection is essential for spearheading significant breakthroughs and advancements in medical practice, patient care, and overall healthcare standards. The extensive bioprinting research conducted to date has primarily focused on the essential and fundamental task of developing suitable and effective materials, advanced bioreactors, and highly specialized bioinks that are capable of reproducing intricate and highly functional structures while achieving the desired biological functions inherent to living tissues and vital organs alike. Following the intricate and meticulous printing process, the resulting constructs require careful and intentional incubation for a sufficient length of time to enable the full maturation of their physical and functional properties prior to the delicate transplantation process. This step is crucial for ensuring the long-term success of these medical interventions, which are increasingly becoming a focal point in regenerative strategies. Furthermore, this necessity leads to an increased demand for effective and reliable post-bioprinting processing protocols that can guarantee both the quality and functionality of the constructs being developed. It is imperative that now, with an initial, albeit poorly standardized, nomenclature having been set in motion regarding bioprinting technologies, a better consensus needs to be reached within the scientific community at large. This consensus is essential to evaluate the intricate science and technology associated with these innovative new approaches impartially and fairly, thereby driving advancement in the field with greater clarity, precision, and efficacy for the promising future of regenerative medicine and improved patient outcomes. By refining and enhancing these processes and frameworks, we can expect significant strides in the application of bioprinting technologies, ultimately supporting the transition from theoretical applications to practical, clinical realities that transform the landscape of medicine for generations to come [268, 269, 28, 270, 271, 272, 23, 273, 265, 274].

7.1 4D Bioprinting

The fabrication of revolutionary devices that are specifically intended for a wide array of biomedical applications stands as the leading and most groundbreaking concept at the heart of the emerging and transformative technology known as 4D printing. This unique approach is just beginning to make its initial strides into this fascinating and rapidly evolving field, which possesses immense promise for future advancements. Researchers and scientists are voicing considerable optimism about the potential that one day, the diverse and extensive possibilities offered by 4D printing will pave the way for the invention of entirely new biomedical devices to enter the market. These innovative devices could have the capability to integrate seamlessly within the biological systems of a patient, effectively revolutionizing treatment methodologies as we know them today. However, it is crucial to understand that these future devices will most likely not resemble, in any significant way, the conventional functional substitutes that are widely utilized in clinical settings across the globe today. Instead, they are expected to utilize a sophisticated amalgamation of numerous advanced technologies within the expansive realm of multiscale additive manufacturing. This pioneering process is on course to produce highly complex and multifaceted devices, which will comprise a unique combination of both soft and hard materials within their intricate structure, yielding numerous functional advantages and capabilities. Furthermore, these groundbreaking and state-of-the-art devices will be meticulously designed to be miniaturized in size, thus allowing for their harmonious integration and blending with various biological tissues and organs found within the human body. Remarkably, these devices are anticipated to undergo evolution or growth over time, thanks to the utilization of several carefully and deliberately chosen bioinks, each serving specific functional purposes that correspond with medical needs. The novelty of 4D bioprinting is poised to pave the way toward delivering a significantly more secure, personalized, and efficient treatment experience for patients grappling with various medical conditions and challenges. For example, implants and advanced, sophisticated disease-detection devices will possess the remarkable ability to adapt intelligently to the natural healing process of the human body. They will be equipped to make the necessary adjustments as cancer markers show signs of regression back to healthy ranges, all while ensuring minimal detriment and impact to the surrounding healthy tissue. This remarkable level of adaptability represents a substantial leap forward in the field of personalized medicine, marking a pivotal change in the way we approach medical treatments in the future. To ensure that these inventive devices are optimally configured to better suit individualized treatment protocols and therapeutic interventions, they will be customized to fit the specific needs of each patient. This tailored and meticulous approach will involve careful consideration of each individual's unique morphometric properties, thorough and comprehensive genomic analysis, specific cell types, and a detailed medical history to understand the underlying health conditions better. In addition, to further enhance the overall effectiveness and efficiency of treatment, therapeutic agents, biologics, and growth factors will be strategically pre-microencapsulated within the printed structure of these advanced devices. The outer walls of these technologically advanced devices will be crafted with precision using a fast-dissolving polymer tailored to meet functional needs. The controlled degradation of these wall structures will precipitate the intentional and controlled release of therapeutic agents at particular locations and specific times within the body, thereby promoting the best possible recovery outcomes for patients post-surgical or therapeutic interventions. In spite of the significant advancements and progressive developments being witnessed in bioprinting technology, several formidable difficulties and pressing issues remain that need to be systematically addressed with the assistance of ongoing and focused research efforts in the field. Among these, a pivotal challenge includes the intricate and complex fabrication of vascular networks, which are essential for achieving proper nourishment and overall functionality of the bioprinted tissues that are so crucial to successful medical treatments. While an abundance of manageable stochastic optimization algorithm research has focused on improving yields in vascular network fabrication, the majority of these studies have unfortunately been targeted toward experimenting with standard perfusable vasculature characterized by smooth, uniform, and straight channels on flat, two-dimensional substrates. Other notable and formidable obstacles in the realm of 4D bioprinting include cumbersome and intricate printing procedures, which introduce complications in achieving the necessary geometric complexity often required for accurately replicating the intricate and critical characteristics found within the desired vascular structure. Another significant challenge facing the field is the urgent need for the development of a robust digital control system specifically designed for the innovative processes involved in advanced 4D bioprinting technology. These ongoing hurdles underscore the pressing necessity for further advances in research, development, and technology to fully unlock the vast potential and extraordinary capabilities of 4D printing within the realm of biomedical applications. As researchers and scientists continue their dedicated efforts to address these challenges, the future of 4D bioprinting holds remarkable promise for improving patient care and outcomes in ways that we have yet to fully realize or even imagine. [275, 254, 276, 277, 278, 279, 280, 281, 282, 283, 284]

7.2 Bioprinting in Space Exploration

Bioprinting of living tissues will undoubtedly serve as an immensely pivotal marker in preparing humanity for long-term space travel, as well as the eventual colonization of new and distant planets, where we will seek to establish a potential presence beyond the confines of Earth. This new frontier, laden with a myriad of possibilities and uncertainties, will radically alter our relationship with the cosmos in ways that have previously remained unimagined. The exploration of outer space is filled with challenges and questions that may stimulate profound advancements in technology and understanding, significantly impacting not only our scientific endeavors and innovations but also our philosophical understanding of existence and life beyond our home planet. The primary factor that significantly and profoundly influences bioprinting experiments aimed at space exploration is, without a doubt, the unique microgravity environment that can be found in the vast and mysterious depths of outer space. This extraordinary and unparalleled setting presents a reality where the relentless and overpowering force of gravity is no longer an inhibiting factor, as it often is on Earth, thereby allowing us to explore and investigate previously unattainable possibilities. In this novel and transformative microgravity environment, wherein the force of gravity no longer acts as the dominant and limiting influence, the manner in which cells grow, differentiate, and even arrange themselves into complex and highly organized tissues undergoes a profound and transformative change. This unique setting enhances the processes of cellular organization, creating new architectures and complexities that are not feasible under Earth's gravitational constraints. As a result, this leads to unexpected opportunities for comprehensive scientific exploration and groundbreaking discovery that could revolutionize our approach to tissue engineering and regenerative medicine. The advancements made possible through bioprinting in microgravity may hold the key to developing innovative medical therapies that we are only beginning to conceive, potentially changing the future of human existence in ways that will inspire generations to come. Thus, as we venture into this new era of exploration, we must embrace the monumental implications of bioprinting in space and the promise it holds for both humanity and the universe at large [285, 286, 287, 288, 289, 290, 291, 292, 293]. The bioprinting of tissues throughout an extended space flight, which could potentially stretch anywhere from several months to multiple years-especially with the overarching objective being the creation of larger, more complex tissues, such as kidneys, livers, and possibly even more advanced biological structures over the course of decades-will require extensive research and development. This continuous progression of knowledge is especially crucial in the ambitious context of establishing a permanent settlement on another celestial body, as it necessitates an in-depth and nuanced understanding of how these cellular changes will ultimately determine the nature of tissue formation and development in the unique conditions of space. This crucial understanding will provide the foundational bedrock that drives any future bioprinting-centric experiments, whether they occur aboard the International Space Station or in various other environments characterized by microgravity. Furthermore, this extensive knowledge will offer essential guidance for growing tissues in the gravitational environment of Earth, particularly within sophisticated bioreactors that play an indispensable role in supporting these complex biological processes. In the expansive realm of scientific research, a variety of comprehensive studies have lent credence to the observation that cells exhibit an increased resistance to the process of differentiation while remaining steadfastly in an undifferentiated state. This condition is typically epitomized by stem cells, which harbor extraordinary potential for future applications in the fast-evolving fields of regenerative medicine and tissue engineering. Furthermore, there have been notable and significant morphological changes observed in the nuclei and cytoskeleton of cells that are organized into intricate three-dimensional (3D) spheroids. This sophisticated and innovative form of cellular arrangement actively mimics the in vivo conditions, accomplishing this feat far more effectively than the traditional two-dimensional cell cultures have ever been able to achieve. In light of this, the practice of 3D culturing emerges as a highly preferable method for studying cellular responses and interactions, providing researchers with a far more realistic and relevant framework for their investigations. By gaining a thorough understanding of these intricate cellular changes, along with the underlying factors that catalyze them, scientists and engineers could potentially pave the way for the development of advanced, state-of-the-art bioreactors. These cutting-edge reactors would facilitate a less aggressive media perfusion strategy for tissue constructs during the crucial and delicate process of developing the complex tissue systems that are necessary for successful bioprinting. This careful approach ensures not only effective tissue formation but also upholds the integrity of the structures being meticulously created. Such integrity is critical for their eventual application in various medical settings, which may one day hold immense significance for both space missions and terrestrial healthcare, offering solutions to some of the most pressing medical challenges we face today. [294, 295, 296, 297, 298, 299, 300]Another crucial and important goal associated with the advancement of our understanding of cellular changes under microgravity conditions is the focused and strategic development of mathematical models. These models will accurately facilitate the prediction of tissue formation through innovative bioprinting methodologies, thereby enhancing our overall capacity for controlled experimentation. This predictive capability, once fully realized, will empower researchers to test innovative computer-generated bioprinting paths at an unprecedented and much faster pace. Importantly, it will allow for better real-time adjustments of those paths during the intricate biosynthetic processes involved in tissue creation and development, maximizing the effectiveness of the bioprinting process. Furthermore, an additional long-term objective in this expansive and fascinating realm of research is to create a highly advanced and sophisticated computerized system. This system would possess the capacity to take into account the desired dimensions of various tissues, generating the precise 3D path necessary to bioprint that specific tissue with unparalleled accuracy and precision. However, the successful implementation of this kind of intricate system hinges crucially on the bioprinter's need to possess comprehensive prior knowledge about how all individual components of tissue change and grow during the intricate bioprinting process, which is anything but a straightforward endeavor. Thus, ongoing research in this innovative and rapidly evolving area is not just about the immediate applications but about laying down a comprehensive, enduring foundation for future innovations. These innovations have the potential to transform the long-term human presence in the realms of space from what has often been viewed as a distant dream into a tangible and vibrant reality. Such advancements could significantly reshape the future trajectory of humanity as we venture further into the cosmos, offering new possibilities and challenges that will ultimately define our exploration and use of space in the exciting and unpredictable coming generations [84, 285, 301, 302, 303, 287, 286, 304, 305, 306].

Chapter - 8

Conclusion

The insights and future perspectives of bioprinting for personalized medicine, the current trends shaping personalized medicine research in the 21st century, and how bioprinting research fills the gaps and supports these trends have been presented. Bioprinting, as one of the most promising techniques to provide precise, heterogeneous, and multi-material structures, has opened new horizons for personalized medicine by facilitating the in vitro disease model, organ-on-a-chip (OOC) devices, and patient-specific implants. Despite these promising advances, however, bioprinting research is in urgent demand of better (1) standardization, (2) simulation and materials studies, (3) integration with other platforms, (4) IP and regulatory management, and (5) evaluation and documentation [1]. As industrial and regulatory authorities have shown a strong interest in bioprinting, researchers are advised to focus more on bridging the lab-based studies towards the commercialized and regulatory-approved endowments. The insights provided are supported by analytical data and selectively review trusted sources. The opinions may not directly reflect the current status in every corner, especially for the potentially overlooked aspects on peripheral disciplines, technologies, and sectors to bioprinting, e.g., bioinformatics, bioreactor, big data, genome editing, immune engineering, nano biosensing, microbiome, radiological imaging, etc. The need and support for interdisciplinary research and international collaboration should draw more attention to discuss the future perspective, market analysis, and mental health strategy.

References

1. T. H. Jovic, E. J. Combellack, Z. M. Jessop, and I. S. Whitaker, "3D Bioprinting and the Future of Surgery," 2020. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
2. P. Saha, A. Nandi, J. Adhikari, and A. Ghosh, "Current Progress and Future Perspectives of Biomaterials in 3D Bioprinting," Advances in Additive, 2024. [HTML]
3. K. Pathak, R. Saikia, A. Das, and D. Das, "3D printing in biomedicine: Advancing personalized care through additive manufacturing," in *… of Medicine*, 2023. explorationpub.com
4. K. Y. Wu, M. Joly-Chevrier, and L. K. Gorwill, "Advancements in Bioprinting for Medical Applications," 3D Bioprinting from ..., 2024. [HTML]
5. H. Assad, A. Assad, and A. Kumar, "Recent developments in 3D bio-printing and its biomedical applications," Pharmaceutics, 2023. mdpi.com
6. Chowdhry, K. Singh, and A. Gupta, "Progress in adoptable materials in 3D bioprinting technology for organ and tissue regenerative engineering," in Proceedings of the ..., 2024. [HTML]
7. S. Datai, "3D Bioprinting human organs: An upcoming solution for the global human organ shortage," 2021. uu.nl
8. D. Sawant and S. B. Somwanshi, "3D Bioprinting of Tissue and Organ: an Overview," 2023. amazonaws.com
9. M. Nizam, R. Purohit, and M. Taufik, "3D printing in healthcare: A review on drug printing, challenges and future perspectives," Materials Today Communications, 2024. [HTML]
10. Z. Zheng, W. Tang, Y. Li, Y. Ai et al., "Advancing cardiac regeneration through 3D bioprinting: Methods, applications, and future directions," Heart Failure Reviews, 2024. [HTML]
11. S. J. Lee, W. Jeong, and A. Atala, "3D Bioprinting for Engineered Tissue Constructs and Patient-Specific Models: Current Progress and Prospects in Clinical Applications," Advanced Materials, 2024. [HTML]
12. Adhyapak HM, Gaonkar SL, "Overview of 3D Bioprinting Technology," 3D Bioprinting Technology, 2025. [HTML]

13. K. Pushparaj, B. Balasubramanian, and M. Pappuswamy, "Out of box thinking to tangible science: A benchmark history of 3D bio-printing in regenerative medicine and tissues engineering," Life, 2023. mdpi.com
14. Derman, T. Rivera, L. G. Cerda, Y. P. Singh, "Advancements in 3D skin bioprinting: processes, bioinks, applications and sensor integration," Journal of Extreme, 2024. iop.org
15. Petronaitis, "Design, realization, and validation of an extrusion-based bioprinter for hydrogel applications on microchips," 2023. tuwien.at
16. M. Bejoy, K. N. Makkithaya, B. B. Hunakunti, and A. Hegde, "An insight on advances and applications of 3d bioprinting: A review," Bioprinting, 2021. [HTML]
17. AP Tiwari, ND Thorat, S Pricl, RM Patil, and S Rohiwal, "Bioink: a 3D-bioprinting tool for anticancer drug discovery and cancer management," Drug Discovery, Elsevier, 2021. units.it
18. R. Jamee, Y. Araf, I. Bin Naser, and S. Khan Promon, "The promising rise of bioprinting in revolutionalizing medical science: Advances and possibilities," 2021. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
19. S. Liu, L. Cheng, Y. Liu, H. Zhang et al., "3D Bioprinting tissue analogs: Current development and translational implications," 2023. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
20. S. Santoni, S. G. Gugliandolo, and M. Sponchioni, "3D bioprinting: current status and trends-a guide to the literature and industrial practice," Bio-Design and ..., 2022. springer.com
21. Tong, Q. L. Pham, and P. Abatemarco, "Review of low-cost 3D bioprinters: State of the market and observed future trends," …: Translating Life …, 2021. sagepub.com
22. J. H. Tibbetts, "The Future of Bioprinting: Multidisciplinary teams seek to create living human organs.," BioScience, 2021. academia.edu
23. L. E. Bertassoni, "Bioprinting of complex multicellular organs with advanced functionality-recent progress and challenges ahead," Advanced Materials, 2022. nih.gov
24. D. Ke, C. Niu, and X. Yang, "Evolution of 3D bioprinting-from the perspectives of bioprinting companies," Bioprinting, 2022. [HTML]
25. L. Aydin, "A review of current state of bioprinting technology," Medliber Regener Med, 2023. medliber.com

26. D. Suarez-Martinez, M. Sole-Gras, "Bioprinting on live tissue for investigating cancer cell dynamics," Tissue Engineering, 2021. nih.gov

27. Y. Fang, Y. Guo, T. Liu, R. Xu, S. Mao, and X. Mo, "Advances in 3D bioprinting," Mechanical Engineering, Elsevier, 2022. sciencedirect.com

28. S. C. Pedroza-González, "Bioinks for 3D bioprinting: A scientometric analysis of two decades of progress," Journal of Bioprinting, 2021. nih.gov

29. J. Zhang, E. Wehrle, M. Rubert, and R. Müller, "3D bioprinting of human tissues: biofabrication, bioinks, and bioreactors," International Journal of Molecular, 2021. mdpi.com

30. D. Angelats Lobo, P. Ginestra, E. Ceretti, T. Puig Miquel et al., "Cancer Cell Direct Bioprinting: A Focused Review," 2021. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

31. J. Li, M. Chen, X. Fan, and H. Zhou, "Recent advances in bioprinting techniques: approaches, applications and future prospects," 2016. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

32. N. Liu, X. Ye, B. Yao, M. Zhao, P. Wu, G. Liu, and D. Zhuang, "Advances in 3D bioprinting technology for cardiac tissue engineering and regeneration," *Bioactive Materials*, 2021. sciencedirect.com

33. G. Huang, Y. Zhao, D. Chen, L. Wei, Z. Hu, and J. Li, "Applications, advancements, and challenges of 3D bioprinting in organ transplantation," Biomaterials, 2024. rsc.org

34. Petrosyan, F. Montali, A. Peloso, and A. Citro, "Regenerative medicine technologies applied to transplant medicine. An update," in Bioengineering and ..., 2022. frontiersin.org

35. H. Budharaju, A. Subramanian, and S. Sethuraman, "Recent advancements in cardiovascular bioprinting and bioprinted cardiac constructs," Biomaterials Science, 2021. google.com

36. Yaneva, D. Shopova, D. Bakova, and A. Mihaylova, "The progress in bioprinting and its potential impact on health-related quality of life," Bioengineering, 2023. mdpi.com

37. S. Panda, S. Hajra, K. Mistewicz, and B. Nowacki, "A focused review on three-dimensional bioprinting technology for artificial organ fabrication," Biomaterials, 2022. rug.nl

38. P. Jain, H. Kathuria, and N. Dubey, "Advances in 3D bioprinting of tissues/organs for regenerative medicine and in-vitro models," Biomaterials, 2022. [HTML]

39. X. Wang, D. Zhang, Y. P. Singh, M. Yeo, G. Deng, and J. Lai, "Progress in Organ Bioprinting for Regenerative Medicine," Engineering, 2024. sciencedirect.com
40. S. Kumar and R. Malviya, "Bioprinting of hepatic tissue using 3D technology: Transitioning beyond laboratory models to real-world applications in medical treatments," Applied Materials Today, 2024. [HTML]
41. TS Tamir, FB Teferi, X Hua, J Leng, and G Xiong, "A review of advances in 3D and 4D bioprinting: toward mass individualization paradigm," Journal of Intelligent, 2024. [HTML]
42. K. Agarwal, V. Srinivasan, V. Lather, and D. Pandita, "Insights of 3D bioprinting and focusing the paradigm shift towards 4D printing for biomedical applications," Journal of Materials, 2023. springer.com
43. P. Carou-Senra, L. Rodríguez-Pombo, "Inkjet printing of pharmaceuticals," Advanced, 2024. wiley.com
44. V. M. Vaz and L. Kumar, "3D printing as a promising tool in personalized medicine," Aaps Pharmscitech, 2021. springer.com
45. Veiga, I. V. Silva, M. M. Duarte, and A. L. Oliveira, "Current trends on protein driven bioinks for 3D printing," Pharmaceutics, 2021. mdpi.com
46. P. Vazquez-Aristizabal, G. Perumal, and C. Garcia-Astrain, "Trends in tissue bioprinting, cell-laden bioink formulation, and cell tracking," ACS, 2022. acs.org
47. S. Ramesh, O. L. A. Harrysson, P. K. Rao, and A. Tamayol, "Extrusion bioprinting: Recent progress, challenges, and future opportunities," Bioprinting, Elsevier, 2021. nsf.gov
48. D. Gogoi, M. Kumar, and J. Singh, "A comprehensive review on hydrogel-based bio-ink development for tissue engineering scaffolds using 3D printing," Annals of 3D Printed Medicine, 2024. sciencedirect.com
49. S. Asim, T. A. Tabish, U. Liaqat, and I. T. Ozbolat, "Advances in gelatin bioinks to optimize bioprinted cell functions," Advanced Healthcare, 2023. researchgate.net
50. J. Lai, Y. Liu, G. Lu, P. Yung et al., "4D bioprinting of programmed dynamic tissues," Bioactive Materials, 2024. sciencedirect.com
51. R. Saadan, C. H. Alaoui, K. S. Quraishi, and F. Afridi, "Recent Progress in Hydrogel-Based Bioinks for 3D Bioprinting: A Patent Landscape

Analysis and Technology Updates," Journal of Research, 2024. hal.science

52. S. C. Altıparmak, V. A. Yardley, Z. Shi, and J. Lin, "Extrusion-based additive manufacturing technologies: State of the art and future perspectives," *Journal of Manufacturing*, 2022. sciencedirect.com

53. X. Sun, M. Mazur, and C. T. Cheng, "A review of void reduction strategies in material extrusion-based additive manufacturing," Additive Manufacturing, 2023. sciencedirect.com

54. K. Rajan, M. Samykano, and K. Kadirgama, "Fused deposition modeling: process, materials, parameters, properties, and applications," International Journal of ..., 2022. researchgate.net

55. Suwanpreecha and A. Manonukul, "A review on material extrusion additive manufacturing of metal and how it compares with metal injection moulding," Metals, 2022. mdpi.com

56. Oleff, B. Küster, M. Stonis, and L. Overmeyer, "Process monitoring for material extrusion additive manufacturing: a state-of-the-art review," Progress in Additive Manufacturing, 2021. springer.com

57. L. Jin, X. Zhai, K. Wang, K. Zhang, D. Wu, A. Nazir, and J. Jiang, "Big data, machine learning, and digital twin assisted additive manufacturing: A review," *Materials & Design*, 2024. sciencedirect.com

58. L. Yi, M. Glatt, S. Ehmsen, W. Duan et al., "Process monitoring of economic and environmental performance of a material extrusion printer using an augmented reality-based digital twin," Additive Manufacturing, 2021. sciencedirect.com

59. S. Raees, F. Ullah, F. Javed, H. M. Akil, and M. J. Khan, "Classification, processing, and applications of bioink and 3D bioprinting: A detailed review," International Journal of ..., 2023. [HTML]

60. W. Zhou, Z. Shao, J. Yu, and J. Lin, "Advances and trends in forming curved extrusion profiles," Materials, 2021. mdpi.com

61. N. Vidakis, C. David, M. Petousis, and D. Sagris, "… the surface roughness, dimensional accuracy, and porosity in material extrusion 3D printing of polylactic acid: Prediction models and optimization supported …," Advances in Industrial, 2022. sciencedirect.com

62. S. Sandra, "Hierarchical Multiscale Evaluation of Bone Adaptation around Magnesium-based Implants," 2023. uni-kiel.de

63. G. Frei, Á. Haimhoffer, E. Csapó, K. Bodnár, and G. Vasvári, "In Vitro and In Vivo Efficacy of Topical Dosage Forms Containing Self-Nanoemulsifying Drug Delivery System Loaded with Curcumin," Pharmaceutics, 2023. mdpi.com
64. S. Riar and P. S. Panesar, "Bioactive Compounds and Nutraceuticals from Dairy, Marine, and Nonconventional Sources: Extraction Technology, Analytical Techniques, and Potential …," 2024. [HTML]
65. Y. Xing and H. Xiong, "Therapeutic potential of curcumin in HIV-1-associated neurocognitive disorders," HIV-Associated Neurocognitive Disorders, 2024. [HTML]
66. H. Ardies, "Influence of Environmental Variables on Yield, Pests and Diseases of Cocoa in Uganda," 2023. ugent.be
67. M. Devlin and J. Brodie, "Check for updates," Marine Pollution-Monitoring, Management …, 2023. [HTML]
68. J. Sharpe, "Response of the Corbières Carbonate Platform to Early Cretaceous Super Greenhouse Conditions," 2023. [HTML]
69. J. Stanisic, L. Stanisic, and D. Potter, "A Guide to Land Snails of Australia," 2022. [HTML]
70. S. Verma, V. Khanna, S. Kumar, and S. Kumar, "The Art of Building Living Tissues: Exploring the Frontiers of Biofabrication with 3D Bioprinting," 2023. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
71. J. Liu, Q. Wang, Y. Le, M. Hu, C. Li, and N. An, "3D-Bioprinting for Precision Microtissue Engineering: Advances, Applications, and Prospects," Advanced, 2024. researchgate.net
72. J. W. Tashman, D. J. Shiwarski, and A. W. Feinberg, "Development of a high-performance open-source 3D bioprinter," Scientific Reports, 2022. nature.com
73. M. Shabbirahmed, R. Sekar, L. A. Gomez, and M. R. Sekhar, "Recent developments of silk-based scaffolds for tissue engineering and regenerative medicine applications: A special focus on the advancement of 3D printing," Biomimetics, 2023. mdpi.com
74. G. Saini, N. Segaran, J. L. Mayer, and A. Saini, "Applications of 3D bioprinting in tissue engineering and regenerative medicine," *Journal of Clinical Medicine*, 2021. mdpi.com

75. Veeman MS. Sai P. Sureshkumar, "Additive manufacturing of biopolymers for tissue engineering and regenerative medicine: an overview, potential applications, advancements, and trends," Journal of Polymer, 2021. wiley.com

76. X. Zhang, X. Zhang, Y. Li, and Y. Zhang, "Applications of Light-Based 3D Bioprinting and Photoactive Biomaterials for Tissue Engineering," 2023. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

77. P. Karakaidos, C. Kryou, N. Simigdala, and A. Klinakis, "Laser bioprinting of cells using UV and visible wavelengths: a comparative DNA damage study," Bioengineering, 2022. mdpi.com

78. W. L. Ng and V. Shkolnikov, "Jetting-based bioprinting: process, dispense physics, and applications," Bio-Design and Manufacturing, 2024. springer.com

79. Q. Wei, Y. An, X. Zhao, M. Li, and J. Zhang, "Three-dimensional bioprinting of tissue-engineered skin: Biomaterials, fabrication techniques, challenging difficulties, and future directions: A review," International Journal of Biological, 2024. [HTML]

80. J. Adhikari, A. Roy, A. Das, and M. Ghosh, "Effects of processing parameters of 3D bioprinting on the cellular activity of bioinks," Macromolecular, 2021. [HTML]

81. M. Cabral, K. Cheng, and D. Zhu, "Three-dimensional bioprinting of organoids: Past, present, and prospective," Tissue Engineering Part A, 2024. liebertpub.com

82. H. Abu Owida, "Developments and clinical applications of biomimetic tissue regeneration using 3D bioprinting technique," Applied Bionics and Biomechanics, 2022. wiley.com

83. B. Namratha, D. Dheeraj, and L. G. Santosh, "Building Better Faces: The 3D Bioprinting Breakthrough in Maxillofacial Surgery," Compendium of 3D Bioprinting, Taylor & Francis. [HTML]

84. Stanco, P. Urbán, S. Tirendi, G. Ciardelli et al., "3D bioprinting for orthopaedic applications: Current advances, challenges and regulatory considerations," 2020. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

85. R. Jamee, Y. Araf, I. B. Naser, and S. K. Promon, "The promising rise of bioprinting in revolutionalizing medical science: Advances and possibilities," Regenerative Therapy, 2021. sciencedirect.com

86. O. B. Omondi, Y. N. Arroyan, B. Onyango, and L. Kong, "Revolutionizing healthcare: Emerging frontiers in 3D bioprinting of tissues and organs," European Polymer, Elsevier, 2024. [HTML]

87. S. Vanaei, S. Vanaei, M. Kanhonou, "3D bioprinting from lab to industry," 3D Bioprinting from …, 2024. [HTML]

88. Varpe, M. Sayed, and N. S. Mane, "A comprehensive literature review on advancements and challenges in 3D bioprinting of human organs: ear, skin, and bone," Annals of Biomedical Engineering, 2024. [HTML]

89. ZB Akhtar and AD Gupta, "Advancements within molecular engineering for regenerative medicine and biomedical applications: an investigation analysis towards a computing retrospective," Electromedical Engineering, and Medical, 2024. jeeemi.org

90. W. Fang, M. Yang, L. Wang, W. Li et al., "Hydrogels for 3D bioprinting in tissue engineering and regenerative medicine: Current progress and challenges," 2023. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

91. M. Askari, M. A. Naniz, M. Kouhi, and A. Saberi, "Recent progress in extrusion 3D bioprinting of hydrogel biomaterials for tissue regeneration: a comprehensive review with focus on advanced fabrication techniques," Biomaterials, 2021. rsc.org

92. S. Vanaei, M. S. Parizi, F. Salemizadehparizi, "An overview on materials and techniques in 3D bioprinting toward biomedical application," Engineered, 2021. sciencedirect.com

93. Z. Fu, S. Naghieh, C. Xu, C. Wang et al., "Printability in extrusion bioprinting," Biofabrication, 2021. academia.edu

94. S. Tian, H. Zhao, and N. Lewinski, "Key parameters and applications of extrusion-based bioprinting," Bioprinting, 2021. [HTML]

95. Rossi, T. Pescara, A. M. Gambelli, and F. Gaggia, "Biomaterials for extrusion-based bioprinting and biomedical applications," Frontiers in, 2024. frontiersin.org

96. S. P. Tarassoli, Z. M. Jessop, T. Jovic, K. Hawkins, "Candidate bioinks for extrusion 3D bioprinting-a systematic review of the literature," Frontiers in, 2021. frontiersin.org

97. D. Shopova, A. Yaneva, D. Bakova, and A. Mihaylova, "(Bio) printing in personalized medicine-opportunities and potential benefits," Bioengineering, 2023. mdpi.com

98. D. R. Serrano, A. Kara, I. Yuste, F. C. Luciano, and B. Ongoren, "3D printing technologies in personalized medicine, nanomedicines, and biopharmaceuticals," Pharmaceutics, 2023. mdpi.com

99. P. Gnatowski, E. Piłat, J. Kucińska-Lipka, and M. R. Saeb, "Recent advances in 3D bioprinted tumor models for personalized medicine," Translational, 2023. sciencedirect.com

100. L. Alzoubi, A. A. A. Aljabali, and M. M. Tambuwala, "Empowering precision medicine: the impact of 3D printing on personalized therapeutic," AAPS PharmSciTech, 2023. springer.com

101. S. Bom, A. M. Martins, H. M. Ribeiro, and J. Marto, "Diving into 3D (bio) printing: A revolutionary tool to customize the production of drug and cell-based systems for skin delivery," International Journal of …, 2021. [HTML]

102. R. Augustine, S. N. Kalva, R. Ahmad, A. A. Zahid, "3D Bioprinted cancer models: Revolutionizing personalized cancer therapy," Translational, 2021. sciencedirect.com

103. Y. Li, J. Liu, S. Xu, and J. Wang, "3D Bioprinting: An Important Tool for Tumor Microenvironment Research," International Journal of Nanomedicine, 2023. tandfonline.com

104. P. Andrés-Cano, J. A. Calvo-Haro, and F. Fillat-Gomà, "Role of the orthopaedic surgeon in 3D printing: current applications and legal issues for a personalized medicine," Revista Española de…, 2021. sciencedirect.com

105. S. K. Shetty, S. S. Santhanakrishnan, "Prioritizing Biomaterial Driven Clinical Bioactivity Over Designing Intricacy during Bioprinting of Trabecular Microarchitecture: A Clinician's Perspective," ACS Publications, 2024. acs.org

106. S. Ramesh, A. Deep, A. Tamayol, A. Kamaraj, and C. Mahajan, "Advancing 3D bioprinting through machine learning and artificial intelligence," Bioprinting, 2024. [HTML]

107. N. Germain, M. Dhayer, and S. Dekiouk, "Current advances in 3D bioprinting for cancer modeling and personalized medicine," International journal of …, 2022. mdpi.com

108. J. Stepanovska, M. Supova, K. Hanzalek, and A. Broz, "Collagen bioinks for bioprinting: a systematic review of hydrogel properties, bioprinting parameters, protocols, and bioprinted structure characteristics," Biomedicines, 2021. mdpi.com

109. S. James and S. Mulgaonkar, "Study on parameter optimization of 3D bioprinting of hybrid bio-inks," The International Journal of Advanced, 2022. archive.org

110. S. Dixit, S. Gupta, and A. Sharma, "Surgical Devices for Biomedical Implants," in *Additive Manufacturing for Biomedical*, 2024, Springer. [HTML]

111. W. Al-Zyoud, D. Haddadin, S. A. Hasan, H. Jaradat, "Biocompatibility testing for implants: A novel tool for selection and characterization," Materials, 2023. mdpi.com

112. O. Williamson, "Advancing Craniomaxillofacial Surgery: The Role of 3D-Printing on Surgical Practices," Jurnal EduHealth, 2024. seaninstitute.or.id

113. M. A. Khan, N. Khan, M. Ullah, and S. Hamayun, "3D printing technology and its revolutionary role in stent implementation in cardiovascular disease," *Current Problems in*, 2024. [HTML]

114. JRS Klasen, GP Thatcher, JA Bleedorn, "Virtual surgical planning and 3D printing: methodology and applications in veterinary oromaxillofacial surgery," *Frontiers in Veterinary*, 2022. frontiersin.org

115. V. Vento, S. Kuntz, A. Lejay, and N. Chakfe, "Evolutionary trends and innovations in cardiovascular intervention," Frontiers in Medical Technology, 2024. frontiersin.org

116. K. R. Ryan, M. P. Down, and C. E. Banks, "Future of additive manufacturing: Overview of 4D and 3D printed smart and advanced materials and their applications," Chemical Engineering Journal, 2021. mmu.ac.uk

117. M. E. Arefin, N. R. Khatri, N. Kulkarni, and P. F. Egan, "Polymer 3D printing review: Materials, process, and design strategies for medical applications," Polymers, 2021. mdpi.com

118. J. Wang, Y. Zhang, N. H. Aghda, A. R. Pillai, "Emerging 3D printing technologies for drug delivery devices: Current status and future perspective," Advanced Drug Delivery, Elsevier, 2021. [HTML]

119. B. G. Pavan Kalyan and L. Kumar, "3D printing: applications in tissue engineering, medical devices, and drug delivery," Aaps Pharmscitech, 2022. springer.com

120. Z. Jin, Y. Li, K. Yu, L. Liu, J. Fu, X. Yao, and A. Zhang, "3D printing of physical organ models: recent developments and challenges," Advanced, 2021. wiley.com

121. X. Xu, A. Awad, P. Robles-Martinez, S. Gaisford, "Vat photopolymerization 3D printing for advanced drug delivery and medical device applications," Journal of Controlled, Elsevier, 2021. ucl.ac.uk

122. Y. Zhu, D. Joralmon, W. Shan, Y. Chen, and J. Rong, "3D printing biomimetic materials and structures for biomedical applications," ... and Manufacturing, 2021. researchgate.net

123. J. Chen, X. Liu, Y. Tian, W. Zhu, C. Yan, and Y. Shi, "3D-Printed anisotropic polymer materials for functional applications," Advanced, 2022. wiley.com

124. Y. Bao, N. Paunović, and J. C. Leroux, "Challenges and opportunities in 3D printing of biodegradable medical devices by emerging photopolymerization techniques," Advanced Functional Materials, 2022. wiley.com

125. Y. Bozkurt and E. Karayel, "3D printing technology; methods, biomedical applications, future opportunities and trends," Journal of Materials Research and Technology, 2021. sciencedirect.com

126. Fatimi, O. V. Okoro, D. Podstawczyk, J. Siminska-Stanny, "Natural hydrogel-based bio-inks for 3D bioprinting in tissue engineering: a review," Gels, 2022. mdpi.com

127. M. A. Habib and B. Khoda, "Rheological analysis of bio-ink for 3D bio-printing processes," Journal of manufacturing processes, 2022. sciencedirect.com

128. S. Dutta and P. Bansal, "Hydrogel-based bio-inks: Current progress and future directions in 3D printing," 2025. niscpr.res.in

129. M. Taghizadeh, A. Taghizadeh, M. K. Yazdi, and P. Zarrintaj, "Chitosan-based inks for 3D printing and bioprinting," Green, 2022. [HTML]

130. R. Ganpisetti and A. Lalatsa, "Cellulose Bio-Ink on 3D Printing Applications," Journal of Young Pharmacists, 2021. jyoungpharm.org

131. S. Mallakpour, E. Azadi, and C. M. Hussain, "State-of-the-art of 3D printing technology of alginate-based hydrogels-An emerging technique for industrial applications," Advances in Colloid and Interface, 2021. [HTML]

132. Agrawal and C. M. Hussain, "3D-printed hydrogel for diverse applications: A review," Gels, 2023. mdpi.com

133. Q. Gao, B. S. Kim, and G. Gao, "Advanced strategies for 3D bioprinting of tissue and organ analogs using alginate hydrogel bioinks," Marine Drugs, 2021. mdpi.com

134. H. Omidian and K. Mfoafo, "Three-Dimensional Printing Strategies for Enhanced Hydrogel Applications," Gels, 2024. mdpi.com

135. K. Tamo, L. D. W. Djouonkep, and N. B. S. Selabi, "3D printing of polysaccharide-based hydrogel scaffolds for tissue engineering applications: A review," International Journal of Biological, 2024. [HTML]

136. Ge, Q. Wang, Y. Z. Zhang, "3D printing of hydrogels for stretchable ionotronic devices," Advanced Functional Materials, 2021. [HTML]

137. X. Zhang, X. Gao, X. Zhang, and X. Yao, "Revolutionizing Intervertebral Disc Regeneration: Advances and Future Directions in Three-Dimensional Bioprinting of Hydrogel Scaffolds," *International Journal of …*, 2024. tandfonline.com

138. X. Zeng, Z. Meng, J. He, M. Mao et al., "Embedded bioprinting for designer 3D tissue constructs with complex structural organization," Acta Biomaterialia, 2022. [HTML]

139. Z. Ma, H. He, C. Deng, Y. Ren, D. Lu, W. Li, and X. Sun, "3D bioprinting of proangiogenic constructs with induced immunomodulatory microenvironments through a dual cross-linking procedure using laponite," Composites Part B, 2022. sciencedirect.com

140. V. Mathur, P. Agarwal, and M. Kasturi, "Innovative bioinks for 3D bioprinting: Exploring technological potential and regulatory challenges," Journal of Tissue, 2025. sagepub.com

141. Zennifer, S. Manivannan, and S. Sethuraman, "3D bioprinting and photocrosslinking: emerging strategies & future perspectives," Biomaterials, 2022. sciencedirect.com

142. J. Xu, M. Zhang, W. Du, J. Zhao, and G. Ling, "Chitosan-based high-strength supramolecular hydrogels for 3D bioprinting," International Journal of ..., 2022. [HTML]

143. J. E. Lee, S. W. Heo, C. H. Kim, S. J. Park, and S. H. Park, "In-situ ionic crosslinking of 3D bioprinted cell-hydrogel constructs for mechanical reinforcement and improved cell growth," Biomaterials Advances, 2023. [HTML]

144. D. V. Krishna and M. R. Sankar, "Persuasive factors on the bioink printability and cell viability in the extrusion-based 3D bioprinting for tissue regeneration applications," Engineered Regeneration, 2023. sciencedirect.com

145. D. Y. Kim, Y. Liu, G. Kim, S. B. An, and I. Han, "Innovative Strategies in 3D Bioprinting for Spinal Cord Injury Repair," International Journal of Molecular ..., 2024. mdpi.com

146. D. Patrocinio, V. Galván-Chacón, J. C. Gómez-Blanco, et al., "Biopolymers for tissue engineering: crosslinking, printing techniques, and applications," Gels, 2023. mdpi.com

147. Agrawal and C. Mustansar Hussain, "3D-Printed Hydrogel for Diverse Applications: A Review," 2023. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

148. R. Ramakrishnan and A. Dinesan, "Advantages and Disadvantages of 3D Bioprinting," Compendium of 3D Bioprinting, 2025. [HTML]

149. Persaud, A. Maus, L. Strait, and D. Zhu, "3D bioprinting with live cells," Engineered Regeneration, 2022. sciencedirect.com

150. M. Ramezani and Z. Mohd Ripin, "4D Printing in Biomedical Engineering: Advancements, Challenges, and Future Directions," 2023. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

151. V. Marcia Vaz and L. Kumar, "3D Printing as a Promising Tool in Personalized Medicine," 2021. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

152. M. I. Hajam and M. M. Khan, "Microfluidics: a concise review of the history, principles, design, applications, and future outlook," Biomaterials Science, 2024. [HTML]

153. J. Halper, "Narrative Review and Guide: State of the Art and Emerging Opportunities of Bioprinting in Tissue Regeneration and Medical Instrumentation," Bioengineering, 2025. mdpi.com

154. P. Apelgren, "Promoting the Clinical Relevance of 3D Bioprinting," 2022. gu.se

155. JF Murphy, M Lavelle, L Asciak, R Burdis, "Biofabrication and biomanufacturing in Ireland and the UK," Bio-design and ..., Springer, 2024. springer.com

156. M. Vu, A. Pramanik, A. K. Basak, C. Prakash et al., "Progress and challenges on extrusion based three dimensional (3D) printing of biomaterials," Bioprinting, 2022. [HTML]

157. R. Singh and Y. H. Ahn, "Progress of Biopolymers in 3D and 4D Printing for Biomedical Applications," Biopolymers for Biomedical Applications, 2024. [HTML]

158. S. Ghosh and H. G. Yi, "A review on bioinks and their application in plant bioprinting," International Journal of Bioprinting, 2022. nih.gov

159. Y. Fang, X. Yang, Y. Lin, J. Shi, and A. Prominski, "Dissecting biological and synthetic soft-hard interfaces for tissue-like systems," *Chemical*, 2021. nih.gov

160. Carotenuto, S. Politi, A. Ul Haq, and F. De Matteis, "From soft to hard biomimetic materials: Tuning micro/nano-architecture of scaffolds for tissue regeneration," Micromachines, 2022. mdpi.com

161. M. Bhong, T. K. H. Khan, K. Devade, and B. V. Krishna, "Review of composite materials and applications," Materials Today, Elsevier, 2023. [HTML]

162. L. Ornaghi Jr, F. M. Monticeli, and L. D. Agnol, "A Review on Polymers for Biomedical Applications on Hard and Soft Tissues and Prosthetic Limbs," Polymers, 2023. mdpi.com

163. Z. Chen, Y. Zhang, C. Wang, and B. Chen, "Understanding the cutting mechanisms of composite structured soft tissues," *International Journal of Machine Tools*, 2021. [HTML]

164. S. Lucarini, M. Hossain, and D. Garcia-Gonzalez, "Recent advances in hard-magnetic soft composites: Synthesis, characterisation, computational modelling, and applications," Composite Structures, 2022. sciencedirect.com

165. Y. Li, M. Zhou, W. Zheng, and J. Yang, "Scaffold-based tissue engineering strategies for soft-hard interface regeneration," Regenerative, 2023. oup.com

166. P. Abdollahiyan, F. Oroojalian, M. Hejazi, "Nanotechnology, and scaffold implantation for the effective repair of injured organs: An overview on hard tissue engineering," Journal of Controlled Release, 2021. [HTML]

167. V. Sharma, A. Srinivasan, F. Nikolajeff, and S. Kumar, "Biomineralization process in hard tissues: The interaction complexity within protein and inorganic counterparts," Acta biomaterialia, 2021. [HTML]

168. G. Gao, M. Ahn, W. W. Cho, B. S. Kim et al., "3D Printing of Pharmaceutical Application: Drug Screening and Drug Delivery," 2021. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

169. Shapira and T. Dvir, "3D tissue and organ printing-hope and reality," Advanced Science, 2021. wiley.com

170. M. Barreiro Carpio, M. Dabaghi, and J. Ungureanu, "3D bioprinting strategies, challenges, and opportunities to model the lung tissue microenvironment and its function," in *Bioengineering and …*, 2021. frontiersin.org

171. M. Javaid and A. Haleem, "3D bioprinting applications for the printing of skin: A brief study," Sensors International, 2021. sciencedirect.com

172. M. Mirshafiei, H. Rashedi, F. Yazdian, and A. Rahdar, "Advancements in tissue and organ 3D bioprinting: Current techniques, applications, and future perspectives," *Materials & Design*, 2024. sciencedirect.com

173. N. Panja, S. Maji, S. Choudhuri, K. A. Ali et al., "3D bioprinting of human hollow organs," AAPs Pharmscitech, 2022. springer.com

174. Stark, "Characterising the immune response to combined skin and solid organ transplants," 2022. ox.ac.uk

175. Atreya, P. Bastola, S. Bhandari, "Brain Death and Organ Transplantation in Nepal: Navigating Cultural, Legal, and Ethical Landscapes," Transplant, 2023. frontierspartnerships.org

176. E. F. A. Ehtuish and A. Baker, "Understanding the Hesitancy: A Study on Reluctance Towards Organ Donation," Arab Board Medical Journal, 2024. lww.com

177. C. Honeyman, H. L. Stark, C. A. Fries, and V. S. Gorantla, "Vascularised composite allotransplantation in solid organ transplant recipients: A systematic review," Journal of Plastic, 2021. researchgate.net

178. Offer-Stark, "Designing Medical law in a Multicultural Society: The case of Israeli end-of-life laws," Ethics, . [HTML]

179. V. O. Femi-Lawal and A. B. S. Anyinkeng, "Unmet need for heart transplantation in Africa," *Annals of Medicine*, 2024. lww.com

180. Scarabosio, P. L. Surico, and V. Tereshenko, "Whole-eye transplantation: Current challenges and future perspectives," *… of Transplantation*, 2024. nih.gov

181. M. Chawla, "Human Organ Transplantation in India: Issues and Challenges," Issue 2 Int'l JL Mgmt. & Human., 2024. [HTML]

182. R. Kwisda, "Ethical and regulatory aspects regarding xenotransplantation," 2023. uni-hannover.de

183. P. A. Clavien, P. Dutkowski, and M. Mueller, "Transplantation of a human liver following 3 days of ex situ normothermic preservation," Nature, 2022. [HTML]

184. S. V. Llewellyn, G. E. Conway, I. Zanoni, "Understanding the impact of more realistic low-dose, prolonged engineered nanomaterial exposure on genotoxicity using 3D models of the human liver," Journal of …, Springer, 2021. springer.com

185. P. N. Bernal, M. Bouwmeester, J. Madrid-Wolff, et al., "Volumetric bioprinting of organoids and optically tuned hydrogels to build liver-like metabolic biofactories," Advanced …, 2022. wiley.com

186. Z. Zheng, Y. Zong, Y. Ma, Y. Tian, and Y. Pang, "Glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor: mechanisms and advances in therapy," *… and Targeted Therapy*, 2024. nature.com

187. C. Banapuram, A. C. Naik, and M. K. Vanteru, "A Comprehensive Survey of Machine Learning in Healthcare: Predicting Heart and Liver Disease, Tuberculosis Detection in Chest X-Ray Images," Engineering, 2024. researchgate.net

188. S. K. Kim, Y. H. Kim, S. Park, and S. W. Cho, "Organoid engineering with microfluidics and biomaterials for liver, lung disease, and cancer modeling," Acta biomaterialia, 2021. [HTML]

189. Z. Li, Y. Wang, J. Liu, P. Rawding, and J. Bu, "Chemically and biologically engineered bacteria-based delivery systems for emerging diagnosis and advanced therapy," Advanced, 2021. [HTML]

190. B. An, Y. Wang, Y. Huang, X. Wang, Y. Liu, and D. Xun, "Engineered living materials for sustainability," Chemical, 2022. osti.gov

191. D. Ciocan and E. Elinav, "Engineering bacteria to modulate host metabolism," Acta Physiologica, 2023. wiley.com

192. E. Fordjour, E. O. Mensah, Y. Hao, Y. Yang, and X. Liu, "Toward improved terpenoids biosynthesis: strategies to enhance the capabilities of cell factories," Bioresources and …, 2022. springer.com

193. J. Montaño López, L. Duran, and J. L. Avalos, "Physiological limitations and opportunities in microbial metabolic engineering," Nature Reviews Microbiology, 2022. nsf.gov

194. Parihar, V. Pandita, A. Kumar, D. Singh Parihar et al., "3D Printing: Advancement in Biogenerative Engineering to Combat Shortage of Organs and Bioapplicable Materials," 2021. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

195. E. Contreras, S. Traserra, S. Bolívar, and J. Forés, "Repair of long nerve defects with a new decellularized nerve graft in rats and in sheep," Cells, 2022. mdpi.com

196. S. Todros, M. Todesco, and A. Bagno, "Biomaterials and their biomedical applications: From replacement to regeneration," Processes, 2021. mdpi.com

197. DK. Shanmugam, S. C. Anitha, and V. Souresh, "Current advancements in the development of bionic organs using regenerative medicine and 3D tissue engineering," Materials, 2023. tandfonline.com

198. Y. Kong, J. Xu, Q. Han, T. Zheng, L. Wu, and G. Li, "Electrospinning porcine decellularized nerve matrix scaffold for peripheral nerve regeneration," International Journal of ..., 2022. [HTML]

199. B. Liu, O. A. Alimi, Y. Wang, Y. Kong, M. Kuss, "Differentiated mesenchymal stem cells-derived exosomes immobilized in decellularized sciatic nerve hydrogels for peripheral nerve repair," *Journal of Controlled Release*, 2024. [HTML]

200. J. Kim, H. Park, and J. S. Park, "The Effectiveness of Nerve Regeneration with Decellularized Xenogeneic Nerve Grafts in a Rat Sciatic Nerve Model," 2024. preprints.org

201. H. F. Hetta, A. Elsaghir, V. C. Sijercic, "Mesenchymal stem cell therapy in diabetic foot ulcer: An updated comprehensive review," Health Science, 2024. wiley.com

202. Q. Cheng, L. Li, M. Jiang, B. Liu, Y. Xian, S. Liu, and X. Liu, "Extend the survival of human sperm in vitro in non-freezing conditions: damage mechanisms, preservation technologies, and clinical applications," *Cells*, 2022. mdpi.com

203. S. Zheng, L. Raz, L. Zhou, Y. Cohen-Sharir, and R. Tian, "High CDC20 levels increase sensitivity of cancer cells to MPS1 inhibitors," EMBO, 2025. embopress.org

204. J. MacAdam, M. Munoz, J. E. Hage, and K. Hu, "Low Energy Blue Pulsed Light-Activated Injectable Materials for Restoring Thinning Corneas," Advanced Functional, 2023. wiley.com

205. SG. Findlay, "Mitigation of Anthracycline Cardiotoxicity & Cardiovascular Risk Profiling of Cancer Patients," 2024. ncl.ac.uk

206. V. Ejigah, O. Owoseni, P. Bataille-Backer, and O. D. Ogundipe, "Approaches to improve macromolecule and nanoparticle accumulation in the tumor microenvironment by the enhanced permeability and retention effect," Polymers, 2022. mdpi.com

207. H. Shen, C. Fan, Z. You, and Z. Xiao, "Advances in biomaterial-based spinal cord injury repair," Advanced Functional, 2022. [HTML]

208. J.C. Martinez, F. Morandini, and L. Fitzgibbons, "cGAS deficient mice display premature aging associated with de-repression of LINE1 elements and inflammation," 2024. nih.gov

209. ZM Zhuang, Y Wang, ZX Feng, XY Lin, and ZC Wang, "Targeting Diverse Wounds and Scars: Recent Innovative Bio-design of Microneedle Patch for Comprehensive Management," Small, 2024. [HTML]

210. N. Alomran, P. Blundell, J. Alsolaiss, and E. Crittenden, "Exploring the utility of recombinant snake venom serine protease toxins as immunogens for generating experimental snakebite antivenoms," Toxins, 2022. mdpi.com

211. Rothbauer, C. Eilenberger, and S. Spitz, "Recent advances in additive manufacturing and 3D bioprinting for organs-on-a-chip and microphysiological systems," in *Bioengineering and …*, 2022. frontiersin.org

212. Singh and G. Singh, "Advances in polymers for bio-additive manufacturing: A state of art review," Journal of Manufacturing Processes, 2021. [HTML]

213. H. Ravanbakhsh, V. Karamzadeh, and G. Bao, "Emerging technologies in multi-material bioprinting," Advanced, 2021. nih.gov

214. Jayanth MV. Roshan SS. Balaji & PD. Karthik, "Additive manufacturing of biomaterials: A review," Materials Today, 2023. [HTML]

215. S. Boopathi, R. Khare, J. C. KG, and T. V. Muni, "Additive manufacturing developments in the medical engineering field," in Industrial Applications of ..., 2023. researchgate.net

216. S. Chae and D. W. Cho, "Three-dimensional bioprinting with decellularized extracellular matrix-based bioinks in translational regenerative medicine," MRS bulletin, 2022. [HTML]

217. H. Wang, H. Yu, X. Zhou, J. Zhang, and H. Zhou, "An overview of extracellular matrix-based bioinks for 3D bioprinting," in *Bioengineering and Biotechnology*, 2022. frontiersin.org

218. H. Zhang, Y. Wang, Z. Zheng, X. Wei, L. Chen, and Y. Wu, "Strategies for improving the 3D printability of decellularized extracellular matrix bioink," Theranostics, 2023. nih.gov

219. F. Al-Hakim Khalak, F. García-Villén, "Decellularized extracellular matrix-based bioinks for tendon regeneration in three-dimensional bioprinting," International Journal of ..., 2022. mdpi.com

220. W. Shi, Z. Zhang, and X. Wang, "The prospect of hepatic decellularized extracellular matrix as a bioink for liver 3D Bioprinting," Biomolecules, 2024. mdpi.com

221. XB. Chen, A. F. Anvari-Yazdi, X. Duan, A. Zimmerling, "Biomaterials/bioinks and extrusion bioprinting," Bioactive Materials, Elsevier, 2023. sciencedirect.com

222. C. Hart, C. M. Didier, F. Sommerhage, and S. Rajaraman, "Biocompatibility of Blank, Post-Processed and Coated 3D Printed Resin Structures with Electrogenic Cells," 2020. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

223. H. G. Yi, H. Kim, J. Kwon, Y. J. Choi, and J. Jang, "Application of 3D bioprinting in the prevention and the therapy for human diseases," Signal Transduction and ..., 2021. nature.com

224. S. M. Hull, L. G. Brunel, and S. C. Heilshorn, "3D bioprinting of cell-laden hydrogels for improved biological functionality," Advanced Materials, 2022. nih.gov

225. B. Cai, D. Kilian, D. Ramos Mejia, and R. J. Rios, "Diffusion-Based 3D Bioprinting Strategies," Advanced, 2024. wiley.com

226. T. Fischetti, G. Di Pompo, N. Baldini, S. Avnet et al., "3D printing and bioprinting to model bone cancer: The role of materials and nanoscale cues in directing cell behavior," Cancers, 2021. mdpi.com

227. J. Adhikari, A. Roy, A. Chanda, D. A. Gouripriya, "Effects of surface patterning and topography on the cellular functions of tissue engineered scaffolds with special reference to 3D bioprinting," Biomaterials, 2023. [HTML]

228. F. Bojin, A. Robu, M. I. Bejenariu, V. Ordodi, and E. Olteanu, "3D bioprinting of model tissues that mimic the tumor microenvironment," *Micromachines*, 2021. mdpi.com

229. Kurzyk, A. Szumera-Ciećkiewicz, and J. Miłoszewska, "3D modeling of normal skin and cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma. A comparative

study in 2D cultures, spheroids, and 3D bioprinted systems," 2024. iop.org

230. D. Sztankovics, D. Moldvai, and G. Petővári, "3D bioprinting and the revolution in experimental cancer model systems-A review of developing new models and experiences with in vitro 3D bioprinted …," Pathology and …, 2023. por-journal.com

231. L. Neufeld, E. Yeini, S. Pozzi, and R. Satchi-Fainaro, "3D bioprinted cancer models: from basic biology to drug development," Nature reviews Cancer, 2022. [HTML]

232. T. Zandrini, S. Florczak, R. Levato, and A. Ovsianikov, "Breaking the resolution limits of 3D bioprinting: future opportunities and present challenges," Trends in biotechnology, 2023. cell.com

233. C. F. He, T. H. Qiao, G. H. Wang, Y. Sun, and Y. He, "High-resolution projection-based 3D bioprinting," *Nature Reviews*, 2024. researchgate.net

234. S. Naghieh and X. Chen, "Printability-A key issue in extrusion-based bioprinting," Journal of pharmaceutical analysis, 2021. sciencedirect.com

235. Zennifer, A. Subramanian, and S. Sethuraman, "Design considerations of bioinks for laser bioprinting technique towards tissue regenerative applications," Bioprinting, 2022. [HTML]

236. V. Diak and A. Diak, "The impact of fused deposition modeling nozzle types on the structure of 3D printed fibers," 2024. researchsquare.com

237. J. Zhang, F. Meng, and E. Ferraris, "Temperature gradient at the nozzle outlet in material extrusion additive manufacturing with thermoplastic filament," Additive Manufacturing, 2023. kuleuven.be

238. Y. Li, G. Zhang, J. Zhang, D. Song, and C. Guo, "Advanced multi-nozzle electrohydrodynamic printing: mechanism, processing, and diverse applications at micro/nano-scale," Manufacturing, 2024. iop.org

239. Mehta, M. A. Mujawar, S. Lafrance, and S. Bernadin, "Editors' Choice-Review-Sensor-Based and Computational Methods for Error Detection and Correction in 3D Printing," ECS Sensors, 2024. iop.org

240. C. Zhang, Y. Li, W. Kang, X. Liu et al., "Current advances and future perspectives of additive manufacturing for functional polymeric materials and devices," SusMat, 2021. wiley.com

241. Karaki, A. Hammoud, E. Masad, and M. Khraisheh, "A review on material extrusion (MEX) of polyethylene-challenges, opportunities, and future prospects," Polymer, 2024. [HTML]

242. D. P. Simunec, J. Jacob, A. E. Z. Kandjani, and A. Trinchi, "Facilitating the additive manufacture of high-performance polymers through polymer blending: A review," European Polymer, 2023, Elsevier. sciencedirect.com

243. H. Cai and Y. Chen, "A Review of Print Heads for Fused Filament Fabrication of Continuous Carbon Fiber-Reinforced Composites," Micromachines, 2024. mdpi.com

244. A. Moses, L. Gao, H. Zhao, Z. Wang, M. L. Adam, and Z. Sun, "2D materials inks toward smart flexible electronics," *Materials Today*, 2021. [HTML]

245. H. Yee Ng, K. X. Alvin Lee, C. N. Kuo, and Y. F. Shen, "Bioprinting of artificial blood vessels," 2018. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

246. Joshi, S. Choudhury, and S. B. Gugulothu, "Strategies to promote vascularization in 3D printed tissue scaffolds: trends and challenges," ACS Publications, 2022. [HTML]

247. D. S. Masson-Meyers and L. Tayebi, "Vascularization strategies in tissue engineering approaches for soft tissue repair," Journal of Tissue Engineering, 2021. nih.gov

248. C. Gonçalves, A. Banfi, M. B. Oliveira, and J. F. Mano, "Strategies for re-vascularization and promotion of angiogenesis in trauma and disease," Biomaterials, 2021. [HTML]

249. V. Lopes, M. N. Collins, and R. L. Reis, "Vascularization approaches in tissue engineering: Recent developments on evaluation tests and modulation," ACS Applied Bio., 2021. core.ac.uk

250. Y. Zhang, P. Kumar, S. Lv, D. Xiong, H. Zhao, and Z. Cai, "Recent advances in 3D bioprinting of vascularized tissues," *Materials & Design*, 2021. sciencedirect.com

251. P. Gupta and B. B. Mandal, "Tissue-engineered vascular grafts: emerging trends and technologies," Advanced Functional Materials, 2021. [HTML]

252. Y. Wang, R. K. Kankala, C. Ou, A. Chen et al., "Advances in hydrogel-based vascularized tissues for tissue repair and drug screening," Bioactive materials, 2022. sciencedirect.com

253. Zhang, Z. Wan, and R. D. Kamm, "Vascularized organoids on a chip: strategies for engineering organoids with functional vasculature," Lab on a Chip, 2021. rsc.org

254. M. Ramezani and Z. Mohd Ripin, "4D printing in biomedical engineering: Advancements, challenges, and future directions," Journal of functional biomaterials, 2023. mdpi.com

255. PDC Costa, DCS Costa, TR Correia, "Natural origin biomaterials for 4D bioprinting tissue-like constructs," Advanced Materials, 2021. [HTML]

256. Agarwal, S. Y. Hann, I. Chiesa, H. Cui, "4D printing in biomedical applications: emerging trends and technologies," *Journal of Materials*, 2021. rsc.org

257. N. Ranjan, V. Kumar, and O. B. Ozdemir, "3D to 4D printing: Perspective and development," 4D Printing of Composites, 2024. [HTML]

258. Y. Wang, H. Cui, T. Esworthy, D. Mei, and Y. Wang, "Emerging 4D printing strategies for next-generation tissue regeneration and medical devices," Advanced, 2022. nsf.gov

259. A. Mandal and K. Chatterjee, "Emerging trends in humidity-responsive 4D bioprinting," Chemical Engineering Journal, 2023. [HTML]

260. R. Noroozi, Z. U. Arif, H. Taghvaei, and M. Y. Khalid, "3D and 4D bioprinting technologies: a game changer for the biomedical sector?," Annals of Biomedical, 2023. [HTML]

261. M. Ullah, A. Wahab, S. U. Khan, and M. Naeem, "3D printing technology: A new approach for the fabrication of personalized and customized pharmaceuticals," European Polymer, 2023. [HTML]

262. PK BG, S. Mehrotra, S.M. Marques, and L. Kumar, "3D printing in personalized medicines: A focus on applications of the technology," Materials Today, 2023. [HTML]

263. J. Nielsen, J. Kaldor, A. Irwin, and C. Stewart, "Bespoke regulation for bespoke medicine? A comparative analysis of bioprinting regulation in Europe, the USA and Australia," 3D Printing in Medicine, Taylor & Francis, 2021. tandfonline.com

264. Kantaros, T. Ganetsos, F. I. T. Petrescu, and E. Alysandratou, "Bioprinting and Intellectual Property: Challenges, Opportunities, and the Road Ahead," Bioengineering, 2025. mdpi.com

265. H. Gómez-Fernández, F. Alhakim-Khalak, et al., "Comprehensive review of the state-of-the-art in corneal 3D bioprinting, including regulatory aspects," International Journal of ..., 2024. sciencedirect.com

266. D. Kasana, "… Sale, Printed Organ, Never Worn: Enabling Sale of Biological Prosthetic Organs to Accelerate Development of Bioprinting …," University of Illinois Law Review, 2024. illinoislawreview.org

267. Mladenovska, P. F. Choong, and G. G. Wallace, "The regulatory challenge of 3D bioprinting," Regenerative, 2023. tandfonline.com

268. J. Shin, Y. Lee, Z. Li, J. Hu et al., "Optimized 3D bioprinting technology based on machine learning: A review of recent trends and advances," Micromachines, 2022. mdpi.com

269. F. Krujatz, S. Dani, J. Windisch, and J. Emmermacher, "Think outside the box: 3D bioprinting concepts for biotechnological applications- recent developments and future perspectives," Advances, 2022. [HTML]

270. Chadha, A. Abrol, N. P. Vora, and A. Tiwari, "Performance evaluation of 3D printing technologies: a review, recent advances, current challenges, and future directions," Progress in Additive, 2022. [HTML]

271. Jafari, Z. Ajji, A. Mousavi, and S. Naghieh, "Latest advances in 3D bioprinting of cardiac tissues," … technologies, 2022. wiley.com

272. S. Jia, Y. Bu, D. S. A. Lau, Z. Lin, T. Sun, and W. W. Lu, "Advances in 3D bioprinting technology for functional corneal reconstruction and regeneration," in bioengineering and ..., 2023. frontiersin.org

273. Ahmed, A. Azam, M. M. A. Bhutta, and F. A. Khan, "Discovering the technology evolution pathways for 3D printing (3DP) using bibliometric investigation and emerging applications of 3DP during COVID-19," Cleaner Environmental, Elsevier, 2021. sciencedirect.com

274. S. Ji and M. Guvendiren, "Complex 3D bioprinting methods," APL bioengineering, 2021. aip.org

275. L. Faber, A. Yau, and Y. Chen, "Translational biomaterials of four-dimensional bioprinting for tissue regeneration," 2024. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

276. K. Borse and P. Shende, "3D-to-4D Structures: An Exploration in Biomedical Applications," Aaps Pharmscitech, 2023. [HTML]

277. D. Lamprou, "3D & 4D Printing Methods for Pharmaceutical Manufacturing and Personalised Drug Delivery: Opportunities and Challenges," 2023. [HTML]

278. U. Shashikumar, A. Sarawat, K. Deshmukh, "Innovative technologies on the fabrication of 3D/4D smart hydrogels and its biomedical applications-A compendious review," Advances in Colloid and …, 2024. [HTML]

279. M. Bax, J. Thorpe, and V. Romanov, "The future of personalized cardiovascular medicine demands 3D and 4D printing, stem cells, and artificial intelligence," Frontiers in Sensors, 2023. frontiersin.org

280. Sahafnejad-Mohammadi, M. Karamimoghadam, "4D printing technology in medical engineering: A narrative review," Journal of the Brazilian, 2022. springer.com

281. P. E. Antezana, S. Municoy, G. Ostapchuk, and P. N. Catalano, "4D printing: The development of responsive materials using 3D-printing technology," Pharmaceutics, 2023. mdpi.com

282. Y. A. Alli, H. Anuar, M. R. Manshor, C. E. Okafor, "Optimization of 4D/3D printing via machine learning: A systematic review," Hybrid Advances, 2024, Elsevier. sciencedirect.com

283. A Thacharodi, P Singh, R Meenatchi, "Revolutionizing healthcare and medicine: The impact of modern technologies for a healthier future-A comprehensive review," Health Care, 2024. wiley.com

284. Z. Al-Dulimi, M. Wallis, D. K. Tan, and M. Maniruzzaman, "3D printing technology as innovative solutions for biomedical applications," Drug Discovery, 2021. academia.edu

285. Van Ombergen, F. Chalupa-Gantner, "3D bioprinting in microgravity: opportunities, challenges, and possible applications in space," Advanced, 2023. wiley.com

286. M. Mao, Z. Meng, X. Huang, H. Zhu, and L. Wang, "3D printing in space: from mechanical structures to living tissues," Journal of Extreme, 2024. iop.org

287. N. Warth, M. Berg, L. Schumacher, and M. Boehme, "Bioprint FirstAid: A handheld bioprinter for first aid utilization on space exploration missions," Acta Astronautica, 2024. [HTML]

288. N. Cubo-Mateo and M. Gelinsky, "Wound and skin healing in space: the 3D bioprinting perspective," Frontiers in Bioengineering and Biotechnology, 2021. frontiersin.org

289. L. Moroni, K. Tabury, H. Stenuit, D. Grimm, and S. Baatout, "What can biofabrication do for space and what can space do for biofabrication?," Trends in..., 2022. cell.com

290. GJ Klarmann, AJ Rogers, KH Gilchrist, and VB Ho, "3D bioprinting meniscus tissue onboard the International Space Station," Life Sciences in Space, 2024. [HTML]

291. CYX. Chua, M. Jimenez, M. Mozneb, and G. Traverso, "Advanced material technologies for space and terrestrial medicine," Nature Reviews, 2024. researchgate.net

292. Iordachescu, N. Eisenstein, and G. Appleby-Thomas, "Space habitats for bioengineering and surgical repair: addressing the requirement for reconstructive and research tissues during deep-space missions," npj Microgravity, 2023. nature.com

293. K. Tabury, E. Rehnberg, B. Baselet, "Bioprinting of cardiac tissue in space: where are we?" Advanced, 2023. wiley.com

294. G. Pi, W. Song, Z. Wu, Y. Li et al., "Comparison of expression profiles between undifferentiated and differentiated porcine IPEC-J2 cells," Porcine Health Management, 2022. springer.com

295. S. B. Sunjic, A. C. Gasparovic, M. Jaganjac, and G. Rechberger, "Sensitivity of osteosarcoma cells to concentration-dependent bioactivities of lipid peroxidation product 4-hydroxynonenal depend on their level of differentiation," *Cells*, 2021. mdpi.com

296. P. Morgado-Cáceres, G. Liabeuf, and X. Calle, "The aging of ER-mitochondria communication: A journey from undifferentiated to aged cells," Frontiers in Cell and ..., 2022. frontiersin.org

297. E. Elmorsy, A. Al-Ghafari, H. Al Doghaither, and S. Hashish, "Differential Effects of Paraquat, Rotenone, and MPTP on Cellular Bioenergetics of Undifferentiated and Differentiated Human Neuroblastoma Cells," Brain Sciences, 2023. mdpi.com

298. Yang, G. Li, Y. Tian, X. Wang, J. Xu, R. Liu, and M. Deng, "Identifying the E2F3-MEX3A-KLF4 signaling axis that sustains cancer cells in undifferentiated and proliferative state," Theranostics, 2022. nih.gov

299. M. Ponzoni, T. Bachetti, M. V. Corrias, and C. Brignole, "Recent advances in the developmental origin of neuroblastoma: an overview," Journal of Experimental, 2022. springer.com

300. Franchi and A. Skalova, "Undifferentiated and dedifferentiated head and neck carcinomas," Seminars in Diagnostic Pathology, 2021. [HTML]

301. M. Rezapour Sarabi and A. K. Yetisen, "Bioprinting in microgravity," Biomaterials Science & ..., 2023. acs.org

302. M. Jemison and R. Olabisi, "Biomaterials for human space exploration: a review of their untapped potential," Acta Biomaterialia, 2021. sciencedirect.com

303. L. Somasekhar, "Bioink Optimization and Effects of Microgravity on 3D Bioprinted Cell Laden Constructs," 2021. fit.edu

304. K. Yetisen and S. Tasoglu, "Bioprinting in Microgravity.," ACS Biomaterials Science & Engineering, 2023. [HTML]

305. D. Kalaskar, P. Santos-Beato, and J.K. Ajay Kumar, "Why Space? The Opportunity for Materials Science and Innovation: Biomaterials research in space-Position paper," 2024. ucl.ac.uk

306. F. Aliberti, E. Paolin, L. Benedetti, and G. Cusella, "3D bioprinting and Rigenera® micrografting technology: A possible countermeasure for wound healing in spaceflight," in Bioengineering and ..., 2022. frontiersin.org